

Members – Council Assessment Panel CITY OF MARION

# NOTICE OF COUNCIL ASSESSMENT PANEL MEETING

Notice is hereby given that a Council Assessment Panel Meeting will be held:

# Wednesday 03 February 2021

Commencing at 6.30 p.m.

Committee Room 1 & 2

**Council Administration Centre** 

245 Sturt Road, Sturt

A copy of the Agenda for the meeting is attached. Meetings are open to the public and interested members of the community are welcome to attend. Access to the CAP Meeting is via the main entrance to the Administration building, 245 Sturt Road, Sturt.

Alex Wright

**ASSESSMENT MANAGER** 

27 January 2021

Note: The plans contained in this Agenda are subject to copyright and should not be copied without authorisation.

# CITY OF MARION COUNCIL ASSESSMENT PANEL AGENDA FOR MEETING TO BE HELD ON WEDNESDAY 03 FEBRUARY 2021 COMMENCING AT 6.30PM



| 1. | 1 | OP | FN | ME | ETII | NG |
|----|---|----|----|----|------|----|
|    |   |    |    |    |      |    |

| 1 | 2     | PRFSFN1 | Г |
|---|-------|---------|---|
|   | I - Z | FRESEN  |   |

# 1.3 APOLOGIES

# 1.4 IN ATTENDANCE

| 2.         | GEN | IERAL OPERATIONS1  |
|------------|-----|--|
| 3.         | APP | LICATIONS2   |
| 4.         | APP | EALS UPDATE3   |
|            | 4.1 | APPEALS AGAINST PANEL DECISIONS  |
|            | 4.2 | APPEALS AGAINST DELEGTED APPLICAITONS  |
| 5.         | POL | ICY OBSERVATIONS4  |
| <b>6</b> . | ОТН | ER BUSINESS5   |
|            | 6.1 | DELEGATED POWERS OF ASSESSMENT PANEL AS A RELEVANT AUTHORITY UNDER THE PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE ACT 2016 TO ASSESSMENT MANAGER |
|            | 6.2 | STANDING REFERRAL TO COUNCIL RELATING TO BUILDING RULES ASSESSMENT   |
|            | 6.3 | POLICY FOR THE ASSESSMENT PANEL REVIEW OF A DECISION OF THE ASSESSMENT MANAGER   |
|            | 6.4 | PROCEDURE FOR ASSIGNING, PROGRESSING AND RESOLVING APPEALS – CAP TO COUNCIL  |
| 7.         |     | IFIRMATION OF THE COUNCIL DEVELOPMENT ASSESSMENT PANEL MEETING<br>D ON 03 FEBRUARY 2021  |

# 8. MEETING CLOSURE

2. GENERAL OPERATING PROCEDURES CITY OF MARION COUNCIL ASSESSMENT PANEL AGENDA FOR MEETING TO BE HELD ON WEDNESDAY 03 FEBRUARY 2021



3. APPLICATIONS
CITY OF MARION
COUNCIL ASSESSMENT PANEL AGENDA
FOR MEETING TO BE HELD ON
WEDNESDAY 03 FEBRUARY 2021



4. APPEALS UPDATE
CITY OF MARION
COUNCIL ASSESSMENT PANEL AGENDA
FOR MEETING TO BE HELD ON
WEDNESDAY 03 FEBRUARY 2021



# **4.1 APPEALS AGAINST PANEL DECISIONS**

New Appeals

# **On-going Appeals**

| DA No.       | Address                        | Appeal<br>Lodged | Recommendation | Decision | Current<br>Status                       |
|--------------|--------------------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|---|
| 100/2020/568 | 373<br>Diagonal<br>Road, Sturt | 3/11/20          | Granted        | Refused  | Conference set<br>4th February<br>2021. |

# 4.2 APPEALS AGAINST DELEGATED APPLICATIONS

| DA No.       | Address                             | Appeal<br>Lodged | Recommendation | Decision                         | Current<br>Status   |
|--------------|-------------------------------------|------------------|----------------|----------------------------------|---|
| 100/2017/209 | 79-81 Thomas Street, South Plympton | 2/10/2020        | Refused        | Refused /<br>Appeal<br>Dismissed | Matter heard in<br>the Supreme<br>Court 10/11/20.<br>Awaiting<br>outcome. |

5. POLICY OBSERVATIONS CITY OF MARION COUNCIL ASSESSMENT PANEL AGENDA FOR MEETING TO BE HELD ON WEDNESDAY 03 FEBRUARY 2021



6. OTHER BUSINESS
CITY OF MARION
COUNCIL ASSESSMENT PANEL AGENDA
FOR MEETING TO BE HELD ON
WEDNESDAY 03 FEBRUARY 2021



6.1: OTHER BUSINESS – PDI Preparation CITY OF MARION COUNCIL ASSESSMENT PANEL AGENDA FOR MEETING TO BE HELD ON WEDNESDAY 3 FEBRUARY 2020



Originating Officer: Alex Wright

**Team Leader - Planning** 

Report: Delegated powers of Assessment Panel as a Relevant

Authority under the Planning, Development and Infrastructure Act 2016 to Assessment Manager.

Report Type: PDI Readiness

Recommendation:

That the Panel RESOLVES the following;

- 1. In exercise of the power contained in Section 100 of the Planning, Development and Infrastructure Act 2016 the powers and functions under the Planning, Development and Infrastructure Act 2016 and statutory instruments made thereunder contained in the proposed Instrument of Delegation (annexed to the Report dated 3/02/2021 and entitled 'Marion Council Assessment Panel Instrument of Delegation Instrument C' and marked Attachment II) are hereby delegated on 3<sup>rd</sup> of February 2021 to the City of Marion Assessment Manager subject to the conditions and/or limitations, if any, specified herein or in the Schedule of Conditions in the proposed Instrument of Delegation.
- 2. Such powers and functions may be further delegated by the City of Marion Assessment Manager in accordance with Section 100(2)(c) of the Planning. Development and Infrastructure Act 2016 as the Assessment Manager sees fit, unless otherwise indicated herein or in the Schedule of Conditions contained in the proposed Instrument of Delegation.

Attachment 1: CAP Instrument of Delegations – marked up

Attachment 2: Marion CAP - Instrument of Delegation – Instrument C'

#### Introduction

The Planning and Development Infrastructure Act 2016 (the Act) introduces changes to the planning and development system.

Under the Act, the Council is no longer the relevant authority for planning consent. Rather, 'Relevant Authorities' for planning consent are assigned under the Act and Regulations and effectively operate autonomously from the Council. Pursuant to Section 93 (1)(a) of the Act (Determination of relevant authority, Relevant authority—Panels) the Panel is a 'relevant authority' in its own right.

An Assessment Panel will be the relevant authority for planning and building in relation to proposed development that is to be undertaken within the area of the council unless another authority is described by the Act or Regulations (section 93 of the Act).

**HOME** 

Council has sought Norman Waterhouse to undertake a review of Council's current delegations and assist in the development of best practice templates and delegations for Council, the Panel and Assessment Manager.

# **Background & Analysis**

As the Panel is considered to be a 'Relevant Authority' in its own right it will be responsible for the provision of Planning Consent for Performance Assessed applications which have undergone Public Notification.

To Assist Members in understanding what may change in relation to applications being considered by the CAP, statistics and brief analysis is provided below.

In 2018-2019 a total of 89 development applications were considered by the Panel, of which 69 were of a residential nature (i.e. 1 or more dwellings).

Of the 69 residential type applications considered, 32 related to one or more allotments being undersized and a further 27 related to representations against the proposal.

Under the new system, unless the application has undergone public notification the Panel will not be the relevant authority and therefore will not consider the application.

Table 1 below outlines the forms of development currently assigned as Category 2 and 3 development and indicates whether this will be applicable in the new Planning & Design Code.

Table 2 outlines the current Residential Policy Areas and proposed zones within the Planning and Design Code, and the proposed Public Notification triggers of each Zone.

The current Development Plan and Regulations assign the following as Category 2 or 3 forms of development;

| Table 1: Current Forms of Development Subject to Public Notification |                            |  |  |  |  |
|--|----------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Development Plan   | Inclusion in P&D Code.     |  |  |  |  |
| A residential building of 2 or more storeys on a battle-axe site.    | No                         |  |  |  |  |
| Demolition of a Local Heritage Place or State Heritage Place.        | Yes                        |  |  |  |  |
| Wall (excluding retaining wall) for residential development which    |                            |  |  |  |  |
| exceeds a length of 8 metres and/or exceeds a height of 3 metres     |                            |  |  |  |  |
| when measured from natural ground level where abutting a side or     | No                         |  |  |  |  |
| rear boundary (other than a common wall of semi-detached             |                            |  |  |  |  |
| dwellings, row dwellings or residential flat buildings).             |                            |  |  |  |  |
| Retaining wall/s and/or earthworks, other than where assigned        | In most instances no       |  |  |  |  |
| Category 1.  | III IIIOSI IIISIAIICES IIO |  |  |  |  |
| Horse keeping and associated facilities where located within         |                            |  |  |  |  |
| Racecourse Policy Area 15 where the subject property is adjacent a   | No                         |  |  |  |  |
| property in a different residential policy area.                     |                            |  |  |  |  |
| Development Regulations  | Inclusion in P&D Code.     |  |  |  |  |
| 1 or more buildings of 2 storeys comprising dwellings                | No                         |  |  |  |  |
| 2 or more dwellings on the same site where at least 1 of those       | No                         |  |  |  |  |
| dwellings is 2 storeys high (but no higher than 2).                  |                            |  |  |  |  |
| Not listed as Cat 1 or 2 (therefore cat 3)                           | No                         |  |  |  |  |

| Table 2: Existing - Pro  | posed Zones and Notification       | on Trigger  |
|--|------------------------------------|---|
| Current Zone   | Proposed Zone                      | Public Notification Trigger   |
| Marion Plains Policy<br>Area 8; Lonsdale Policy<br>Area 9; Medium Density<br>Policy Area 12; Northern<br>Policy Area 13; | General Neighbourhood              | <ul> <li>Exceeding maximum building height of<br/>9 metres</li> </ul>   |
| Hills Policy Area 11;<br>Foothills and Seaside<br>Policy Area 23   | Hills Neighbourhood                | <ul> <li>Exceeding maximum building height of 9 metres</li> <li>Retaining exceeding 1.5 metres; or</li> <li>Where more than 1.5 metres is to be retained in total, are stepped in a series of low walls each not exceeding 1m in height and separated by at least 700mm.</li> </ul> |
| Cement Hill Policy Area<br>10; Racecourse Policy<br>Area 15: Southern<br>Policy Area 18; Coastal<br>Policy Area 21       | Suburban Neighbourhood             | - Exceeding maximum building height of 9 metres   |
| Residential Character<br>Policy Area 17  | Establish Neighbourhood            | <ul> <li>Exceeding maximum building height of<br/>1 level</li> <li>Exceeding Maximum building height of<br/>9 metres</li> </ul>   |
| Regeneration Policy<br>Area 16   | Housing Diversity<br>Neighbourhood | - Exceeding Maximum building height of 9 metres   |

In general, development within a residentially based zone will only require consideration by the Panel when the proposal either exceeds the specified building height (predominantly 9 metres in most zones) and/or when within the Hills Neighbourhood Zone and incorporates retaining exceeding 1.5 metres.

Anecdotal analysis of the future Public Notification triggers suggests the number of current applications considered by staff which may trigger future notification and the type of applications currently considered by the Panel will result in less applications requiring consideration by the Panel.

Whilst it is anticipated the Panel will, when compared to now, see more proposals located within the Hills Neighbourhood Zone, the vast majority of residentially based applications involving undersized allotments and representations (typically due to walls on boundaries) will no longer be considered by the Panel.

It is anticipated a similar volume of non-residential applications under the PDI will be considered by the Panel as currently.

#### **General Discussion**

Section 100 of the Planning, Development and Infrastructure Act outlines that a relevant authority may delegate any functions or powers held under the Act. A delegation may be made to a particular person, body or a person occupying a particular office or position.

The delegation may be made subject to conditions or limitations specified in the instrument of delegation and may be further delegated by the delegate. Importantly, delegations are revocable and do not derogate from the power of the relevant authority to act in any mattenant.

As the Panel has been assigned as a relevant authority in its own right, in exercising these duties the Panel will need to consider delegations to Council staff to undertake specific duties or exercise powers on its behalf.

Delegations enhance the decision-making processes and allow nominated matters to be resolved efficiently and effectively without the need for the Panel's consideration, in much the same way current delegations from Council operate under the Development Act.

Administration have provided a proposed Instrument of Delegation (Attachment II) which outlines the Planning, Development And Infrastructure Act 2016, Planning, Development And Infrastructure (General) Regulations 2017 and Planning, Development and Infrastructure (Fees, Charges And Contributions) Regulations 2019 and the proposed delegation and subdelegations.

In essence the Delegations enable elements of the Act to be undertaken such as;

#### Administrative Tasks

Whilst the Panel is the Relevant Authority for applications which require Public Notification it would be impractical for the Panel not to delegate responsibilities such as verification of Applications (i.e. requiring information and fees) and undertaking statutory referrals to the Assessment Manager of the Council.

Additionally, the Procedural Matters Notification Table within each Zone enables development to be excluded from Public Notification where, in the opinion of the relevant authority, the development is of a minor nature and will not unreasonably impact owners or occupiers of land in the locality of the site of the development.

In this situation it is proposed that the ability to deem a development 'minor' will be limited to the Assessment Manager and qualified staff with planning experience (i.e. whilst a Planning Officer could deem an application 'minor' to preclude from notification, other officers such as a Planning Assistant could not).

#### Public Notification of Applications

It is proposed the Panel delegate the processing of applications and all administrative actions (such as creation and installation of signage, issuing of letters, collation of reps etc) associated with the Public Notification process to the Assessment Manager (and subsequently subdelegated to appropriate staff).

It is proposed that the Manager, Development & Regulatory Services, Team Leader, Planning and Senior Development Officer, Planning will be sub-delegated the responsibility to physically attend the site and affix the sign. It is anticipated these positions will subsequently direct other positions to undertake these roles and responsibilities.

#### Delegation of Planning Consent

Whilst the Panel is the Relevant Authority for applications which have undergone Public Notification, the Panel can choose to delegate to the Assessment Manager (and sub-delegate to Planning staff) the ability to determine certain applications. Norman Waterhouse has used the Council's existing Development Delegations Policy to guide which applications may be sub-delegated back to Council staff for consideration.

HOME

To provide consistency with the current delegations, it is recommended the following development applications be delegated to the Assessment Manager (and sub-delegated back to staff).

The following applications are delegated from the Panel to staff, where;

- 1. No valid representations are received against the proposed development;
- 2. All valid representations against the proposed development are withdrawn;
- 3. No representor who has lodged a valid representation against the proposed development wishes to be heard;
- 4. The application relates to a local heritage place or a State Heritage Place but does not seek total demolition of the place, and heritage advice commissioned by the Assessment Panel (or delegate) or State Government Department responsible for State Heritage have recommended that approval should be granted.

For Members benefit, Section 5.1.1.1 of the Instrument of Delegation outlines the type of Development Applications delegated to the Assessment Manager (following notification), with some exceptions (see above).

#### Deemed Consents

In the event a relevant authority fails to determine an application for planning consent within the legislated time, the applicant can choose to serve a 'deemed consent notice' on the relevant authority.

Upon service of the notice, the application is taken to have been granted planning consent.

The Relevant Authority can:

- Take no action, in which case the consent will remain subject to standard conditions outlined in Practice Direction 11 being attached; or
- Issue a planning consent, including its own conditions; or.
- Appeal the deemed consent within one month of the notice.

To avoid special meetings being convened to consider a deemed consent, it is recommended the Assessment Manager be delegated the capability to grant consent (should this be deemed appropriate) within 10 days as this provides the ability to attach additional conditions deemed necessary.

#### Conclusion

Delegations enhance the decision-making processes and allow nominated matters to be resolved efficiently and effectively without the need for the Panel's consideration, in much the same way current delegations from Council operate under the Development Act.

It is recommended that, pursuant to Section 100, the Assessment Panel delegate powers as a Relevant Authority under the Planning, Development and Infrastructure Act 2016 to the Assessment Manager as outlined in Attachment 2 Marion CAP - Instrument of Delegation – Instrument C'

#### Recommendation

# That the Panel RESOLVES the following;

- 1. In exercise of the power contained in Section 100 of the Planning, Development and Infrastructure Act 2016 the powers and functions under the Planning, Development and Infrastructure Act 2016 and statutory instruments made thereunder contained in the proposed Instrument of Delegation (annexed to the Report dated 3/02/2021 and entitled 'Marion CAP Instrument of Delegation Instrument C' and marked Attachment 2) are hereby delegated on 3<sup>rd</sup> of February 2021 to the City of Marion Assessment Manager subject to the conditions and/or limitations, if any, specified herein or in the Schedule of Conditions in the proposed Instrument of Delegation.
- 2. Such powers and functions may be further delegated by the City of Marion Assessment Manager in accordance with Section 100(2)(c) of the Planning. Development and Infrastructure Act 2016 as the Assessment Manager sees fit, unless otherwise indicated herein or in the Schedule of Conditions contained in the proposed Instrument of Delegation.

#### **INSTRUMENT C**

INSTRUMENT OF DELEGATION UNDER THE PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE ACT 2016, PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (GENERAL) REGULATIONS 2017 AND PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (FEES, CHARGES AND CONTRIBUTIONS) REGULATIONS 2019

OF POWERS OF AN ASSESSMENT PANEL

# **NOTES**

- Conditions or Limitations: conditions or limitations may apply to the delegations contained in this Instrument. Refer to the Schedule of Conditions at the back of this document.
- Refer to the relevant Assessment Panel resolution(s) to identify when these delegations were made, reviewed and or amended.

#### References:

| • | MDRS | Manager Development & Regulatory Services |
|---|------|---|
| • | TLP  | Team Leader Planning                      |
| • | SDOP | Senior Development Officer Planning       |
| • | DOP  | Development Officer Planning              |
| • | DOPA | Development Officer Planning Assistant    |
|   |      |   |

SPP Senior Policy PlannerAC Administrator Coordinator

ASODS Administration Support Officer Development Services

SO Systems Officer

DCO
 Development Compliance Officer
 UMES
 Unit Manager Engineering Services
 DCO
 Development Compliance Officer

DRAFT -2-

INSTRUMENT C
INSTRUMENT OF DELEGATION UNDER THE PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE
ACT 2016, PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (GENERAL) REGULATIONS 2017
AND PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (FEES, CHARGES AND CONTRIBUTIONS)
REGULATIONS 2019 OF POWERS OF AN ASSESSMENT PANEL

# POWERS AND FUNCTIONS DELEGATED IN THIS INSTRUMENT

| 1. | Envir<br>Adela | onment and Food Production Areas – Greater<br>aide   | Delegation   | Sub-de             | legation |
|----|----------------|--|--|--------------------|----------|
|    | 1.1            | The power pursuant to Section 7(5)(a) of the Planning, Development and Infrastructure Act 2016 ( <b>the PDI Act</b> ), in relation to a proposed development in an environment and food production area that involves a division of land that would create 1 or more additional allotments to seek the concurrence of the Commission in the granting of the development authorisation to the development.                | N/A  Marion is not in an Environment and Food Production Area                    | N/A                |          |
|    | 1.2            | The power pursuant to Section 7(5)(d) of the PDI Act in relation to a proposed development in an environment and food production area that involves a division of land that would create one or more additional allotments, to, if the proposed development will create additional allotments to be used for residential development, refuse to grant development authorisation in relation to the proposed development. | N/A  Marion is not in an Environment and Food Production Area                    | N/A                |          |
| 2. | Appo           | intment of Additional Members  |  |                    |          |
|    | 2.1            | The power pursuant to Section 85(1) of the PDI Act to appoint 1 or 2 members to act as additional members of the assessment panel for the purposes of dealing with a matter that the assessment panel must assess as a relevant authority under the PDI Act.   |  | N/A                |          |
| 3. | Relev          | vant Authority – Commission  |  |                    |          |
|    | 3.1            | The power pursuant to Section 94(3)(a) of the PDI Act, if the Minister acts under Section 94(1)(h) of the PDI Act to, at the request of the Commission, provide the Commission with a report relating to any application for development authorisation that has been under consideration by the relevant authority.  | Assessment<br>Manager  | MD     TLF     SD0 | •        |
| 4. | Relev          | vant Provisions  | (See standing<br>Referal for building<br>Applications – no<br>further delegation |                    |          |

Marion Council Assessment Panel - Instrument of Delegation - Instrument C

DRAFT -3-

INSTRUMENT C
INSTRUMENT OF DELEGATION UNDER THE PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE
ACT 2016, PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (GENERAL) REGULATIONS 2017
AND PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (FEES, CHARGES AND CONTRIBUTIONS)
REGULATIONS 2019 OF POWERS OF AN ASSESSMENT PANEL

|    |       |                              |                          |   | for this recommended)   |  |                         |
|----|-------|------------------------------|--------------------------|---|---|--|-------------------------|
|    | 4.1   | propose<br>building          | ed develop               | ant to Section 99(1) of the PDI Act, if a pment involves the performance of letermine to act under Section 99(1) of                                     |   | N/A  |                         |
|    |       | 4.1.1                        | respect of the area      | assessment of the development in of the Building Rules to the council for in which the proposed development is dertaken; or                             |   |  |                         |
|    |       | 4.1.2                        | developr                 | hat the assessment of the<br>ment in respect of the Building Rules<br>rtaken by a building certifier.   |   |  |                         |
| 5. | Matte | ers Agair                    | st Which                 | Development Must Be Assessed  |   |  |                         |
|    | 5.1   | assess<br>consen<br>(insofar | a develop<br>t in respec | ant to Section 102(1) of the PDI Act to<br>ment against, and grant or refuse a<br>ct of, each of the following matters<br>re relevant to the particular |   |  |                         |
|    |       | 5.1.1                        | -                        |   |   |  |                         |
|    |       |                              | 5.1.1.1                  | the relevant provisions of the<br>Planning Rules; and   | The delegation of the power to grant or refuse planning consent pursuant to Section 102(1)(a) of the Act is limited to applications in relation to which:  1. Planning consent is not sought for: | <ul><li>TL</li><li>SE</li><li>DC</li><li>SF</li><li>(Based current delegation)</li></ul> | OOP<br>OP<br>PP<br>d on |

Marion Council Assessment Panel - Instrument of Delegation - Instrument C

DRAFT -4-

INSTRUMENT C
INSTRUMENT OF DELEGATION UNDER THE PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE
ACT 2016, PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (GENERAL) REGULATIONS 2017
AND PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (FEES, CHARGES AND CONTRIBUTIONS)
REGULATIONS 2019 OF POWERS OF AN ASSESSMENT PANEL

- a) any development in relation to a Local Heritage Place or a State Heritage Place where the heritage advice commissioned on behalf of the CAP or the State Government Department responsible for State Heritage have recommended that planning consent should not be granted;
- b) the total demolition of a Local Heritage Place or a State Heritage Place;
- c) land division that proposes the creation of three or more additional allotments (excluding an allotment identified as common property or as a free an unrestricted right of way) where one or

Marion Council Assessment Panel - Instrument of Delegation - Instrument C

DRAFT -5-

INSTRUMENT C
INSTRUMENT OF DELEGATION UNDER THE PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE
ACT 2016, PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (GENERAL) REGULATIONS 2017
AND PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (FEES, CHARGES AND CONTRIBUTIONS)
REGULATIONS 2019 OF POWERS OF AN ASSESSMENT PANEL

more of the proposed allotments is more than 5% below the minimum site area recommended by the relevant policy in the Code; or

- d) three or more dwellings (including detached, semidetached, row and residential flat) where one or more dwelling sites are more than 5% below the minimum site area recommended by the relevant policy in the Code; and
- 2. One or more of the following are satisfied:
- No valid
   representations
   are received
   against the
   proposed
   development;
- b) All valid representations against the proposed

Marion Council Assessment Panel - Instrument of Delegation - Instrument C

DRAFT -6-

INSTRUMENT C
INSTRUMENT OF DELEGATION UNDER THE PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE
ACT 2016, PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (GENERAL) REGULATIONS 2017
AND PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (FEES, CHARGES AND CONTRIBUTIONS)
REGULATIONS 2019 OF POWERS OF AN ASSESSMENT PANEL

|       |                                  |  | development are withdrawn;  |     |  |
|-------|----------------------------------|--|---|-----|--|
|       |                                  |  | c) No representor who has lodged a valid representation against the proposed development wishes to be heard;  |     |  |
|       |                                  |  | Except in cases where a deemed consent notice has been served on the Panel under Section 125(2) of the Act, in which case the limitation does not apply, and the Assessment Manager is delegated the power pursuant to Section 102(1)(a)(i) of the PDI Act to grant consent in respect of the relevant provisions of the Planning Rules without limitation. |     |  |
|       | I                                | to the extent provided by Part 7 Division 2 of the PDI Act – the impacts of the development, | N/A   | N/A |  |
|       | (planning                        | consent);  |   |     |  |
| 5.1.2 | the relevan<br>( <b>building</b> | nt provisions of the Building Rules consent);  | Delegations not required if standing referral of application for  | N/A |  |

Marion Council Assessment Panel - Instrument of Delegation - Instrument C

DRAFT -7-

INSTRUMENT C
INSTRUMENT OF DELEGATION UNDER THE PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE
ACT 2016, PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (GENERAL) REGULATIONS 2017
AND PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (FEES, CHARGES AND CONTRIBUTIONS)
REGULATIONS 2019 OF POWERS OF AN ASSESSMENT PANEL

|       |   |   | building consent is made                                 |  |
|-------|---|---|--|--|
| 5.1.3 | (otherwis<br>Act 1996<br>requirements                 | n to a proposed division of land<br>e than under the Community Titles<br>or the Strata Titles Act 1988) - the<br>ent that the following conditions be<br>(or will be satisfied by the imposition<br>ons under the PDI Act): | N/A (Assessment<br>Manager is the<br>relevant authority) |  |
|       | 5.1.3.1   | requirements set out in the Planning<br>and Design Code made for the<br>purposes of this provision are<br>satisfied;  |  |  |
|       | 5.1.3.2   | any relevant requirements set out in a design standard has been satisfied;  |  |  |
|       | 5.1.3.3   | the requirements of a water industry<br>entity under the Water Industry<br>Act 2012 identified under the<br>regulations relating to the provision<br>of water supply and sewerage<br>services are satisfied;                |  |  |
|       | 5.1.3.4   | where land is to be vested in a council or other authority - the council or authority consents to the vesting;  |  |  |
|       | 5.1.3.5   | requirements set out in regulations made for the purposes of Section 102(1)(c) of the PDI Act are satisfied;  |  |  |
| 5.1.4 | Commun<br>Act 1988<br>condition<br>the impos<br>Act): | n to a division of land under the ity Titles Act 1996 or the Strata Titles - the requirement that the following s be satisfied (or will be satisfied by sition of conditions under the PDI                                  | N/A (Assessment<br>Manager is the<br>relevant authority) |  |
|       | 5.1.4.1   | requirements set out in the Planning and Design Code made for the   |  |  |

Marion Council Assessment Panel - Instrument of Delegation - Instrument C

DRAFT -8-

INSTRUMENT C
INSTRUMENT OF DELEGATION UNDER THE PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE
ACT 2016, PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (GENERAL) REGULATIONS 2017
AND PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (FEES, CHARGES AND CONTRIBUTIONS)
REGULATIONS 2019 OF POWERS OF AN ASSESSMENT PANEL

|         | purposes of this provision are satisfied;   |  |  |
|---------|---|--|--|
| 5.1.4.2 | any relevant requirements set out in a design standard has been satisfied;  |  |  |
| 5.1.4.3 | any encroachment of a lot or unit<br>over other land is acceptable having<br>regard to any provision made by the<br>Planning and Design Code or a<br>design standard;   |  |  |
| 5.1.4.4 | where land is to be vested in a council or other authority - the council or authority consents to the vesting;  |  |  |
| 5.1.4.5 | a building or item intended to<br>establish a boundary (or part of a<br>boundary) of a lot or lots or a unit or<br>units is appropriate for that purpose;   |  |  |
| 5.1.4.6 | the division of land under the<br>Community Titles Act 1996 or the<br>Strata Titles Act 1988 is appropriate<br>having regard to the nature and<br>extent of the common property that<br>would be established by the relevant<br>scheme; |  |  |
| 5.1.4.7 | the requirements of a water industry<br>entity under the Water Industry<br>Act 2012 identified under the<br>regulations relating to the provision<br>of water supply and sewerage<br>services are satisfied;                            |  |  |
| 5.1.4.8 | any building situated on the land complies with the Building Rules;   |  |  |
| 5.1.4.9 | requirements set out in the regulations made for the purposes of Section 102(d) of the PDI Act are satisfied;   |  |  |

Marion Council Assessment Panel - Instrument of Delegation - Instrument C

DRAFT -9-

INSTRUMENT C
INSTRUMENT OF DELEGATION UNDER THE PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE
ACT 2016, PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (GENERAL) REGULATIONS 2017
AND PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (FEES, CHARGES AND CONTRIBUTIONS)
REGULATIONS 2019 OF POWERS OF AN ASSESSMENT PANEL

|     | 5.1.5                           | any encroachment of a building over, under, across or on a public place (and not otherwise dealt with above) is acceptable having regard to any provision made by the Planning and Design Code or a design standard;                      | Assessment<br>Manager | MDRS     TLP     SDOP     DOP     SPP   |
|-----|---------------------------------|---|-----------------------|---|
|     | 5.1.6                           | if relevant - requirements applying under Part 15 Division 2 of the PDI Act are satisfied;  | Assessment<br>Manager | This is a new provision requiring confirmation that off set scheme requirements (car parking fund equivalent, open space, urban trees fund), have been met.  • MDRS • TLP • SDOP • DOP • SPP • DOPA • DCO |
|     | 5.1.7                           | such other matters as may be prescribed.  | Assessment<br>Manager | • MDRS<br>• TLP<br>• TLB  |
| 5.2 | in relati<br>delegat<br>delegat | wer pursuant to Section 102(3) of the PDI Act to, on to granting a planning consent, on the e's own initiative or on application, reserve the e's decision on a specified matter or reserve the e's decision to grant a planning consent: | Assessment<br>Manager | MDRS     TLP     SDOP     DOP     SPP   |
|     | 5.2.1                           | until further assessment of the relevant development under the PDI Act; or  |                       |   |
|     | 5.2.2                           | until further assessment or consideration of the proposed development under another Act; or   |                       |   |

Marion Council Assessment Panel - Instrument of Delegation - Instrument C

DRAFT - 10 -

INSTRUMENT C
INSTRUMENT OF DELEGATION UNDER THE PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE
ACT 2016, PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (GENERAL) REGULATIONS 2017
AND PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (FEES, CHARGES AND CONTRIBUTIONS)
REGULATIONS 2019 OF POWERS OF AN ASSESSMENT PANEL

|    |       | ;<br>(  | until a licence, permission, consent, approval, authorisation, certificate or other authority is granted, or not granted (by the decision of another authority), under another Act.  |   |        |         |
|----|-------|---|--|---|--------|---------|
|    | 5.3   | 5.3 The power pursuant to Section 102(4) of the PDI Adallow any matter specified by the Planning and Des Code for the purposes of Section 102(4) of the PDI to be reserved on the application of the applicant. |  | Assessment<br>Manager   | • TL   | OP<br>P |
| 6. | Perfo | rmance A  | ssessed Development  |   |        |         |
|    | 6.1   | to form the   | er pursuant to Section 107(2)(c) of the PDI Act ne opinion that the development is seriously at with the Planning and Design Code ding minor variations).  | Assessment<br>Manager   | • TL   | OP<br>P |
|    | 6.2   | a propose<br>Section 1  | er pursuant to Section 107(3) of the PDI Act, if<br>ed development is to be assessed under<br>107 of the PDI Act to make a decision in<br>ace with a practice direction.   | Assessment<br>Manager   | As per | 6.1     |
|    | 6.3   | limit the r<br>to what s<br>as to plar  | er pursuant to Section 107(4) of the PDI Act to matters that the delegate will take into account hould be the decision of the relevant authority nning consent in relation to the performance ements of the development as assessed on its | Assessment<br>Manager   | As per | 6.1     |
| 7. | Build | ing Conse   | ent  | It is not necessary<br>to delegate any<br>powers or<br>functions under<br>Item 7 if standing<br>referral to Council<br>is made. |        |         |
|    | 7.1   | the Regu<br>complies  | er pursuant to Section 118(1) of the PDI Act, if<br>lations provide that a form of building work<br>with the Building Rules, to grant any such<br>work a building consent (subject to such   | N/A   | N/A    |         |

Marion Council Assessment Panel - Instrument of Delegation - Instrument C

DRAFT -11-

INSTRUMENT C
INSTRUMENT OF DELEGATION UNDER THE PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE
ACT 2016, PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (GENERAL) REGULATIONS 2017
AND PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (FEES, CHARGES AND CONTRIBUTIONS)
REGULATIONS 2019 OF POWERS OF AN ASSESSMENT PANEL

|     | conditions or exception regulations).   | ns as may be prescribed by the   |     |     |
|-----|---|--|-----|-----|
| 7.2 | to seek the concurrence<br>building consent in res<br>variance with the perfo | Section 118(2)(a) of the PDI Act<br>the e of the Commission to grant a<br>pect of a development that is at<br>the ormance requirements of the<br>disterial building standard.  | N/A | N/A |
| 7.3 | subject to Section 118  | Section 118(2) of the PDI Act,<br>(6) of the PDI Act, to grant a<br>evelopment that is at variance<br>if:  | N/A | N/A |
|     | other than the<br>building stand<br>that it is appro                          | s with a part of the Building Rules Building Code or a Ministerial ard and the delegate determines priate to grant the consent iriance on the basis that the tisfied:  |     |     |
|     | 7.3.1.1 tha   |  |     |     |
|     | (a)   | the provisions of the Building<br>Rules are inappropriate to the<br>particular building or building<br>work, or the proposed building<br>work fails to conform with the<br>Building Rules only in minor<br>respects; and   |     |     |
|     | (b)   | the variance is justifiable having regard to the objects of the Planning and Design Code or the performance requirements of the Building Code or a Ministerial building standard (as the case may be) and would achieve the objects of this Act as effectively, or more effectively, than if the variance were not to be allowed; or |     |     |
|     | sou   | case where the consent is being ght after the development has urred - that the variance is   |     |     |

OCCUFFED - That the Variance is

Marion Council Assessment Panel - Instrument of Delegation – Instrument C

DRAFT - 12 -

INSTRUMENT C
INSTRUMENT OF DELEGATION UNDER THE PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE
ACT 2016, PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (GENERAL) REGULATIONS 2017
AND PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (FEES, CHARGES AND CONTRIBUTIONS)
REGULATIONS 2019 OF POWERS OF AN ASSESSMENT PANEL

|     | justifiable in the circumstances of the particular case.   |     |     |
|-----|--|-----|-----|
| 7.4 | The power pursuant to Section 118(4) of the PDI Act, to at the request or with the agreement of the applicant, refer proposed building work to the Commission for an opinion on whether or not it complies with the performance requirements of the Building Code or a Ministerial building standard.  | N/A | N/A |
| 7.5 | The power pursuant to Section 118(6) of the PDI Act if an inconsistency exists between the Building Rules and the Planning Rules in relation to a State heritage place or a local heritage place, to, in determining an application for building rules consent, ensure, so far as is reasonably practicable, that standards of building soundness, occupant safety and amenity are achieved in respect of the development that are as good as can reasonably be achieved in the circumstances. | N/A | N/A |
| 7.6 | The power pursuant to Section 118(7) of the PDI Act to seek and consider the advice of the Commission before imposing or agreeing to a requirement under Section 18(6) of the PDI Act that would be at variance with the performance requirements of the Building Code or a Ministerial building standard.   | N/A | N/A |
| 7.7 | The power pursuant to Section 118(8) of the PDI Act, to, subject to the PDI Act, accept that proposed building work complies with the Building Rules to the extent that:   | N/A | N/A |
|     | 7.7.1 such compliance is certified by the provision of technical details, particulars, plans, drawings or specifications prepared and certified in accordance with the regulations; or   |     |     |
|     | 7.7.2 such compliance is certified by a building certifier.  |     |     |
| 7.8 | The power pursuant to Section 118(10) of the PDI Act to refuse to grant a consent in relation to any development if, as a result of that development, the type or standard of construction of a building of a particular classification would cease to conform with the  | N/A | N/A |

Marion Council Assessment Panel - Instrument of Delegation - Instrument C

DRAFT - 13 -

INSTRUMENT C
INSTRUMENT OF DELEGATION UNDER THE PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE
ACT 2016, PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (GENERAL) REGULATIONS 2017
AND PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (FEES, CHARGES AND CONTRIBUTIONS)
REGULATIONS 2019 OF POWERS OF AN ASSESSMENT PANEL

|    |       | require<br>classifi  | ments of the Building Rules for a building of that cation  |                       |   |  |
|----|-------|--|--|-----------------------|---|--|
|    | 7.9   | if a rele<br>in relati<br>Building<br>notice of<br>applica | wer pursuant to Section 118(11) of the PDI Act, evant authority decides to grant building consent on to a development that is at variance with the g Rules, to, subject to the regulations, in giving of the relevant authority's decision on the tion for that consent, specify (in the notice or in ompanying document): | N/A                   | N/A   |  |
|    |       | 7.9.1  | the variance; and  |                       |   |  |
|    |       | 7.9.2  | the grounds on which the decision is being made.   |                       |   |  |
| 8. | Appli | Application and Provision of Information                   |  |                       |   |  |
|    | 8.1   | to requ<br>purpos  | wer pursuant to Section 119(1)(b) of the PDI Act ire an application to the relevant authority for the es of Part 7 of the PDI Act, to include any ation as the delegate may reasonably require.  | Assessment<br>Manager | MDRS TLP SDOP DOP SPP TLB SDOB DOPA AC ASODS SO   |  |
|    | 8.2   |  | wer pursuant to Section 119(3) of the PDI Act to tan applicant:  |                       |   |  |
|    |       | 8.2.1  | to provide such additional documents, assessments or information (including calculations and technical details) as the delegate may reasonably require to assess the application;  | Assessment<br>Manager | <ul> <li>MDRS</li> <li>TLP</li> <li>SDOP</li> <li>DOP</li> <li>SPP</li> <li>TLB</li> <li>SDOB</li> <li>DOPA</li> <li>AC</li> <li>ASODS</li> </ul> |  |

Marion Council Assessment Panel - Instrument of Delegation - Instrument C

DRAFT - 14 -

INSTRUMENT C
INSTRUMENT OF DELEGATION UNDER THE PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE
ACT 2016, PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (GENERAL) REGULATIONS 2017
AND PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (FEES, CHARGES AND CONTRIBUTIONS)
REGULATIONS 2019 OF POWERS OF AN ASSESSMENT PANEL

|       |   |                       | • SO<br>• DCO  |
|-------|---|-----------------------|--|
| 8.2.2 | to remedy any defect or deficiency in any application or accompanying document or information required by or under the PDI Act; | Assessment<br>Manager | MDRS     TLP     SDOP     DOP     SPP     TLB     SDOB     DOPA     AC     ASODS     SO     DCO  |
| 8.2.3 | to consult with an authority or body prescribed by the regulations;   | Assessment<br>Manager | <ul> <li>MDRS</li> <li>TLP</li> <li>SDOP</li> <li>DOP</li> <li>SPP</li> <li>TLB</li> <li>SDOB</li> <li>DOPA</li> <li>AC</li> <li>ASODS</li> <li>SO</li> <li>DCO</li> </ul> |
| 8.2.4 | to comply with any other requirement prescribed by the regulations.   | Assessment<br>Manager | <ul> <li>MDRS</li> <li>TLP</li> <li>SDOP</li> <li>DOP</li> <li>SPP</li> <li>TLB</li> <li>SDOB</li> <li>DOPA</li> <li>AC</li> <li>ASODS</li> <li>SO</li> <li>DCO</li> </ul> |

Marion Council Assessment Panel - Instrument of Delegation - Instrument C

DRAFT - 15 -

INSTRUMENT C
INSTRUMENT OF DELEGATION UNDER THE PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE
ACT 2016, PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (GENERAL) REGULATIONS 2017
AND PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (FEES, CHARGES AND CONTRIBUTIONS)
REGULATIONS 2019 OF POWERS OF AN ASSESSMENT PANEL

| a<br>ar | he power pursuant to Section 119(6) of the PDI Act if request is made under Section 119(3) of the PDI Act, and the request is not complied with within the time pecified by the regulations, to | Assessment<br>Manager | • MDRS • TLP • SDOP • DOP • SPP  |
|---------|---|-----------------------|--|
| 8.      | 3.1 subject to Section 119(6)(b)(ii) of the PDI Act, refuse the application; and  |                       |  |
| 8.      | 3.2 refuse the application in prescribed circumstances (including, if the regulations so provide, in a case involving development that is deemed-to-satisfy development).                       |                       |  |
| in      | he power pursuant to Section 119(7) of the PDI Act to, a dealing with an application that relates to a regulated ee, consider that special circumstances apply.                                 | Assessment<br>Manager | <ul><li>MDRS</li><li>TLP</li><li>SDOP</li><li>DOP</li><li>SPP</li></ul>              |
| 8.5 TI  | he power pursuant to Section 119(9) of the PDI Act to:  |                       |  |
| 8.      | .5.1 permit an applicant:   |                       |  |
|         | 8.5.1.1 to vary an application;   | Assessment<br>Manager | <ul><li>MDRS</li><li>TLP</li><li>SDOP</li><li>DOP</li><li>DOPA</li><li>SPP</li></ul> |
|         | 8.5.1.2 to vary any plans, drawings, specifications or other documents that accompanied an application,  (provided that the essential nature of the proposed development is not changed);       | Assessment<br>Manager | • MDRS • TLP • SDOP • DOP • DOPA • SPP   |

Marion Council Assessment Panel - Instrument of Delegation - Instrument C

DRAFT - 16 -

INSTRUMENT C
INSTRUMENT OF DELEGATION UNDER THE PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE
ACT 2016, PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (GENERAL) REGULATIONS 2017
AND PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (FEES, CHARGES AND CONTRIBUTIONS)
REGULATIONS 2019 OF POWERS OF AN ASSESSMENT PANEL

|     | 8.5.2               | permit an applicant to lodge an application without the provision of any information or document required by the regulations;  | Assessment<br>Manager | MDRS     TLP     SDOP     DOP     DOP     SPP     DOPA  |
|-----|---------------------|--|-----------------------|---|
|     | 8.5.3               | to the extent that the fee is payable to the relevant authority waive payment of whole or part of the application fee, or refund an application fee (in whole or in part);   | Assessment<br>Manager | MDRS  Based on     existing sub- delegations for s 39(4)(c) Development Act                             |
|     | 8.5.4               | if there is an inconsistency between any documents lodged with the relevant authority for the purposes of Part 7 of the PDI Act (whether by an applicant or any other person), or between any such document and a development authorisation that has already been given that is relevant in the circumstances, return or forward any document to the applicant or to any other person and determine not to finalise the matter until any specified matter is resolved, rectified or addressed. | Assessment<br>Manager | MDRS     TLP     SDOP     DOP     DOPA  Based on existing subdelegations for s 39(4)(e) Development Act |
| 8.6 | to gran             | wer pursuant to Section 119(10) of the PDI Act t a permission under Section 119(9) of the PDI conditionally or subject to such conditions as the te thinks fit.  | Assessment<br>Manager | <ul><li>MDRS</li><li>TLP</li><li>SDOP</li><li>DOP</li><li>SPP</li><li>DOPA</li></ul>                    |
| 8.7 | to, in a<br>underta | wer pursuant to Section 119(12) of the PDI Act consent, provide for, or envisage, the aking of development in stages, with separate its or approvals for the various stages.   | Assessment<br>Manager | • MDRS • TLP • SDOP • DOP • DCO   |

Marion Council Assessment Panel - Instrument of Delegation - Instrument C

**DRAFT** - 17 -

INSTRUMENT C
INSTRUMENT OF DELEGATION UNDER THE PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE
ACT 2016, PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (GENERAL) REGULATIONS 2017
AND PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (FEES, CHARGES AND CONTRIBUTIONS)
REGULATIONS 2019 OF POWERS OF AN ASSESSMENT PANEL

|     | 8.8    | to if an   | wer pursuant to Section 119(14) of the PDI Act applicant withdraws an application to determine nd the application fee.  | Assessment<br>Manager | • MI                  | ORS                             |
|-----|--------|--|---|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|
| 9.  | Outlin | ne Cons  | ent   |                       |                       |                                 |
|     | 9.1    | and su   | wer pursuant to Section 120(1) of the PDI Act<br>bject to Section 120 of the PDI Act, to on<br>tion, grant a consent in the nature of an outline<br>it.                                       |                       | until pi<br>direction | sary to<br>e operation<br>20 is |
|     | 9.2    | an outl<br>applica<br>develo   | wer pursuant to Section 120(3) of the PDI Act if ine consent is granted and a subsequent tion is made with respect to the same pment (subject to any variations allowed by a e direction) to: | As per 9.1 above      | As per                | 9.1 above                       |
|     |        | 9.2.1  | grant any consent contemplated by the outline consent; and  |                       |                       |                                 |
|     |        | 9.2.2  | not impose a requirement that is inconsistent with the outline consent.   |                       |                       |                                 |
| 10. | Desig  | ın Revie   | w   |                       |                       |                                 |
|     | 10.1   | The power pursuant to Section 121(7) of the PDI Act, to in acting under the PDI Act, take into account any advice provided by a design panel (insofar as may be relevant to the assessment of proposed development by the delegate). |   | Assessment<br>Manager | • TL                  | OP<br>OP                        |
| 11. | Refer  | rals to C  | Other Authorities or Agencies   |                       |                       |                                 |
|     | 11.1   | where propos   | wer pursuant to Section 122(1) of the PDI Act,<br>an application for consent to, or approval of, a<br>ed development of a prescribed class is to be<br>ed by a relevant authority, to:        | Assessment<br>Manager | • TL<br>• SE<br>• DO  | OOP<br>OPA<br>OPA               |

Marion Council Assessment Panel - Instrument of Delegation - Instrument C

**DRAFT** - 18 -

INSTRUMENT C
INSTRUMENT OF DELEGATION UNDER THE PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE
ACT 2016, PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (GENERAL) REGULATIONS 2017
AND PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (FEES, CHARGES AND CONTRIBUTIONS)
REGULATIONS 2019 OF POWERS OF AN ASSESSMENT PANEL

|     |        | 11.1.1                          | refer the application, together with a copy of<br>any relevant information provided by the<br>applicant, to a body prescribed by the<br>regulations (including, if so prescribed, the<br>Commission); and   |                       |   |
|-----|--------|---------------------------------|---|-----------------------|---|
|     |        | 11.1.2                          | not make a decision until the relevant authority<br>has received a response from that prescribed<br>body in relation to the matter or matters for<br>which the referral was made  |                       |   |
|     |        |                                 | he regulations so provide, subject to Section he PDI Act.   |                       |   |
|     | 11.2   |                                 | wer pursuant to Section 122(5)(b) of the PDI ing by direction of a prescribed body:   | Assessment<br>Manager | As per 11.1   |
|     |        | 11.2.1                          | to refuse the application; or   |                       |   |
|     |        | 11.2.2                          | consent to or approve the development and impose such conditions as the prescribed body thinks fit, (subject to any specific limitation under another Act as to the conditions that may be imposed by the prescribed body)                          |                       |   |
|     |        | where t                         | he regulations so provide.  |                       |   |
|     | 11.3   | the rele<br>to refus<br>an appe | wer pursuant to Section 122(7) of the PDI Act, if vant authority is directed by a prescribed body e an application and the refusal is the subject of eal under the PDI Act, to apply for the relevant y to be joined as a party to the proceedings. | Assessment<br>Manager | MDRS  |
|     | 11.4   | to, if red<br>Section           | wer pursuant to Section 122(10) of the PDI Act<br>quested by an applicant, defer a referral under<br>122 of the PDI Act to a particular stage in the<br>s of assessment.  | Assessment<br>Manager | <ul><li>MDRS</li><li>TLP</li><li>SDOP</li><li>DOP</li><li>SPP</li></ul> |
| 12. | Prelin | ninary A                        | dvice and Agreement   |                       |   |
|     |        |                                 |   |                       |   |

Marion Council Assessment Panel - Instrument of Delegation - Instrument C

**DRAFT** - 19 -

INSTRUMENT C
INSTRUMENT OF DELEGATION UNDER THE PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE
ACT 2016, PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (GENERAL) REGULATIONS 2017
AND PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (FEES, CHARGES AND CONTRIBUTIONS)
REGULATIONS 2019 OF POWERS OF AN ASSESSMENT PANEL

|     | 12.1 | The pov   | wer pursuant to Section 123(2) of the PDI Act, if:  | Assessment<br>Manager | <ul><li>MDRS</li><li>TLP</li><li>SDOP</li><li>DOP</li><li>SPP</li></ul>                       |
|-----|------|---|---|-----------------------|---|
|     |      | 12.1.1  | a proposed development is referred to a prescribed body under Section 123(1) of the PDI Act; and  |                       |   |
|     |      | 12.1.2  | the prescribed body agrees to consider the matter under Section 123 of the PDI Act after taking into account any matter prescribed by the regulations; and  |                       |   |
|     |      | 12.1.3  | the prescribed body agrees, in the manner prescribed by the regulations, that the development meets the requirements (if any) of the prescribed body (including on the basis of the imposition of conditions),  |                       |   |
|     |      | applicated the prescribed applicated applicated prescribed elemen | ect to Section 123(4)of the PDI Act if an tion for planning consent with respect to the oment is lodged with the relevant authority within scribed period after the prescribed body has ad its agreement under Section 123(2)(c) of the it, form the opinion and be satisfied that the tion accords with the agreement indicated by the bed body (taking into account the terms or its of that agreement and any relevant plans and occumentation). |                       |   |
|     | 12.2 | determi<br>Act is n   | wer pursuant to Section 123(4) of the PDI Act to<br>ne an agreement under Section 123 of the PDI<br>o longer appropriate due to the operation of<br>132 of the PDI Act.   | Assessment<br>Manager | <ul><li>MDRS</li><li>TLP</li><li>SDOP</li><li>DOP</li><li>SPP</li><li>(As per 12.1)</li></ul> |
| 13. |      | sed Devications   | relopment Involving Creation of   |                       |   |
|     | 13.1 | the dele  | wer pursuant to Section 124(1) of the PDI Act, if egate has reason to believe that a proposed oment may involve the creation of fortifications,   | Assessment<br>Manager | MDRS  |

Marion Council Assessment Panel - Instrument of Delegation - Instrument C

DRAFT - 20 -

INSTRUMENT C
INSTRUMENT OF DELEGATION UNDER THE PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE
ACT 2016, PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (GENERAL) REGULATIONS 2017
AND PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (FEES, CHARGES AND CONTRIBUTIONS)
REGULATIONS 2019 OF POWERS OF AN ASSESSMENT PANEL

|     |      | to refer the application for consent to, or approval of, the proposed development to the Commissioner of Police (the Commissioner).  |  |   |
|-----|------|--|--|---|
|     | 13.2 | The power pursuant to Section 124(5) of the PDI Act, if the Commissioner determines that the proposed development involves the creation of fortification, to:  | Assessment<br>Manager  | • MDRS  |
|     |      | 13.2.1 if the proposed development consists only of the creation fortifications - refuse the application; or   |  |   |
|     |      | 13.2.2 in any other case - impose conditions in respect of any consent to or approval of the proposed development prohibiting the creation of the fortifications   |  |   |
|     | 13.3 | The power pursuant to Section 124(6) of the PDI Act, if the relevant authority acting on the basis of a determination of the Commissioner under Section 124(2) of the PDI Act refuses an application or imposes conditions in respect of a development authorisation, to notify the applicant that the application was refused, or the conditions imposed, on the basis of a determination of the Commissioner under Section 124 of the PDI Act. | Assessment<br>Manager  | • MDRS  |
|     | 13.4 | The power pursuant to Section 124(7) of the PDI Act, if a refusal or condition referred to in Section 124(5) of the PDI Act is the subject of an appeal under the PDI Act to apply to the Court to be joined as a party to the appeal.   | Assessment<br>Manager  | • MDRS  |
| 14. | Time | Within Which Decision Must be Made   |  |   |
|     | 14.1 | The power pursuant to Section 125(6) of the PDI Act to form the opinion and consider that the relevant application for planning consent should have been refused and apply to the Court for an order quashing the consent.   | Assessment Manager  This power is to commence an appeal against a deemed approval.  We do recommend it be delegated, | MDRS  Based on other existing D Act sub-delegations, recommend sub-delegation to MDRS if head delegation is made to |
|     |      | rion Council Assessment Panel Instrument of Delegation Instrument C  | particularly as  |   |

Marion Council Assessment Panel - Instrument of Delegation - Instrument C

**DRAFT** - 21 -

INSTRUMENT C
INSTRUMENT OF DELEGATION UNDER THE PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE
ACT 2016, PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (GENERAL) REGULATIONS 2017
AND PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (FEES, CHARGES AND CONTRIBUTIONS)
REGULATIONS 2019 OF POWERS OF AN ASSESSMENT PANEL

|     |       |  | appeals must be commenced within one month.  In relation to how the delegation is made, we refer to Item 11.3, i.e. a delegation to Assessment Manager or MDRS | Assessment<br>Manager   |
|-----|-------|--|--|---|
|     | 14.2  | The power pursuant to Section 125(7) of the Act to apply to the Court for an extension of time to make an application under Section 125(6) of the Act.   | As per 14.1  | As per 14.1   |
| 15. | Deter | mination of Application  |  |   |
|     | 15.1  | The power pursuant to Section 126(1) of the PDI Act to, on making a decision on an application under Part 7 of the PDI Act, give notice of the decision in accordance with the regulations (and, in the case of a refusal, to include in the notice the reasons for the refusal and any appeal rights that exist under the PDI Act). | Assessment<br>Manager  | • MDRS • TLP • SDOP • DOPP • SPP • DOPA • AC • ASODS • SO • DCO |
|     | 15.2  | The power pursuant to Section 126(3) of the PDI Act to, on the delegate's own initiative or on the application of a person who has the benefit of any relevant development authorisation, extend a period prescribed under Section 126(2) of the PDI Act.  | Assessment<br>Manager  | MDRS     TLP  |
| 16. | Cond  | itions   |  |   |
|     | 16.1  | The power pursuant to Section 127(1) of the PDI Act to make a decision subject to such conditions (if any) as the delegate thinks fit to impose in relation to the development.  | Assessment<br>Manager  | MDRS     TLP     SDOP     DOP     DOPA     SPP     DCO          |

Marion Council Assessment Panel - Instrument of Delegation - Instrument C

DRAFT - 22 -

INSTRUMENT C
INSTRUMENT OF DELEGATION UNDER THE PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE
ACT 2016, PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (GENERAL) REGULATIONS 2017
AND PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (FEES, CHARGES AND CONTRIBUTIONS)
REGULATIONS 2019 OF POWERS OF AN ASSESSMENT PANEL

| 16.2 | The power pursuant to Section 127(2)(c) of the PDI Act to vary or revoke a condition in accordance with an application under Part 7 of the PDI Act.   | Assessment<br>Manager | • MDRS • TLP • SDOP • DOP • SPP  |
|------|---|-----------------------|--|
| 16.3 | The power pursuant to Section 127(4) of the PDI Act, subject to Sections 127(6) and (8) of the PDI Act, if a development authorisation provides for the killing, destruction or removal of a regulated tree or a significant tree, to apply the principle that the development authorisation be subject to a condition that the prescribed number of trees (of a kind determined by the delegate) must be planted and maintained to replace the tree (with the cost of planting to be the responsibility of the applicant or any person who acquires the benefit of the consent and the cost of maintenance to be the responsibility of the owner of the land). | Assessment<br>Manager | <ul><li>MDRS</li><li>TLP</li><li>SDOP</li><li>DOP</li><li>SPP</li><li>DOPA</li><li>DCO</li></ul> |
| 16.4 | The power pursuant to Section 127(6) of the PDI Act to, on the application of the applicant, determine that a payment of an amount calculated in accordance with the regulations be made into the relevant fund in lieu of planting 1 or more replacement trees under Section 127(4) of the PDI Act.  | Assessment<br>Manager | • MDRS • TLP • SDOP • DOP • SPP • DOPA • DCO   |
| 16.5 | The power pursuant to Section 127(8)(b) of the PDI Act to:  | Assessment<br>Manager | • MDRS • TLP • SDOP • DOP • SPP • DOPA • DCO   |
|      | 16.5.1 determine that it is appropriate to grant an exemption under Section 127(8)(b) of the PDI Act in a particular case after taking into account any criteria prescribed by the regulations and provided the Minister concurs in the granting of the exemption;  |                       |  |

Marion Council Assessment Panel - Instrument of Delegation - Instrument C

DRAFT - 23 -

INSTRUMENT C
INSTRUMENT OF DELEGATION UNDER THE PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE
ACT 2016, PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (GENERAL) REGULATIONS 2017
AND PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (FEES, CHARGES AND CONTRIBUTIONS)
REGULATIONS 2019 OF POWERS OF AN ASSESSMENT PANEL

|     |        | 16.5.2 to seek the Minister's concurrence to grant an exemption under Section 127(8)(b) of the PDI Act.  |   |   |
|-----|--------|--|---|---|
| 17. | Variat | ion of Authorisation   |   |   |
|     | 17.1   | The power pursuant to Section 128(2)(d) of the PDI Act to approve an application for a variation to a development authorisation previously given under the PDI Act, which seeks to extend the period for which the relevant authorisation remains operative.       | Manager   | MDRS     TLP     SDOP     DOP     SPP     DCO  Based on current sub-delegations for s 40(3) Development Act |
| 18. | Requi  | rement to Up-grade   | No delegations required under Item 18 if standing referral of applications for building consent is made to Council. |   |
|     | 18.1   | The power pursuant to Section 134(1) of the PDI Act to form the opinion that the building is unsafe, structurally unsound or in an unhealthy condition.  |   | N/A   |
|     | 18.2   | The power pursuant to Section 134(1) of the PDI Act, i   | f: N/A  | N/A   |
|     |        | 18.2.1 an application for a building consent relates to  | ):  |   |
|     |        | <ul> <li>18.2.1.1 building work in the nature of an alteration to a building constructed before the date prescribed by regulation for the purposes of Section 134(1) of the PDI Act; or</li> <li>18.2.1.2 a change of classification of a building; and</li> </ul> |   |   |

Marion Council Assessment Panel - Instrument of Delegation - Instrument C

DRAFT - 24 -

INSTRUMENT C
INSTRUMENT OF DELEGATION UNDER THE PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE
ACT 2016, PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (GENERAL) REGULATIONS 2017
AND PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (FEES, CHARGES AND CONTRIBUTIONS)
REGULATIONS 2019 OF POWERS OF AN ASSESSMENT PANEL

|      | 18.2.2                        | the building is, in the opinion of the delegate, unsafe, structurally unsound or in an unhealthy condition,   |     |     |
|------|-------------------------------|---|-----|-----|
|      | requirer<br>extent r          | re that building work that conforms with the ments of the Building Rules be carried out to the easonably necessary to ensure that the building and conforms to proper structural and health ds.   |     |     |
| 18.3 | when in<br>the PDI<br>matters | wer pursuant to Section 134(2) of the PDI Act, nosing a requirement under Section 134(1) of Act, to specify (in reasonable detail) the under Section 134(1)(b) of the PDI Act that the opinion of the delegate, be addressed.   | N/A | N/A |
| 18.4 |                               | wer pursuant to Section 134(3) of the PDI Act to<br>a requirement under Section 134(1) of the PDI   | N/A | N/A |
|      | 18.4.1                        | subject to Section 134(3)(b) of the PDI Act - on<br>the basis that the relevant matters must be<br>addressed as part of the application before the<br>relevant authority will grant building consent;<br>and  |     |     |
|      | 18.4.2                        | in cases prescribed by the regulations - as a condition of the building consent that must be complied with within a prescribed period after the building work to which the application for consent relates is completed   |     |     |
| 18.5 | The pov                       | wer pursuant to Section 134(4) of the PDI Act if:   | N/A | N/A |
|      | 18.5.1                        | an application is made for building consent for<br>building work in the nature of an alteration of a<br>class prescribed by the regulations; and  |     |     |
|      | 18.5.2                        | the delegate is of the opinion that the affected part of the building does not comply with the performance requirements of the Building Code or a Ministerial building standard in relation to access to buildings, and facilities and services within buildings, for people with disabilities, |     |     |

Marion Council Assessment Panel - Instrument of Delegation - Instrument C

DRAFT - 25 -

INSTRUMENT C
INSTRUMENT OF DELEGATION UNDER THE PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE
ACT 2016, PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (GENERAL) REGULATIONS 2017
AND PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (FEES, CHARGES AND CONTRIBUTIONS)
REGULATIONS 2019 OF POWERS OF AN ASSESSMENT PANEL

|     |      | to require that building work or other measures be carried out to the extent necessary to ensure that the affected part of the building will comply with those performance requirements of the Building Code or the Ministerial building standard (as the case may be). |                       |                      |
|-----|------|---|-----------------------|----------------------|
|     | 18.6 | The power pursuant to Section 134(5) of the PDI Act to impose a requirement under Section 134(4) of the PDI Act:  | N/A                   | N/A                  |
|     |      | 18.6.1 subject to Section 134(5)(b) of the PDI Act - on the basis that the building work or other measures to achieve compliance with the relevant performance requirements must be addressed before the relevant authority will grant building consent; and            |                       |                      |
|     |      | 18.6.2 in cases prescribed by the regulations - as a condition of the building consent that must be complied with within a prescribed period after the building work to which the application for consent relates is completed.   |                       |                      |
| 19. | Canc | ellation of Development Authorisation   |                       |                      |
|     | 19.1 | The power pursuant to Section 143(1) of the PDI Act to, on the application of a person who has the benefit of the authorisation, cancel a development authorisation previously given by the relevant authority.   | Assessment<br>Manager | MDRS     TLP     TLB |
|     | 19.2 | The power pursuant to Section 143(2) of the PDI Act to make a cancellation under Section 143(1) of the PDI Act subject to such conditions (if any) as the delegate thinks fit to impose.  | Assessment<br>Manager | MDRS     TLP     TLB |

Marion Council Assessment Panel - Instrument of Delegation - Instrument C

**DRAFT** - 26 -

INSTRUMENT C
INSTRUMENT OF DELEGATION UNDER THE PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE
ACT 2016, PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (GENERAL) REGULATIONS 2017
AND PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (FEES, CHARGES AND CONTRIBUTIONS)
REGULATIONS 2019 OF POWERS OF AN ASSESSMENT PANEL

| 20. | Profe<br>Matte |   | Advice to be Obtained in Relation to Certain  |                       |      |      |
|-----|----------------|---|---|-----------------------|------|------|
|     | 20.1           | to, in th   | wer pursuant to Section 235(1) of the PDI Act,<br>e exercise of a prescribed function, rely on a<br>ste of a person with prescribed qualifications.   | Assessment<br>Manager | • MI | ORS  |
|     | 20.2           | The power pursuant to Section 235(2) of the PDI Act to seek and consider the advice of a person with prescribed qualifications, or a person approved by the Minister for that purpose, in relation to a matter arising under the PDI Act that is declared by regulation to be a matter on which such advice should be sought. |   | Assessment<br>Manager | •    | MDRS |
| 21. | Gene           | ral Trans   | sitional Schemes for Panels   |                       |      |      |
|     | 21.1           | The pov   | wer pursuant to Clause 12(7) of Schedule 8 of Act, to   | N/A                   | N/A  |      |
|     |                | 21.1.1  | adopt any findings or determinations of a council development assessment panel under the repealed Act that may be relevant to an application made before the relevant day under the repealed Act; and |                       |      |      |
|     |                | 21.1.2  | adopt or make any decision (including a decision in the nature of a determination), direction or order in relation to an application made before the relevant day under the repealed Act; and         |                       |      |      |
|     |                | 21.1.3  | deal with any matter that is subject to a reserved decision under the repealed Act before the relevant day; and   |                       |      |      |
|     |                | 21.1.4  | deal with any requirement or grant any<br>variation imposed or proposed in connection<br>with an application made before the relevant<br>day under the repealed Act; and                              |                       |      |      |
|     |                | 21.1.5  | deal with any requirement or grant any variation imposed or proposed in connection with an application made before the relevant day under the repealed Act.   |                       |      |      |

Marion Council Assessment Panel - Instrument of Delegation - Instrument C

**DRAFT** - 27 -

INSTRUMENT C
INSTRUMENT OF DELEGATION UNDER THE PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE
ACT 2016, PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (GENERAL) REGULATIONS 2017
AND PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (FEES, CHARGES AND CONTRIBUTIONS)
REGULATIONS 2019 OF POWERS OF AN ASSESSMENT PANEL

| 22. | Continuation of Processes |        | This Clause will not<br>become<br>operational.<br>Delegations are<br>not required for<br>Item 22.   |     |     |  |
|-----|---------------------------|--------|---|-----|-----|--|
|     | 22.1                      |        | wer pursuant to Clause 18(2) of Schedule 8 of Act, to:  | N/A | N/A |  |
|     |                           | 22.1.1 | adopt any findings or determinations of a relevant authority under the repealed Act that may be relevant to an application to which Clause 18(1) of Schedule 8 of the PDI Act applies; and  |     |     |  |
|     |                           | 22.1.2 | adopt or make any decision (including a decision in the nature of a determination), direction or order in relation to an application to which Clause 18(1) of Schedule 8 of the PDI Act applies; and  |     |     |  |
|     |                           | 22.1.3 | deal with any matter that is subject to a reserved decision under the repealed Act before the designated day; and   |     |     |  |
|     |                           | 22.1.4 | deal with any requirement or grant any variation imposed or proposed in connection with an application to which Clause 18(1) of Schedule 8 of the PDI Act applies; and  |     |     |  |
|     |                           | 22.1.5 | take any other step or make any other determination authorised by the regulations, or that is reasonably necessary to promote or ensure a smooth transition on account of the transfer of functions, powers or duties under Clause 18 of Schedule 8 of the PDI Act. |     |     |  |

Marion Council Assessment Panel - Instrument of Delegation - Instrument C

DRAFT - 28 -

INSTRUMENT C
INSTRUMENT OF DELEGATION UNDER THE PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE
ACT 2016, PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (GENERAL) REGULATIONS 2017
AND PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (FEES, CHARGES AND CONTRIBUTIONS)
REGULATIONS 2019 OF POWERS OF AN ASSESSMENT PANEL

# PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (GENERAL) **REGULATIONS 2017**

| 23. | Accre  | dited Pr  | ofessiona  | Is   | Delegation  | Sub-delegation  |
|-----|--------|---|--|--|---|---|
|     | 23.1   | Develop<br>2017 (tl<br>be satis<br>accredit<br>and Infr<br>2019, a<br>registra<br>enginee<br>act as a | oment and ne General fied, on the tation auth astructure relevant pation or accepting or other tandard and tandard | Int to Regulation 25(7)(c) of the Planning Infrastructure (General) Regulations Il Regulations of advice received from the ority under the Planning, Development (Accredited Professionals) Regulations rofessional association, or other relevant reditation authority, that a person has ler qualifications that qualify the person to expert under Regulation 25 of the ens. | necessary to delegate this power if standing referral to Council is made. | N/A   |
| 24. | Verifi | cation of   | Applicati  | on   |   |   |
|     | 24.1   | Regulat<br>119 of t<br>under th<br>an appli   | ions, on the PDI Ac<br>ne Genera<br>ication has  | int to Regulation 31(1) of the General in receipt of an application under Section it, and in addition to any other requirement Regulations, to, in order to ensure that is been correctly lodged and can be indicate with the PDI Act:   |   |   |
|     |        | 24.1.1  | determin   | e the nature of the development; and   | Assessment<br>Manager   | <ul> <li>MDRS</li> <li>TLP</li> <li>SDOP</li> <li>DOP</li> <li>SPP</li> <li>DOPA</li> <li>TLB</li> <li>SDOB</li> <li>DCO</li> </ul> |
|     |        | 24.1.2  | if the app   | lication is for planning consent -<br>e:   |   |   |
|     |        |   | 24.1.2.1   | whether the development involves 2 or<br>more elements and, if so, identify each<br>of those elements for the purposes of<br>assessment against the provisions of th<br>Planning and Design Code; and  | Assessment<br>Manager   | Per 24.1.1  |

Marion Council Assessment Panel - Instrument of Delegation - Instrument C

**DRAFT** - 29 -

INSTRUMENT C
INSTRUMENT OF DELEGATION UNDER THE PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE
ACT 2016, PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (GENERAL) REGULATIONS 2017
AND PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (FEES, CHARGES AND CONTRIBUTIONS)
REGULATIONS 2019 OF POWERS OF AN ASSESSMENT PANEL

|        |   |                       | T =  |
|--------|---|-----------------------|--|
|        | 24.1.2.2 the category or categories of development that apply for the purposes of development assessment; and   | Assessment<br>Manager | Per 24.1.1   |
| 24.1.3 | determine whether the relevant authority is the correct entity to assess the application under the PDI Act; and   | Assessment<br>Manager | Per 24.1.1   |
| 24.1.4 | if the relevant authority is the correct entity to assess the application (or any part of the application):   |                       |  |
|        | 24.1.4.1 check that the appropriate documents and information have been lodged with the application; and  | Assessment<br>Manager | Per 24.1.1   |
|        | 24.1.4.2 confirm the fees required to be paid at that point under the <i>Planning</i> ,  Development and Infrastructure (Fees, Charges and Contributions)  Regulations 2019; and  | Assessment<br>Manager | <ul> <li>MDRS</li> <li>TLP</li> <li>SDOP</li> <li>DOP</li> <li>SPP</li> <li>DOPA</li> <li>TLB</li> <li>SDOB</li> <li>AC</li> <li>ASODS</li> <li>SO</li> <li>DCO</li> </ul> |
|        | 24.1.4.3 provide an appropriate notice via the SA planning portal; and  | Assessment<br>Manager | Per 24.1.1   |
| 24.1.5 | if the relevant authority is not the correct entity to assess the application (or any part of the application):   | Assessment<br>Manager | Per 24.1.1   |
|        | 24.1.5.1 provide the application (or any relevant part of the application), and any relevant plans, drawings, specifications and other documents and information in its possession, to the entity that the delegate considers to be the correct |                       |  |

Marion Council Assessment Panel - Instrument of Delegation - Instrument C

DRAFT - 30 -

INSTRUMENT C
INSTRUMENT OF DELEGATION UNDER THE PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE
ACT 2016, PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (GENERAL) REGULATIONS 2017
AND PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (FEES, CHARGES AND CONTRIBUTIONS)
REGULATIONS 2019 OF POWERS OF AN ASSESSMENT PANEL

|     |       | relevant authority in accordance   | a with any                               |  |
|-----|-------|--|--|--|
|     |       | practice direction; and  | e with any                               |  |
|     |       | 24.1.5.2 provide an appropriate notice v planning portal.  | ia the SA                                |  |
| 25. | Appli | cation and Further Information   |  |  |
|     | 25.1  | The power pursuant to Regulation 33(4) of the Ge Regulations to seek clarification about any docume information that has been provided by the applicant that the second second second second second second second second sec   | ent or Manager                           | <ul> <li>MDRS</li> <li>TLP</li> <li>SDOP</li> <li>DOP</li> <li>SPP</li> <li>DOPA</li> <li>TLB</li> <li>SDOB</li> <li>AC</li> <li>ASODS</li> <li>SO</li> <li>DCO</li> </ul> |
| 26. | Amen  | ded Applications   |  |  |
|     | 26.1  | The power pursuant to Regulation 35(3) of the Ge Regulations if an application is varied following refunder Division 2 or giving of notice under Division the variations are not substantial, consider the application the need to repeat an action otherwise requinder Division 2 or Division 3.  | erral Manager<br>3, to, if<br>olication  | • MDRS • TLP • SDOP • DOP • SPP • DOPA • TLB • SDOB • DCO  |
|     | 26.2  | The power pursuant to Regulation 35(4) of the Ge Regulations if a variation would change the essent of a proposed development (as referred to in Secti 119(9)(a) of the PDI Act), to agree with the application proceed with the variation on the basis that the ap (as so varied) will be treated as a new application General Regulations. | tial nature Manager ion unt to plication | Per 26.1   |
| 27. | Withd | rawing/Lapsing Applications  |  |  |

Marion Council Assessment Panel - Instrument of Delegation - Instrument C

**DRAFT** - 31 -

INSTRUMENT C
INSTRUMENT OF DELEGATION UNDER THE PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE
ACT 2016, PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (GENERAL) REGULATIONS 2017
AND PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (FEES, CHARGES AND CONTRIBUTIONS)
REGULATIONS 2019 OF POWERS OF AN ASSESSMENT PANEL

| 27.1      | The power pursuant to Regulation 38(1) of the General Regulations if an application is withdrawn by the applicant under Section 119(14) of the PDI Act, to notify:             | Assessment<br>Manager | <ul> <li>MDRS</li> <li>TLP</li> <li>SDOP</li> <li>DOP</li> <li>SPP</li> <li>DOPA</li> <li>TLB</li> <li>SDOB</li> <li>AC</li> <li>ASODS</li> <li>SO</li> <li>DCO</li> </ul> |
|-----------|--|-----------------------|--|
|           | 27.1.1 any agency to which the application has been referred under Division 2 of the General Regulations; and  |                       |  |
|           | 27.1.2 any person who has made a representation in relation to the application under Division 3 of the General Regulations,  |                       |  |
|           | of the withdrawal.   |                       |  |
| 27.2      | The power pursuant to Regulation 38(3) of the General Regulations before taking action to lapse an application under Regulation 38(2) of the General Regulations, to:          |                       |  |
|           | 27.2.1 take reasonable steps to notify the applicant of the action under consideration; and  | Assessment<br>Manager | MDRS     TLP     SDOP     DOP     AC     ASODS     SO  |
|           | 27.2.2 allow the applicant a reasonable opportunity to make submissions to the delegate (in a manner and form determined by the delegate) about the proposed course of action. | Assessment<br>Manager | MDRS     TLP     SDOP  |
| 28. Court | Proceedings  |                       |  |

Marion Council Assessment Panel - Instrument of Delegation - Instrument C

**DRAFT** - 32 -

INSTRUMENT C
INSTRUMENT OF DELEGATION UNDER THE PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE
ACT 2016, PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (GENERAL) REGULATIONS 2017
AND PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (FEES, CHARGES AND CONTRIBUTIONS)
REGULATIONS 2019 OF POWERS OF AN ASSESSMENT PANEL

|     | 28.1   | The power pursuant to Regulation 40 of the General Regulations to, subject to Section 214(14) of the PDI Act, by notice in writing to the applicant, decline to deal with the application until any proceedings under the PDI Act have been concluded.   | Assessment Manager  This power relates to how the delegate will choose to conduct ongoing court proceedings. Out of an abundance of caution, we recommend it be delegated as per 11.3 (i.e. to Assessment Manger or MDRS) | • MDRS   |
|-----|--------|--|---|--|
| 29. | Additi | onal Information or Amended Plans  |   |  |
|     | 29.1   | The power pursuant to Regulation 42(1) of the General Regulations if a delegate has referred an application to a prescribed body under Division 1 of the General Regulations and the relevant authority subsequently receives additional information, or an amended plan, drawing or specification, which is materially relevant to the referral, or to any report obtained as part of the referral process, to repeat the referral process. | Assessment<br>Manager   | <ul><li>MDRS</li><li>TLP</li><li>SDOP</li><li>DOP</li><li>SPP</li><li>DOPA</li></ul> |
| 30. | Buildi | ng Matters   | It is not necessary to delegate any powers or functions under Item 31 if standing referral to Council is made.  |  |
|     | 30.1   | The power pursuant to Regulation 45(1) of the General Regulations to, if in assessing an application for building consent, the delegate considers that:  | N/A   | N/A  |

Marion Council Assessment Panel - Instrument of Delegation - Instrument C

DRAFT - 33 -

INSTRUMENT C
INSTRUMENT OF DELEGATION UNDER THE PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE
ACT 2016, PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (GENERAL) REGULATIONS 2017
AND PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (FEES, CHARGES AND CONTRIBUTIONS)
REGULATIONS 2019 OF POWERS OF AN ASSESSMENT PANEL

|      | 30.1.1 a proposed performance solution within the meaning of the Building Code requires assessmer against a performance requirement of the Building Code which provides for the intervention of a fire authority; or  |          |     |
|------|---|----------|-----|
|      | 30.1.2 the proposed development is at variance with a performance requirement of the Building Code which provides for the intervention of a fire authority; or  |          |     |
|      | 30.1.3 special problems for fire fighting could arise due to hazardous conditions of a kind described in Section E of the Building Code,  |          |     |
|      | refer the application to the relevant fire authority for comment and report unless the fire authority indicates to the delegate that a referral is not required.  |          |     |
| 30.2 | The power pursuant to Regulation 45(2) of the General Regulations, if a report is not received from the fire authorit on a referral under Regulation 45(1) of the General Regulations within 20 business days, to presume that the fire authority does not desire to make a report. | N/A<br>y | N/A |
| 30.3 | The power pursuant to Regulation 45(3) of the General Regulations to have regard to any report received from a fire authority under Regulation 45 of the General Regulations.   | N/A      | N/A |
| 30.4 | The power pursuant to Regulation 45(4) of the General Regulations, if, in respect of an application referred to a fire authority under Regulation 45 of the General Regulations, the fire authority:  | N/A      | N/A |
|      | 30.4.1 recommends against the granting of building consent; or  |          |     |
|      | 30.4.2 concurs in the granting of consent on conditions specified in its report,  |          |     |
|      | but the delegate:   |          |     |

Marion Council Assessment Panel - Instrument of Delegation - Instrument C

DRAFT - 34 -

INSTRUMENT C
INSTRUMENT OF DELEGATION UNDER THE PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE
ACT 2016, PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (GENERAL) REGULATIONS 2017
AND PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (FEES, CHARGES AND CONTRIBUTIONS)
REGULATIONS 2019 OF POWERS OF AN ASSESSMENT PANEL

|     |        | 30.4.3                          | proposes to grant building consent despite a recommendation referred to in Regulation 45(4)(a) of the General Regulations; or  |                       |   |
|-----|--------|---------------------------------|--|-----------------------|---|
|     |        | 30.4.4                          | does not propose to impose the conditions referred to in Regulation 45(b) of the General Regulations, or proposes to impose the conditions in varied form, on the grant of consent,  |                       |   |
|     |        | to:                             |  |                       |   |
|     |        | 30.4.5                          | refer the application to the Commission; and   |                       |   |
|     |        | 30.4.6                          | not grant consent unless the Commission concurs in the granting of the consent.  |                       |   |
|     | 30.5   | Regular<br>report r<br>of the C | wer pursuant to Regulation 45(5) of the General tions to provide to the Commission a copy of any eceived from a fire authority under Regulation 45(1) General Regulations that relates to an application referred to the Commission under the PDI Act. | N/A                   | N/A   |
| 31. | Prelir | ninary A                        | dvice and Agreement (Section 123)  |                       |   |
|     | 31.1   |                                 | wer pursuant to Regulation 46(6) of the General tions, if:   |                       |   |
|     |        | 31.1.1                          | the delegate permits an applicant to vary an application under Section 119(9) of the PDI Act; and  | Assessment<br>Manager | <ul><li>MDRS</li><li>TLP</li><li>SDOP</li><li>DOP</li><li>SPP</li></ul> |
|     |        | 31.1.2                          | the delegate determines that the application no longer accords with the agreement indicated by the prescribed body,  | Assessment<br>Manager | Per 31.1.1  |
|     |        | to refer<br>body:               | the application (unless withdrawn) to the prescribed   |                       |   |
|     |        | 31.1.3                          | to obtain a variation to the agreement under<br>Section 123 of the PDI Act; or   | Assessment<br>Manager | Per 31.1.1  |

Marion Council Assessment Panel - Instrument of Delegation - Instrument C

**DRAFT** - 35 -

INSTRUMENT C
INSTRUMENT OF DELEGATION UNDER THE PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE
ACT 2016, PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (GENERAL) REGULATIONS 2017
AND PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (FEES, CHARGES AND CONTRIBUTIONS)
REGULATIONS 2019 OF POWERS OF AN ASSESSMENT PANEL

|      | 24 4 4             | to obtain a reasonage from the properited bady for  | Aaaaaama:-t           | Dor 20   | 1 1 1                        |
|------|--------------------|---|-----------------------|--|------------------------------|
|      | 31.1.4             | to obtain a response from the prescribed body for the purposes of Section 122 of the PDI Act.                           | Assessment<br>Manager | Per 3  | 1.1.1                        |
| 31.2 | The pov<br>Regulat | wer pursuant to Regulation 46(7) of the General<br>tions if:  | Assessment<br>Manager | <ul><li>TL</li><li>SE</li><li>DC</li><li>SF</li><li>AC</li></ul> | OOP<br>OP<br>PP<br>C<br>GODS |
|      | 31.2.1             | an application is withdrawn by the applicant; and   |                       |  |                              |
|      | 31.2.2             | the applicant sought to rely on an agreement under<br>Section 123 of the PDI Act in connection with the<br>application, |                       |  |                              |
|      | to notify          | relevant prescribed body of the withdrawal.   |                       |  |                              |
| 31.3 | The pov<br>Regulat | wer pursuant to Regulation 46(8) of the General<br>tions, if:   | Assessment<br>Manager | <ul><li>TL</li><li>SE</li><li>DC</li><li>SF</li></ul>            | OOP<br>OP<br>PP<br>C<br>GODS |
|      | 31.3.1             | an application is lapsed by a relevant authority<br>under Regulation 38 of the General Regulations;<br>and              |                       |  |                              |
|      | 31.3.2             | the applicant sought to rely on an agreement under<br>Section 123 of the PDI Act in connection with the<br>application, |                       |  |                              |
|      | to notify          | the relevant prescribed body of the lapsing.  |                       |  |                              |
| 31.4 | The pov<br>Regulat | wer pursuant to Regulation 46(9) of the General<br>tions, if:   | Assessment<br>Manager | • TL   | OP<br>OP                     |

Marion Council Assessment Panel - Instrument of Delegation - Instrument C

DRAFT - 36 -

INSTRUMENT C
INSTRUMENT OF DELEGATION UNDER THE PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE
ACT 2016, PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (GENERAL) REGULATIONS 2017
AND PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (FEES, CHARGES AND CONTRIBUTIONS)
REGULATIONS 2019 OF POWERS OF AN ASSESSMENT PANEL

|     |                 | 31.4.1              | an applicant seeks to rely on an agreement under<br>Section 123 of the PDI Act in connection with the   |                       | • AC<br>• ASODS<br>• SO   |
|-----|-----------------|---------------------|---|-----------------------|---|
|     |                 | 31.4.2              | application; and a notice of a decision on the application is issued by the delegate under Regulation 57 of the General Regulations,  |                       |   |
|     |                 | 5 busin             | de a copy of the notice to the prescribed body within<br>ess days after the notice is given to the applicant<br>Regulation 57 of the General Regulations.   |                       |   |
| 32. | Notifi<br>of La |                     | f Application of Tree-damaging Activity to Owner  |                       |   |
|     | 32.1            | Regulat<br>a tree-c | wer pursuant to Regulation 48 of the General<br>tions, if an owner of land to which an application for<br>lamaging activity in relation to a regulated tree<br>is not a party to the application, to: |                       |   |
|     |                 | 32.1.1              | give the owner notice of the application within 5 business days after the application is made; and  | Assessment<br>Manager | MDRS     TLP     SDOP     DOPA     DOPA     SPP     AC     ASODS     SO     DCO  Based on current sub-delegations for r 18(a) Development Regulations |
|     |                 | 32.1.2              | give due consideration in the delegate's assessment of the application to any submission made by the owner within 10 business days after  | Assessment<br>Manager | <ul><li>MDRS</li><li>TLP</li><li>SDOP</li><li>DOP</li></ul>   |

Marion Council Assessment Panel - Instrument of Delegation - Instrument C

**DRAFT** - 37 -

INSTRUMENT C
INSTRUMENT OF DELEGATION UNDER THE PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE
ACT 2016, PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (GENERAL) REGULATIONS 2017
AND PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (FEES, CHARGES AND CONTRIBUTIONS)
REGULATIONS 2019 OF POWERS OF AN ASSESSMENT PANEL

|     | the giving of notice under Regulation 48 of the General Regulations.   |   | <ul><li>DOPA</li><li>SPP</li><li>DCO</li></ul>  |
|-----|--|---|---|
| 33. | Public Inspection of Applications  |   |   |
|     | 33.1 The power pursuant to Regulation 49(3) of the General Regulations to request a person verify information in such manner as the delegate thinks fit. | Assessment<br>Manager   | MDRS     TLP     SDOP     DOP     DOP     SPP     DCO     DOPA     TLB     SDOB     DOB     AC     ASODS     SO     DCO |
| 34. | Representations  | Powers in Item 34 do not require delegation unless the Panel is considering delegating to Assessment Manger the power to determine applications in relation to which representors wish to be heard. |   |
|     | 34.1 The power pursuant to Regulation 50(5) of the General Regulations to, if the delegate considers that it would assist                                | N/A   | N/A   |

Marion Council Assessment Panel - Instrument of Delegation - Instrument C

DRAFT - 38 -

INSTRUMENT C
INSTRUMENT OF DELEGATION UNDER THE PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE
ACT 2016, PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (GENERAL) REGULATIONS 2017
AND PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (FEES, CHARGES AND CONTRIBUTIONS)
REGULATIONS 2019 OF POWERS OF AN ASSESSMENT PANEL

| 34.1.1 who has made a representation under Regulation 50(1) of the General Regulations in relation to development being assessed under Section 107 of the PDI Act; and  34.1.2 who has indicated an interest in appearing before the delegate,  an opportunity (at a time determined by the delegate) to appear personally or by representative before the delegate to be heard in support of the representation that has been made under Regulation 50(1) of the General Regulations.  35.1 The power pursuant to Regulation 51(1) of the General Regulations to allow a response to a representation by the applicant to be made within such longer period as the delegate may allow.  4 Assessment Manager  5 MDRS  TLP  SDOP  DOPA  DOP  SPP  36.1 The power pursuant to Regulation 57(4)(a) of the General Regulations to endorse a set of any approved plans and other relevant documentation with an appropriate form of authentication.  Assessment Manager   |     |       | the delegate in making a decision on the application, allow a person:   |   |
|---|-----|-------|---|---|
| the delegate,  an opportunity (at a time determined by the delegate) to appear personally or by representative before the delegate to be heard in support of the representation that has been made under Regulation 50(1) of the General Regulations.  35. Response by Applicant  35.1 The power pursuant to Regulation 51(1) of the General Regulations to allow a response to a representation by the applicant to be made within such longer period as the delegate may allow.  4 Assessment Manager  5 MDRS  7 TLP  5 DOP  6 DOPA  7 DOP  7 SPP  36.1 The power pursuant to Regulation 57(4)(a) of the General Regulations to endorse a set of any approved plans and other relevant documentation with an appropriate form of authentication.  Assessment Manager  6 MDRS  7 TLP  8 DOP  9 DOP  9 SPP  10 DOPA  1 TLB  9 SDOP  1 DOPA  1 TLB  9 SDOB  1 AC  1 ASODS  9 SO  1 DOPA  1 TLB  1 SDOB  1 AC  1 ASODS  9 SO  1 DOPA  1 TLB  1 SDOB  1 TLB |     |       | 50(1) of the General Regulations in relation to development being assessed under Section 107 of                       |   |
| appear personally or by representative before the delegate to be heard in support of the representation that has been made under Regulation 50(1) of the General Regulations.  35.1 The power pursuant to Regulation 51(1) of the General Regulations to allow a response to a representation by the applicant to be made within such longer period as the delegate may allow.  36.1 The power pursuant to Regulation 57(4)(a) of the General Regulations to endorse a set of any approved plans and other relevant documentation with an appropriate form of authentication.  36.1 The power pursuant to Regulation 57(4)(a) of the General Regulations to endorse a set of any approved plans and other relevant documentation with an appropriate form of authentication.  Assessment Manager  • MDRS • TLP • SDOP • SPP • SDOP • DOPA • TLB • SDOB • AC • ASODS • SO • DCO  |     |       | 11 3  |   |
| 35.1 The power pursuant to Regulation 51(1) of the General Regulations to allow a response to a representation by the applicant to be made within such longer period as the delegate may allow.  36. Notice of Decision (Section 126(1))  36.1 The power pursuant to Regulation 57(4)(a) of the General Regulations to endorse a set of any approved plans and other relevant documentation with an appropriate form of authentication.  Assessment Manager  MDRS  TLP  SDOP  DOPA  TLP  SDOP  DOPA  TLP  SDOP  DOPA  TLB  SDOB  AC  ASODS  SO  DCO   |     |       | appear personally or by representative before the delegate to be heard in support of the representation that has been |   |
| Regulations to allow a response to a representation by the applicant to be made within such longer period as the delegate may allow.  Manager  Manager  TLP SDOP DOPA DOP SPP  36. Notice of Decision (Section 126(1))  36.1 The power pursuant to Regulation 57(4)(a) of the General Regulations to endorse a set of any approved plans and other relevant documentation with an appropriate form of authentication.  Assessment Manager  MDRS TLP SDOP DOP SPP DOPA TLB SDOB AC ASODS SO DCO  | 35. | Resp  | onse by Applicant   |   |
| 36.1 The power pursuant to Regulation 57(4)(a) of the General Regulations to endorse a set of any approved plans and other relevant documentation with an appropriate form of authentication.  Assessment Manager  TLP  SDOP  DOP  SPP  DOPA  TLB  SDOB  AC  ASODS  SO  DCO   |     | 35.1  | Regulations to allow a response to a representation by the applicant to be made within such longer period as the      | <ul><li>TLP</li><li>SDOP</li><li>DOPA</li><li>DOP</li></ul>   |
| Regulations to endorse a set of any approved plans and other relevant documentation with an appropriate form of authentication.  Manager  TLP  SDOP  DOP  SPP  DOPA  TLB  SDOB  AC  ASODS  SO  DCO  | 36. | Notic | e of Decision (Section 126(1))  |   |
| 37. Consideration of Other Development Authorisations   |     | 36.1  | Regulations to endorse a set of any approved plans and other relevant documentation with an appropriate form of       | <br><ul> <li>TLP</li> <li>SDOP</li> <li>DOP</li> <li>SPP</li> <li>DOPA</li> <li>TLB</li> <li>SDOB</li> <li>AC</li> <li>ASODS</li> <li>SO</li> </ul> |
|   | 37. | Cons  | deration of Other Development Authorisations  |   |

Marion Council Assessment Panel - Instrument of Delegation - Instrument C

**DRAFT** - 39 -

INSTRUMENT C
INSTRUMENT OF DELEGATION UNDER THE PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE
ACT 2016, PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (GENERAL) REGULATIONS 2017
AND PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (FEES, CHARGES AND CONTRIBUTIONS)
REGULATIONS 2019 OF POWERS OF AN ASSESSMENT PANEL

|     | 37.1    | Regulat<br>authoris<br>authoris<br>develop                                     | ver pursuant to Regulation 60 of the General ions, to, in deciding whether to grant a development ation, take into account any prior development ation that relates to the same proposed ment under the PDI Act, and any conditions that relation to that prior development authorisation.  | Assessment<br>Manager  | Per 5.1                      | .1.1                     |
|-----|---------|--|---|--|------------------------------|--------------------------|
| 38. | Certifi | icate of I   | ndependent Technical Expert in Certain Cases  | Power relates<br>to building<br>consent –<br>delegation not<br>necessary if<br>standing<br>referral to<br>Council is<br>made |                              |                          |
|     | 38.1    | Regulat<br>basis of<br>under th<br>(Accred<br>professi<br>accredit<br>other qu | ver pursuant to Regulation 61(4)(c) of the General ions to form the opinion and be satisfied on the advice received from the accreditation authority ne Planning, Development and Infrastructure ited Professionals) Regulations 2019, a relevant ional association, or another relevant registration or action authority, that a person has engineering or italifications, qualify the person to act as a technical under this regulation. | N/A  | N/A                          |                          |
| 39. | Urgen   | t Work   |   |  |                              |                          |
|     | 39.1    | The pov<br>Regulat   | ver pursuant to Regulation 63(1) of the General ions to,  | Assessment<br>Manager  | • TLE Stephen @mario 8375 66 | .Zillante<br>n.sa.gov.au |
|     |         | 39.1.1   | determine a telephone number determined for the purposes of Regulation 63(1)(a) of the General Regulations; and   |  |                              |                          |
|     |         | 39.1.2   | determine the email address for the purposes of Regulation 63(1)(b) of the General Regulations.   |  |                              |                          |

Marion Council Assessment Panel - Instrument of Delegation - Instrument C

**DRAFT** - 40 -

INSTRUMENT C
INSTRUMENT OF DELEGATION UNDER THE PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE
ACT 2016, PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (GENERAL) REGULATIONS 2017
AND PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (FEES, CHARGES AND CONTRIBUTIONS)
REGULATIONS 2019 OF POWERS OF AN ASSESSMENT PANEL

|     | 39.2   | The power pursuant to Regulation 63(2) of the General Regulations to, for the purposes of Section 135(2)(c) of the PDI Act, allow a longer period.  | Assessment<br>Manager | MDRS     TLB     SDOB     DOB   |
|-----|--------|---|-----------------------|---|
|     | 39.3   | The power pursuant to Regulation 63(3) of the General Regulations to, for the purposes of Section 135(2)(c) of the PDI Act, allow a longer period.  | Assessment<br>Manager | MDRS     TLB     SDOB     DOB   |
| 40. | Variat | ion of Authorisation (Section 128)  |                       |   |
|     | 40.1   | The power pursuant to Regulation 65(1) of the General Regulations to, for the purposes of Section 128(2)(b) of the PDI Act, if a person requests the variation of a development authorisation previously given under the Act (including by seeking the variation of a condition imposed with respect to the development authorisation) to form the opinion and be satisfied that the variation is minor in nature, and approve the variation. | Assessment<br>Manager | <ul><li>MDRS</li><li>TLP</li><li>SDOP</li><li>DOP</li><li>SPP</li></ul> |
| 41. | Advic  | e from Commission   |                       |   |
|     | 41.1   | The power pursuant to Regulation 76(2) of the General Regulations, if a report is not received from the Commission within 20 business days from the day on which the application is lodged under Regulation 29 of the General Regulations or within such longer period as the Commission may require by notice to the relevant authority, to presume that the Commission does not desire to make a report.                                    | Assessment<br>Manager | <ul><li>MDRS</li><li>TLP</li><li>SDOP</li><li>DOP</li><li>SPP</li></ul> |
| 42. | Unde   | ground Mains Area   |                       |   |
|     | 42.1   | The power pursuant to Regulation 78(3) of the General Regulations, if an application relates to a proposed development that involves the division of land within, or partly within, an underground mains area (even if the area is declared as such after the application is lodged with the relevant authority), to require, as a condition on its decision on the application, that any electricity mains be placed underground.            | Assessment<br>Manager | MDRS     TLP     SDOP     Subject to concurrence of the UMES.           |

Marion Council Assessment Panel - Instrument of Delegation - Instrument C

**DRAFT** - 41 -

INSTRUMENT C
INSTRUMENT OF DELEGATION UNDER THE PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE
ACT 2016, PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (GENERAL) REGULATIONS 2017
AND PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (FEES, CHARGES AND CONTRIBUTIONS)
REGULATIONS 2019 OF POWERS OF AN ASSESSMENT PANEL

| 43. | Plans<br>Dwelli | for Residential Alterations, Additions and New<br>ngs  |  |   |  |
|-----|-----------------|--|--|---|--|
|     | 43.1            | The power pursuant to Clause 2(d)(ii)(B) of Schedule 8 of the General Regulations to form the belief that the allotment is, or may have been, subject to site contamination as a result of a previous use of the land or a previous activity on the land or in the vicinity of the land.   | Assessment<br>Manager  | <ul><li>MDRS</li><li>TLP</li><li>SDOP</li><li>DOP</li><li>SPP</li></ul> |  |
|     | 43.2            | The power pursuant to Clause 2(d)(ii)(D) of Schedule 8 of the General Regulations to be satisfied a site contamination audit report (within the meaning of the Environment Protection Act 1993) is not required.   | Assessment<br>Manager  | Per 43.1  |  |
| 44. | Plans           | for Building Work  | Not necessary<br>to delegate<br>any powers or<br>functions<br>under Item 45<br>if standing<br>referral to<br>Council is<br>made. |   |  |
|     | 44.1            | The power pursuant to Clause 4(3) of Schedule 8 of the General Regulations, in relation to an application for building consent for development consisting of or involving an alteration to a building, if:   | N/A  | N/A   |  |
|     |                 | 44.1.1 the applicant is applying for a change in the classification of the building to a classification other than Class 10 under the Building Code; or  |  |   |  |
|     |                 | 44.1.2 the building was erected before 1 January 1974 and the applicant is applying for a classification other than Class 10 under the Building Code to be assigned to the building,   |  |   |  |
|     |                 | to require the application to be accompanied by such details, particulars, plans, drawings, specifications and other documents (in addition to the other documents required to accompany the application) as the delegate reasonably requires to show that the entire building will, on completion of the building work, comply with the requirements of the PDI Act and the General Regulations |  |   |  |

Marion Council Assessment Panel - Instrument of Delegation - Instrument C

DRAFT - 42 -

INSTRUMENT C
INSTRUMENT OF DELEGATION UNDER THE PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE
ACT 2016, PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (GENERAL) REGULATIONS 2017
AND PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (FEES, CHARGES AND CONTRIBUTIONS)
REGULATIONS 2019 OF POWERS OF AN ASSESSMENT PANEL

| for a building of the classification applied for or with so |  |  |
|---|--|--|
| many of those requirements as will ensure that the building |  |  |
| is safe and conforms to a proper structural standard.       |  |  |
|   |  |  |

# PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (FEES, **CHARGES AND CONTRIBUTIONS) REGULATIONS 2019**

| 45. | Calcu | lation or                                    | Assessment of Fees   |                       |  |
|-----|-------|--|--|-----------------------|--|
|     | 45.1  | Charge:<br>Regulation                        | wer pursuant to Regulation 5(1) of the PDI (Fees, s and Contributions) Regulations 2019 ( <b>the Fees tions</b> ) in relation to an application which is duly with the council under a related set of regulations ng via the SA planning portal):  | Assessment<br>Manager | <ul> <li>MDRS</li> <li>TLP</li> <li>SDOP</li> <li>DOP</li> <li>SPP</li> <li>DOPA</li> <li>TLB</li> <li>SDOB</li> <li>AC</li> <li>ASODS</li> <li>SO</li> <li>DCO</li> </ul> |
|     |       | 45.1.1                                       | to require the applicant to provide such information<br>as the delegate may reasonably require to<br>calculate any fee payable under the Fees<br>Regulations or a related set of regulations; and  |                       |  |
|     |       | 45.1.2                                       | to make any other determination for the purposes of the Fees Regulations or a related set of regulations (even if the assessment panel is not a relevant authority).   |                       |  |
|     | 45.2  | Regulat<br>of the F<br>authority<br>applicar | ver pursuant to Regulation 5(2) of the Fees ions, if the delegate is acting under Regulation 5(1) ees Regulations, or as the delegate of a relevant y, believes that any information provided by an it is incomplete or inaccurate, to calculate any fee passis of estimates made by the delegate. | Assessment<br>Manager | Per 45.1   |
|     | 45.3  | Regulat                                      | ver pursuant to Regulation 5(3) of the Fees<br>ions to, at any time, and despite an earlier<br>ion or acceptance of an amount in respect of the  | Assessment<br>Manager | Per 45.1   |

Marion Council Assessment Panel - Instrument of Delegation - Instrument C

DRAFT - 43 -

INSTRUMENT C
INSTRUMENT OF DELEGATION UNDER THE PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE
ACT 2016, PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (GENERAL) REGULATIONS 2017
AND PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (FEES, CHARGES AND CONTRIBUTIONS)
REGULATIONS 2019 OF POWERS OF AN ASSESSMENT PANEL

|     |       | fee, reassess a fee payable under the Fees Regulations or a related set of regulations.                        |                       |      |    |
|-----|-------|--|-----------------------|------|----|
| 46. | Waive | er or Refund of Fee  |                       |      |    |
|     | 46.1  | The power pursuant to Regulation 7 of the Fees Regulations to, as the delegate considers appropriate to do so: | Assessment<br>Manager | • MD | RS |
|     |       | 46.1.1 waive the payment of the fee, or the payment of part of the fee; or                                     |                       |      |    |
|     |       | 46.1.2 refund the whole or a part of the fee.  |                       |      |    |

# **PLANNING AND DESIGN CODE**

| 47. | Proce | dural Matter  | Delegation            | Sub-delegation  |
|-----|-------|---|-----------------------|---|
|     | 47.1  | The power pursuant to and in accordance with the Planning and Design Code ( <b>the PD Code</b> ) to form the opinion development is of a minor nature only and will not unreasonably impact on the owners or occupiers of land in the locality of the site of the development and therefore is excluded from the operation of Sections 107(3) and (4) of the PDI Act. | Assessment<br>Manager | <ul><li>MDRS</li><li>TLP</li><li>SDOP</li><li>DOP</li><li>SPP</li></ul> |
| 18. | Proce | dural Referrals   |                       |   |
|     | 48.1  | The power pursuant to and in accordance with the PD Code to form the opinion development is minor in nature and would not warrant a referral when considering the purpose of the referral.  | Assessment<br>Manager | <ul><li>MDRS</li><li>TLP</li><li>SDOP</li><li>DOP</li><li>SPP</li></ul> |
|     | 48.2  | The power pursuant to and in accordance with the PD Code to form the opinion and deem:  | Assessment<br>Manager | <ul><li>MDRS</li><li>TLP</li><li>SDOP</li><li>DOP</li><li>SPP</li></ul> |
|     |       | 48.2.1 alteration to an existing access or public road junction;  |                       |   |
|     | N     | larion Council Assessment Panel - Instrument of Delegation – Instrument C   | 3 Febru               | ary 2021  |

DRAFT - 44 -

INSTRUMENT C
INSTRUMENT OF DELEGATION UNDER THE PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE
ACT 2016, PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (GENERAL) REGULATIONS 2017
AND PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (FEES, CHARGES AND CONTRIBUTIONS)
REGULATIONS 2019 OF POWERS OF AN ASSESSMENT PANEL

48.2.2 development that changes the nature of vehicular movements or increases the number or frequency of movements through an existing access,

to be minor.

- 48.3 The power pursuant to and in accordance with the PD Code to form the opinion an alteration or extension of an existing dwelling is minor.
- Assessment Manager
- MDRSTLP
- SDOP
- DOPSPP

- 48.4 The power pursuant to and in accordance with the PD Code to form the opinion development is minor in nature or like for like maintenance and would not warrant a referral when considering the purpose of the referral.
- Assessment Manager
- MDRSTLP
- SDOP
- DOPSPP

- Referral Body: Minister Responsible for the Administration of the Aquaculture Act 2001
  - 49.1 The power pursuant to and in accordance with Part 9.4 of the PD Code to form the opinion that aquaculture development which involves an alteration to an existing or approved development is minor in nature.
- Assessment Manager
- MDRS
- TLP
- SDOP
- DOP
- SPP

# STATE PLANNING COMMISSION PRACTICE DIRECTION 3 (NOTIFICATION OF PERFORMANCE ASSESSED DEVELOPMENT APPLICATIONS) 2019

| 50. | Resp | onsibility to Undertake Notification   |                       |   |                   |  |
|-----|------|--|-----------------------|---|-------------------|--|
|     | 50.1 | The power pursuant to clause 6(4) of the State Planning Commission Practice Direction 3 (Notification of Performance Assessed Development Applications) 2019 (PD3), should the applicant request the relevant authority to place the notice on the land and pay the relevant fee, to (either personally or by engagement of a contractor) give notice of the application to members of the public by | Assessment<br>Manager | • | MDF<br>TLP<br>SDC |  |

Marion Council Assessment Panel - Instrument of Delegation - Instrument C

DRAFT - 45 -

INSTRUMENT C
INSTRUMENT OF DELEGATION UNDER THE PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE
ACT 2016, PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (GENERAL) REGULATIONS 2017
AND PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (FEES, CHARGES AND CONTRIBUTIONS)
REGULATIONS 2019 OF POWERS OF AN ASSESSMENT PANEL

|       |        |  | placed on the relevant land in accordance with 107(3)(a)(ii) of the PDI Act.   |                       |   |  |          |   |  |
|-------|--------|--|--|-----------------------|---|--|----------|---|--|
| 51. I | Prepa  | ring for   | Notification   |                       |   |  |          |   |  |
| ţ     | 51.1   | has cor<br>on the I<br>busines   | wer pursuant to clause 8 of PD3, if the applicant infirmed they accept responsibility to place a notice land as per clause 6(3)(a) of PD3, to, at least 4 as days prior to the commencement of the tion period:  | Assessment<br>Manager | • | MDR<br>TLP<br>SDO<br>DOP<br>DOP<br>SPP<br>DCC<br>AC<br>ASO<br>SO | PP<br>PA |   |  |
|       |        | 51.1.1   | give notice of the anticipated commencement<br>date and of the notification period to the<br>applicant; and  |                       |   |  |          |   |  |
|       |        | 51.1.2   | provide the applicant with a copy of the content<br>of the notice to be placed on the relevant land in<br>PDF format; and  |                       |   |  |          |   |  |
|       |        | 51.1.3   | advise the applicant of the position and number of notice(s) to be erected on the land in accordance with clause 10 of PD3.  |                       |   |  |          |   |  |
| 52. I | Notice | on Lan   | d  |                       |   |  |          |   |  |
|       | 52.1   | clause<br>position<br>maximu<br>where t<br>public r<br>erected<br>that not | wer pursuant to clause 10(2) of PD3, in relation to 10(2) of PD3, to determine the most appropriate in for the notice on the land in order to provide for um visibility from a public road, and in cases the relevant land has more than 1 frontage to a road, to determine that more than 1 notice must be a one ach of the public road frontages to ensure tice of the development is reasonably apparent to the public. | Manager               | • | MDR<br>TLP<br>SDO<br>DOP<br>DOP<br>DCO<br>SPP                    | PA Comn  | ented [AW1]: Will ne installing the sign as the sign on the land. |  |

STATE PLANNING COMMISSION PRACTICE DIRECTION (APPOINTMENT OF ADDITIONAL MEMBERS TO ASSESSMENT PANEL) 2019

| Marion Council Assessment Panel - Instrument of Delegation - Instrument C | 3 February 2021 |
|---|-----------------|
| maneri courion i coccomenti i unoi monumenti di Beregationi monumenti c   | 0.05.44., 202.  |

**DRAFT** - 46 -

INSTRUMENT C
INSTRUMENT OF DELEGATION UNDER THE PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE
ACT 2016, PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (GENERAL) REGULATIONS 2017
AND PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (FEES, CHARGES AND CONTRIBUTIONS)
REGULATIONS 2019 OF POWERS OF AN ASSESSMENT PANEL

| 53. | Quali | fications and Experience of Additional members  |               |                       |
|-----|-------|---|---------------|-----------------------|
|     | 53.1  | The power pursuant to clause 4(6) of the State Planning Commission Practice Direction (Appointment of Additional Members to Assessment Panel) 2019 ( <b>PD5</b> ) where the delegate forms the view that additional expert advice is required for an application which requires assessment of a matter listed in Column 1 of PD5, to engage an additional assessment panel member provided that person maintains both the minimum experience detailed in Column 2 of PD5, as well as the minimum qualification listed in Column 3 of PD5. | Not delegated | Not sub-<br>delegated |
|     | 53.2  | The power pursuant to clause 4(7) of PD5 to be satisfied of the minimum experience and qualifications of an additional assessment panel member.   | Not delegated | Not sub-<br>delegated |

# STATE PLANNING COMMISSION PRACTICE DIRECTION (SCHEME TO AVOID CONFLICTING REGIMENS) 2019

| 54. | Schei | me Provisions   |                       |                                       |
|-----|-------|---|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|
|     | 54.1  | The power pursuant to clause 5(1) of the State Planning Commission Practice Direction (Scheme to Avoid Conflicting Regimens) 2019 (PD6), to in undertaking a planning assessment or imposing controls, including through the imposition of conditions of planning consent, ensure that such assessment or controls do not conflict or duplicate matters dealt with or addressed under licencing or regulatory regimens under another Act. | Assessment<br>Manager | MDRS     TLP     SDOP     DOP     SPP |
|     | 54.2  | The power pursuant to clause 5(3) of PD6 to, where the delegate is uncertain whether a matter conflicts with, or duplicates a matter dealt with under a licencing or regulatory regime under another Act, to seek the advice of that authority or agency.   | Assessment<br>Manager | MDRS     TLP     SDOP     DOP     SPP |

Marion Council Assessment Panel - Instrument of Delegation - Instrument C

**DRAFT** - 47 -

INSTRUMENT C
INSTRUMENT OF DELEGATION UNDER THE PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE
ACT 2016, PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (GENERAL) REGULATIONS 2017
AND PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (FEES, CHARGES AND CONTRIBUTIONS)
REGULATIONS 2019 OF POWERS OF AN ASSESSMENT PANEL

# **SCHEDULE OF CONDITIONS**

CONDITIONS OR LIMITATIONS
APPLICABLE TO DELEGATIONS
CONTAINED IN THIS INSTRUMENT

[Instructions for use: any conditions or limitations which apply to delegations under this Act should be inserted here – DELETE this note once conditions/limitations are entered. If no conditions apply insert 'NIL']

| Paragraph(s) in<br>instrument to which<br>conditions/limitations<br>apply | Conditions / Limitations |
|---|--------------------------|
| Nil   | Nil                      |
|   |                          |
|   |                          |
|   |                          |
|   |                          |
|   |                          |
|   |                          |
|   |                          |
|   |                          |
|   |                          |
|   |                          |

Marion Council Assessment Panel - Instrument of Delegation - Instrument C

| DRAFT | - 48 - |
|-------|--------|

INSTRUMENT C
INSTRUMENT OF DELEGATION UNDER THE PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE
ACT 2016, PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (GENERAL) REGULATIONS 2017
AND PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (FEES, CHARGES AND CONTRIBUTIONS)
REGULATIONS 2019 OF POWERS OF AN ASSESSMENT PANEL

# Version:

| Endorsed Date | Amendments |
|---------------|------------|
|               |            |
|               |            |
|               |            |
|               |            |
|               |            |
|               |            |
|               |            |
|               |            |
|               |            |
|               |            |

Marion Council Assessment Panel - Instrument of Delegation - Instrument C

# <u>INSTRUMENT C</u>

# INSTRUMENT OF DELEGATION UNDER THE PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE ACT 2016, PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (GENERAL) REGULATIONS 2017 AND PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (FEES, CHARGES AND CONTRIBUTIONS) REGULATIONS 2019 OF POWERS OF AN ASSESSMENT PANEL

# **NOTES**

- Conditions or Limitations: conditions or limitations may apply to the delegations contained in this Instrument. Refer to the Schedule of Conditions at the back of this document.
- 2. Refer to the relevant Assessment Panel resolution(s) to identify when these delegations were made, reviewed and or amended.

## References:

MDRS Manager Development & Regulatory Services

TLP Team Leader Planning

SDOP Senior Development Officer Planning

DOP Development Officer Planning

DOPA Development Officer Planning Assistant

SPP Senior Policy PlannerAC Administrator Coordinator

ASODS Administration Support Officer Development Services

SO Systems Officer

DCO Development Compliance Officer
 UMES Unit Manager Engineering Services

INSTRUMENT OF DELEGATION UNDER THE PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE ACT 2016, PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (GENERAL) REGULATIONS 2017 AND PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (FEES, CHARGES AND CONTRIBUTIONS) REGULATIONS 2019 OF POWERS OF AN ASSESSMENT PANEL

# POWERS AND FUNCTIONS DELEGATED IN THIS INSTRUMENT

| 1. | Envi<br>Adel | ronment and Food Production Areas – Greater<br>aide  | Delegation            | Sub-delegation                                  |
|----|--------------|--|-----------------------|---|
|    | 1.1          | The power pursuant to Section 7(5)(a) of the Planning, Development and Infrastructure Act 2016 ( <b>the PDI Act</b> ), in relation to a proposed development in an environment and food production area that involves a division of land that would create 1 or more additional allotments to seek the concurrence of the Commission in the granting of the development authorisation to the development.                | N/A                   | N/A   |
|    | 1.2          | The power pursuant to Section 7(5)(d) of the PDI Act in relation to a proposed development in an environment and food production area that involves a division of land that would create one or more additional allotments, to, if the proposed development will create additional allotments to be used for residential development, refuse to grant development authorisation in relation to the proposed development. | N/A                   | N/A   |
| 2. | Appo         | ointment of Additional Members   |                       |   |
|    | 2.1          | The power pursuant to Section 85(1) of the PDI Act to appoint 1 or 2 members to act as additional members of the assessment panel for the purposes of dealing with a matter that the assessment panel must assess as a relevant authority under the PDI Act.   |                       | N/A   |
| 3. | Rele         | vant Authority – Commission  |                       |   |
|    | 3.1          | The power pursuant to Section 94(3)(a) of the PDI Act, if the Minister acts under Section 94(1)(h) of the PDI Act to, at the request of the Commission, provide the Commission with a report relating to any application for development authorisation that has been under consideration by the relevant authority.  | Assessment<br>Manager | <ul><li>MDRS</li><li>TLP</li><li>SDOP</li></ul> |
| 4. | Rele         | vant Provisions  |                       | N/A   |
|    | 4.1          | The power pursuant to Section 99(1) of the PDI Act, if a proposed development involves the performance of  |                       |   |

|    |       | building<br>the PDI |                                       | letermine to act under Section 9   | 99(1) of |   |   |                                   |  |
|----|-------|---------------------|---------------------------------------|--|----------|---|---|-----------------------------------|--|
|    |       | 4.1.1               | respect of the area                   | assessment of the developmer<br>of the Building Rules to the cour<br>in which the proposed develop<br>dertaken; or                           | ncil for |   |   |                                   |  |
|    |       | 4.1.2               | developr                              | hat the assessment of the<br>ment in respect of the Building F<br>rtaken by a building certifier.  | Rules    |   |   |                                   |  |
| 5. | Matte | rs Again            | st Which                              | Development Must Be Asses  | sed      |   |   |                                   |  |
|    | 5.1   | assess<br>consen    | a develop<br>t in respec<br>as they a | ant to Section 102(1) of the PDI<br>oment against, and grant or refu<br>ct of, each of the following matte<br>are relevant to the particular | se a     |   |   |                                   |  |
|    |       | 5.1.1               | -                                     |  |          |   |   |                                   |  |
|    |       |                     | 5.1.1.1                               | the relevant provisions of the Planning Rules; and   |          | The delegation of the power to grant or refuse planning consent pursuant to Section 102(1)(a) of the Act is limited to applications in relation to which:  1. Planning consent is not sought for: | • | MDRS<br>TLP<br>SDOP<br>DOP<br>SPP |  |
|    |       |                     |                                       |  |          | a) any development in relation to a Local Heritage Place or a State Heritage  |   |                                   |  |

INSTRUMENT OF DELEGATION UNDER THE PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE ACT 2016, PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (GENERAL) REGULATIONS 2017 AND PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (FEES, CHARGES AND CONTRIBUTIONS) REGULATIONS 2019 OF POWERS OF AN ASSESSMENT PANEL

Place where the heritage advice commissioned on behalf of the CAP or the State Government Department responsible for State Heritage have recommended that planning consent should not be granted;

- b) the total demolition of a Local Heritage Place or a State Heritage Place;
- c) land division that proposes the creation of three or more additional allotments (excluding an allotment identified as common property or as a free an unrestricted right of way) where one or more of the proposed allotments is more than 5% below the minimum site

INSTRUMENT OF DELEGATION UNDER THE PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE ACT 2016, PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (GENERAL) REGULATIONS 2017 AND PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (FEES, CHARGES AND CONTRIBUTIONS) REGULATIONS 2019 OF POWERS OF AN ASSESSMENT PANEL

area
recommended
by the relevant
policy in the
Code; or

- d) three or more dwellings (including detached, semidetached, row and residential flat) where one or more dwelling sites are more than 5% below the minimum site area recommended by the relevant policy in the Code; and
- 2. One or more of the following are satisfied:
- a) No valid representations are received against the proposed development;
- b) All valid representations against the proposed development are withdrawn;
- c) No representor who has lodged a valid

INSTRUMENT OF DELEGATION UNDER THE PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE ACT 2016, PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (GENERAL) REGULATIONS 2017 AND PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (FEES, CHARGES AND CONTRIBUTIONS) REGULATIONS 2019 OF POWERS OF AN ASSESSMENT PANEL

|       |  | 1  |     |
|-------|--|--|-----|
|       |  | representation against the proposed development wishes to be heard;  Except in cases where a deemed consent notice has been served on the Panel under Section 125(2) of the Act, in which case the limitation does not apply, and the Assessment Manager is delegated the power pursuant to Section 102(1)(a)(i) of the PDI Act to grant consent in respect of the relevant provisions of the Planning Rules without limitation. |     |
|       | 5.1.1.2 to the extent provided by Part 7 Division 2 of the PDI Act – the impacts of the development,  (planning consent);  | N/A  | N/A |
| 5.1.2 | the relevant provisions of the Building Rules (building consent);  | Delegations not required if standing referral of application for building consent is made  | N/A |
| 5.1.3 | in relation to a proposed division of land (otherwise than under the Community Titles Act 1996 or the Strata Titles Act 1988) - the requirement that the following conditions be | N/A (Assessment<br>Manager is the<br>relevant authority)   |     |

Marion Council Assessment Panel - Instrument of Delegation - Instrument C

|       |                                 | (or will be satisfied by the imposition ions under the PDI Act):   |  |  |
|-------|---------------------------------|--|--|--|
|       | 5.1.3.1                         | requirements set out in the Planning and Design Code made for the purposes of this provision are satisfied;  |  |  |
|       | 5.1.3.2                         | any relevant requirements set out in a design standard has been satisfied;   |  |  |
|       | 5.1.3.3                         | the requirements of a water industry entity under the Water Industry Act 2012 identified under the regulations relating to the provision of water supply and sewerage services are satisfied;            |  |  |
|       | 5.1.3.4                         | where land is to be vested in a council or other authority - the council or authority consents to the vesting;   |  |  |
|       | 5.1.3.5                         | requirements set out in regulations<br>made for the purposes of Section<br>102(1)(c) of the PDI Act are<br>satisfied;  |  |  |
| 5.1.4 | Commur<br>Act 1988<br>condition | n to a division of land under the<br>nity Titles Act 1996 or the Strata Titles<br>- the requirement that the following<br>is be satisfied (or will be satisfied by<br>sition of conditions under the PDI | N/A (Assessment<br>Manager is the<br>relevant authority) |  |
|       | 5.1.4.1                         | requirements set out in the Planning and Design Code made for the purposes of this provision are satisfied;  |  |  |
|       | 5.1.4.2                         | any relevant requirements set out in a design standard has been satisfied;   |  |  |

|       | 5.1.4.3                             | any encroachment of a lot or unit<br>over other land is acceptable having<br>regard to any provision made by the<br>Planning and Design Code or a<br>design standard;   |                       |   |
|-------|-------------------------------------|---|-----------------------|---|
|       | 5.1.4.4                             | where land is to be vested in a council or other authority - the council or authority consents to the vesting;  |                       |   |
|       | 5.1.4.5                             | a building or item intended to<br>establish a boundary (or part of a<br>boundary) of a lot or lots or a unit or<br>units is appropriate for that purpose;   |                       |   |
|       | 5.1.4.6                             | the division of land under the<br>Community Titles Act 1996 or the<br>Strata Titles Act 1988 is appropriate<br>having regard to the nature and<br>extent of the common property that<br>would be established by the relevant<br>scheme; |                       |   |
|       | 5.1.4.7                             | the requirements of a water industry entity under the Water Industry Act 2012 identified under the regulations relating to the provision of water supply and sewerage services are satisfied;   |                       |   |
|       | 5.1.4.8                             | any building situated on the land complies with the Building Rules;   |                       |   |
|       | 5.1.4.9                             | requirements set out in the regulations made for the purposes of Section 102(d) of the PDI Act are satisfied;   |                       |   |
| 5.1.5 | across o<br>dealt with<br>to any pr | oachment of a building over, under, r on a public place (and not otherwise a above) is acceptable having regard ovision made by the Planning and Code or a design standard;   | Assessment<br>Manager | <ul><li>MDRS</li><li>TLP</li><li>SDOP</li><li>DOP</li><li>SPP</li></ul> |

|    |       |   |  | 1                     |  |
|----|-------|---|--|-----------------------|--|
|    |       | 5.1.6   | if relevant - requirements applying under Part 15 Division 2 of the PDI Act are satisfied;   | Assessment<br>Manager | <ul> <li>MDRS</li> <li>TLP</li> <li>SDOP</li> <li>DOP</li> <li>SPP</li> <li>DOPA</li> <li>DCO</li> </ul> |
|    |       | 5.1.7   | such other matters as may be prescribed.   | Assessment<br>Manager | MDRS     TLP     TLB   |
|    | 5.2   | in relat<br>delega<br>delega  | ower pursuant to Section 102(3) of the PDI Act to, ion to granting a planning consent, on the te's own initiative or on application, reserve the te's decision on a specified matter or reserve the te's decision to grant a planning consent: | Assessment<br>Manager | <ul><li>MDRS</li><li>TLP</li><li>SDOP</li><li>DOP</li><li>SPP</li></ul>                                  |
|    |       | 5.2.1   | until further assessment of the relevant development under the PDI Act; or   |                       |  |
|    |       | 5.2.2   | until further assessment or consideration of the proposed development under another Act; or  |                       |  |
|    |       | 5.2.3   | until a licence, permission, consent, approval, authorisation, certificate or other authority is granted, or not granted (by the decision of another authority), under another Act.  |                       |  |
|    | 5.3   | allow a<br>Code f   | ower pursuant to Section 102(4) of the PDI Act to any matter specified by the Planning and Design or the purposes of Section 102(4) of the PDI Act esserved on the application of the applicant.   | Assessment<br>Manager | <ul><li>MDRS</li><li>TLP</li><li>SDOP</li><li>DOP</li><li>SPP</li></ul>                                  |
| 6. | Perfo | rmance  | Assessed Development   |                       |  |
|    | 6.1   | The power pursuant to Section 107(2)(c) of the PDI Act to form the opinion that the development is seriously at variance with the Planning and Design Code (disregarding minor variations). |  | Assessment<br>Manager | <ul><li>MDRS</li><li>TLP</li><li>SDOP</li><li>DOP</li><li>SPP</li></ul>                                  |

INSTRUMENT OF DELEGATION UNDER THE PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE ACT 2016, PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (GENERAL) REGULATIONS 2017 AND PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (FEES, CHARGES AND CONTRIBUTIONS) REGULATIONS 2019 OF POWERS OF AN ASSESSMENT PANEL

|    | 0.0   | TI  |                       |            |
|----|-------|---|-----------------------|------------|
|    | 6.2   | The power pursuant to Section 107(3) of the PDI Act, if a proposed development is to be assessed under Section 107 of the PDI Act to make a decision in accordance with a practice direction.   | Assessment<br>Manager | As per 6.1 |
|    | 6.3   | The power pursuant to Section 107(4) of the PDI Act to limit the matters that the delegate will take into account to what should be the decision of the relevant authority as to planning consent in relation to the performance based elements of the development as assessed on its merits. | Assessment<br>Manager | As per 6.1 |
| 7. | Build | ling Consent  | N/A                   | N/A        |
|    | 7.1   | The power pursuant to Section 118(1) of the PDI Act, if the Regulations provide that a form of building work complies with the Building Rules, to grant any such building work a building consent (subject to such conditions or exceptions as may be prescribed by the regulations).         |                       |            |
|    | 7.2   | The power pursuant to Section 118(2)(a) of the PDI Act to seek the concurrence of the Commission to grant a building consent in respect of a development that is at variance with the performance requirements of the Building Code or a Ministerial building standard.                       |                       |            |
|    | 7.3   | The power pursuant to Section 118(2) of the PDI Act, subject to Section 118(6) of the PDI Act, to grant a building consent to a development that is at variance with the Building Rules if:   |                       |            |
|    |       | 7.3.1 the variance is with a part of the Building Rules other than the Building Code or a Ministerial building standard and the delegate determines that it is appropriate to grant the consent despite the variance on the basis that the delegate is satisfied:                             |                       |            |
|    |       | 7.3.1.1 that:   |                       |            |
|    |       | (a) the provisions of the Building Rules are inappropriate to the particular building or building work, or the proposed building  |                       |            |

Marion Council Assessment Panel - Instrument of Delegation - Instrument C

|     | work fails to conform with the   |     |     |
|-----|--|-----|-----|
|     | Building Rules only in minor respects; and   |     |     |
|     | (b) the variance is justifiable having regard to the objects of the Planning and Design Code or the performance requirements of the Building Code or a Ministerial building standard (as the case may be) and would achieve the objects of this Act as effectively, or more effectively, than if the variance were not to be allowed; or   |     |     |
|     | 7.3.1.2 in a case where the consent is being sought after the development has occurred - that the variance is justifiable in the circumstances of the particular case.   |     |     |
| 7.4 | The power pursuant to Section 118(4) of the PDI Act, to at the request or with the agreement of the applicant, refer proposed building work to the Commission for an opinion on whether or not it complies with the performance requirements of the Building Code or a Ministerial building standard.  | N/A | N/A |
| 7.5 | The power pursuant to Section 118(6) of the PDI Act if an inconsistency exists between the Building Rules and the Planning Rules in relation to a State heritage place or a local heritage place, to, in determining an application for building rules consent, ensure, so far as is reasonably practicable, that standards of building soundness, occupant safety and amenity are achieved in respect of the development that are as good as can reasonably be achieved in the circumstances. | N/A | N/A |
| 7.6 | The power pursuant to Section 118(7) of the PDI Act to seek and consider the advice of the Commission before imposing or agreeing to a requirement under Section 18(6) of the PDI Act that would be at variance with the   | N/A | N/A |

|    |       | performance requirements of the Building Code or a Ministerial building standard.  |     |   |
|----|-------|--|-----|---|
|    | 7.7   | The power pursuant to Section 118(8) of the PDI Act, to, subject to the PDI Act, accept that proposed building work complies with the Building Rules to the extent that:   | N/A | N/A   |
|    |       | 7.7.1 such compliance is certified by the provision of technical details, particulars, plans, drawings or specifications prepared and certified in accordance with the regulations; or   |     |   |
|    |       | 7.7.2 such compliance is certified by a building certifier.  |     |   |
|    | 7.8   | The power pursuant to Section 118(10) of the PDI Act to refuse to grant a consent in relation to any development if, as a result of that development, the type or standard of construction of a building of a particular classification would cease to conform with the requirements of the Building Rules for a building of that classification                             | N/A | N/A   |
|    | 7.9   | The power pursuant to Section 118(11) of the PDI Act, if a relevant authority decides to grant building consent in relation to a development that is at variance with the Building Rules, to, subject to the regulations, in giving notice of the relevant authority's decision on the application for that consent, specify (in the notice or in an accompanying document): | N/A | N/A   |
|    |       | 7.9.1 the variance; and  |     |   |
|    |       | 7.9.2 the grounds on which the decision is being made.   |     |   |
| 8. | Appli | cation and Provision of Information  |     |   |
|    | 8.1   | The power pursuant to Section 119(1)(b) of the PDI Act to require an application to the relevant authority for the purposes of Part 7 of the PDI Act, to include any information as the delegate may reasonably require.   |     | <ul><li>MDRS</li><li>TLP</li><li>SDOP</li><li>DOP</li><li>SPP</li><li>TLB</li></ul> |

|     |       |   |                       | <ul><li>SDOB</li><li>DOPA</li><li>AC</li><li>ASODS</li><li>SO</li><li>DCO</li></ul>  |
|-----|-------|---|-----------------------|--|
| 8.2 |       | wer pursuant to Section 119(3) of the PDI Act to t an applicant:  |                       |  |
|     | 8.2.1 | to provide such additional documents, assessments or information (including calculations and technical details) as the delegate may reasonably require to assess the application; | Assessment<br>Manager | <ul> <li>MDRS</li> <li>TLP</li> <li>SDOP</li> <li>DOP</li> <li>SPP</li> <li>TLB</li> <li>SDOB</li> <li>DOPA</li> <li>AC</li> <li>ASODS</li> <li>SO</li> <li>DCO</li> </ul> |
|     | 8.2.2 | to remedy any defect or deficiency in any application or accompanying document or information required by or under the PDI Act;   | Assessment<br>Manager | <ul> <li>MDRS</li> <li>TLP</li> <li>SDOP</li> <li>DOP</li> <li>SPP</li> <li>TLB</li> <li>SDOB</li> <li>DOPA</li> <li>AC</li> <li>ASODS</li> <li>SO</li> <li>DCO</li> </ul> |
|     | 8.2.3 | to consult with an authority or body prescribed by the regulations;   | Assessment<br>Manager | <ul><li>MDRS</li><li>TLP</li><li>SDOP</li><li>DOP</li><li>SPP</li><li>TLB</li><li>SDOB</li></ul>   |

|     |  |                       | <ul><li>DOPA</li><li>AC</li><li>ASODS</li><li>SO</li><li>DCO</li></ul>   |
|-----|--|-----------------------|--|
|     | 8.2.4 to comply with any other requirement prescribed by the regulations.  | Assessment<br>Manager | <ul> <li>MDRS</li> <li>TLP</li> <li>SDOP</li> <li>DOP</li> <li>SPP</li> <li>TLB</li> <li>SDOB</li> <li>DOPA</li> <li>AC</li> <li>ASODS</li> <li>SO</li> <li>DCO</li> </ul> |
| 8.3 | The power pursuant to Section 119(6) of the PDI Act is a request is made under Section 119(3) of the PDI Act and the request is not complied with within the time specified by the regulations, to |                       | <ul><li>MDRS</li><li>TLP</li><li>SDOP</li><li>DOP</li><li>SPP</li></ul>  |
|     | 8.3.1 subject to Section 119(6)(b)(ii) of the PDI Act refuse the application; and  | ,                     |  |
|     | 8.3.2 refuse the application in prescribed circumstances (including, if the regulations so provide, in a case involving development that is deemed-to-satisfy development).                        |                       |  |
| 8.4 | The power pursuant to Section 119(7) of the PDI Act t in dealing with an application that relates to a regulate tree, consider that special circumstances apply.                                   |                       | <ul><li>MDRS</li><li>TLP</li><li>SDOP</li><li>DOP</li><li>SPP</li></ul>  |
| 8.5 | The power pursuant to Section 119(9) of the PDI Act t  | 0:                    |  |
|     | 8.5.1 permit an applicant:   |                       |  |

|       | 8.5.1.1 to vary an application;  | Assessment<br>Manager | <ul> <li>MDRS</li> <li>TLP</li> <li>SDOP</li> <li>DOP</li> <li>DOPA</li> <li>SPP</li> </ul> |
|-------|--|-----------------------|---|
|       | 8.5.1.2 to vary any plans, drawings, specifications or other documents that accompanied an application, (provided that the essential nature of the proposed development is not changed);   | Assessment<br>Manager | <ul><li>MDRS</li><li>TLP</li><li>SDOP</li><li>DOP</li><li>DOPA</li><li>SPP</li></ul>        |
| 8.5.2 | permit an applicant to lodge an application without the provision of any information or document required by the regulations;  | Assessment<br>Manager | <ul><li>MDRS</li><li>TLP</li><li>SDOP</li><li>DOP</li><li>SPP</li><li>DOPA</li></ul>        |
| 8.5.3 | to the extent that the fee is payable to the relevant authority waive payment of whole or part of the application fee, or refund an application fee (in whole or in part);   | Assessment<br>Manager | • MDRS  |
| 8.5.4 | if there is an inconsistency between any documents lodged with the relevant authority for the purposes of Part 7 of the PDI Act (whether by an applicant or any other person), or between any such document and a development authorisation that has already been given that is relevant in the circumstances, return or forward any document to the applicant or to any other person and determine not to finalise the matter until any specified matter is resolved, rectified or addressed. | Assessment<br>Manager | <ul> <li>MDRS</li> <li>TLP</li> <li>SDOP</li> <li>DOP</li> <li>SPP</li> <li>DOPA</li> </ul> |

|     | 8.6   | The power pursuant to Section 119(10) of the PDI Act to grant a permission under Section 119(9) of the PDI Act unconditionally or subject to such conditions as the delegate thinks fit.               | Assessment<br>Manager | <ul><li>MDRS</li><li>TLP</li><li>SDOP</li><li>DOP</li><li>SPP</li><li>DOPA</li></ul>             |  |  |
|-----|---|--|-----------------------|--|--|--|
|     | 8.7   | The power pursuant to Section 119(12) of the PDI Act to, in a consent, provide for, or envisage, the undertaking of development in stages, with separate consents or approvals for the various stages. | Assessment<br>Manager | <ul><li>MDRS</li><li>TLP</li><li>SDOP</li><li>DOP</li><li>DCO</li></ul>                          |  |  |
|     | 8.8   | The power pursuant to Section 119(14) of the PDI Act to if an applicant withdraws an application to determine to refund the application fee.   | Assessment<br>Manager | • MDRS   |  |  |
| 9.  | Outli   | ne Consent   |                       |  |  |  |
|     | 9.1   | The power pursuant to Section 120(1) of the PDI Act and subject to Section 120 of the PDI Act, to on application, grant a consent in the nature of an outline consent.                                 |                       | N/A  No delegation until practice direction necessary to enable operation of s 120 is published. |  |  |
|     | 9.2 The power pursuant to Section 120(3) of the PDI an outline consent is granted and a subsequent application is made with respect to the same development (subject to any variations allowed by practice direction) to: |  | As per 9.1 above      | As per 9.1 above   |  |  |
|     |   | 9.2.1 grant any consent contemplated by the outline consent; and   |                       |  |  |  |
|     |   | 9.2.2 not impose a requirement that is inconsistent with the outline consent.  |                       |  |  |  |
| 10. | Desig   | n Review   |                       |  |  |  |

|     | 10.1   | The power pursuant to Section 121(7) of the in acting under the PDI Act, take into accour advice provided by a design panel (insofar a relevant to the assessment of proposed develop the delegate). | nt any Manager<br>s may be          | <ul><li>MDRS</li><li>TLP</li><li>SDOP</li><li>DOP</li><li>SPP</li></ul>                          |
|-----|--------|--|-------------------------------------|--|
| 11. | Referr | als to Other Authorities or Agencies   |                                     |  |
|     | 11.1   | The power pursuant to Section 122(1) of the where an application for consent to, or appropriately proposed development of a prescribed class assessed by a relevant authority, to:                   | oval of, a Manager                  | <ul><li>MDRS</li><li>TLP</li><li>SDOP</li><li>DOP</li><li>DOPA</li><li>SPP</li><li>DCO</li></ul> |
|     |        | 11.1.1 refer the application, together with a any relevant information provided b applicant, to a body prescribed by t regulations (including, if so prescrib Commission); and                       | y the ne                            |  |
|     |        | 11.1.2 not make a decision until the releva<br>has received a response from that p<br>body in relation to the matter or man<br>which the referral was made   | prescribed                          |  |
|     |        | where the regulations so provide, subject to 122 of the PDI Act.   | Section                             |  |
|     | 11.2   | The power pursuant to Section 122(5)(b) of Act, acting by direction of a prescribed body   |                                     | As per 11.1  |
|     |        | 11.2.1 to refuse the application; or   |                                     |  |
|     |        | 11.2.2 consent to or approve the developm impose such conditions as the pres thinks fit, (subject to any specific lim under another Act as to the condition may be imposed by the prescribed         | cribed body<br>nitation<br>ons that |  |
|     |        | where the regulations so provide.  |                                     |  |

|     | 11.3   | the relector refuse an appearant                       | wer pursuant to Section 122(7) of the PDI Act, if vant authority is directed by a prescribed body e an application and the refusal is the subject of eal under the PDI Act, to apply for the relevant y to be joined as a party to the proceedings.  | Assessment<br>Manager | • MDRS  |
|-----|--------|--|--|-----------------------|---|
|     | 11.4   | to, if red<br>Section                                  | wer pursuant to Section 122(10) of the PDI Act<br>quested by an applicant, defer a referral under<br>122 of the PDI Act to a particular stage in the<br>s of assessment.   | Assessment<br>Manager | <ul><li>MDRS</li><li>TLP</li><li>SDOP</li><li>DOP</li><li>SPP</li></ul> |
| 12. | Prelin | ninary A   | dvice and Agreement  |                       |   |
|     | 12.1   | ·  | wer pursuant to Section 123(2) of the PDI Act, if:   | Assessment<br>Manager | <ul><li>MDRS</li><li>TLP</li><li>SDOP</li><li>DOP</li><li>SPP</li></ul> |
|     |        | 12.1.1   | a proposed development is referred to a prescribed body under Section 123(1) of the PDI Act; and   |                       |   |
|     |        | 12.1.2   | the prescribed body agrees to consider the matter under Section 123 of the PDI Act after taking into account any matter prescribed by the regulations; and   |                       |   |
|     |        | 12.1.3   | the prescribed body agrees, in the manner prescribed by the regulations, that the development meets the requirements (if any) of the prescribed body (including on the basis of the imposition of conditions),   |                       |   |
|     |        | applicat<br>develop<br>the pres<br>indicate<br>PDI Act | ect to Section 123(4)of the PDI Act if an cion for planning consent with respect to the ment is lodged with the relevant authority within scribed period after the prescribed body has dits agreement under Section 123(2)(c) of the form the opinion and be satisfied that the cion accords with the agreement indicated by the |                       |   |

|     |      | prescribed body (taking into account the terms or elements of that agreement and any relevant plans and other documentation).  |                       |   |
|-----|------|--|-----------------------|---|
|     | 12.2 | The power pursuant to Section 123(4) of the PDI Act to determine an agreement under Section 123 of the PDI Act is no longer appropriate due to the operation of Section 132 of the PDI Act.  | Assessment<br>Manager | <ul><li>MDRS</li><li>TLP</li><li>SDOP</li><li>DOP</li><li>SPP</li></ul> |
| 13. | -    | sed Development Involving Creation of ications   |                       |   |
|     | 13.1 | The power pursuant to Section 124(1) of the PDI Act, if the delegate has reason to believe that a proposed development may involve the creation of fortifications, to refer the application for consent to, or approval of, the proposed development to the Commissioner of Police (the Commissioner).   | Assessment<br>Manager | • MDRS  |
|     | 13.2 | The power pursuant to Section 124(5) of the PDI Act, if the Commissioner determines that the proposed development involves the creation of fortification, to:  | Assessment<br>Manager | • MDRS  |
|     |      | 13.2.1 if the proposed development consists only of the creation fortifications - refuse the application; or   |                       |   |
|     |      | in any other case - impose conditions in respect of any consent to or approval of the proposed development prohibiting the creation of the fortifications  |                       |   |
|     | 13.3 | The power pursuant to Section 124(6) of the PDI Act, if the relevant authority acting on the basis of a determination of the Commissioner under Section 124(2) of the PDI Act refuses an application or imposes conditions in respect of a development authorisation, to notify the applicant that the application was refused, or the conditions imposed, on the basis of a determination of the Commissioner under Section 124 of the PDI Act. | Manager               | • MDRS  |
|     | 13.4 | The power pursuant to Section 124(7) of the PDI Act, if a refusal or condition referred to in Section 124(5) of the PDI Act is the subject of an appeal under the PDI  | Assessment<br>Manager | MDRS  |

|     |       | Act to apply to the Court to be joined as a party to the appeal.   |                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|-----|-------|--|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 14. | Time  | Time Within Which Decision Must be Made  |                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|     | 14.1  | The power pursuant to Section 125(6) of the PDI Act to form the opinion and consider that the relevant application for planning consent should have been refused and apply to the Court for an order quashing the consent.   | Assessment<br>Manager | • MDRS   |  |  |  |  |  |
|     | 14.2  | The power pursuant to Section 125(7) of the Act to apply to the Court for an extension of time to make an application under Section 125(6) of the Act.   | As per 14.1           | As per 14.1  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15. | Deter | mination of Application  |                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|     | 15.1  | The power pursuant to Section 126(1) of the PDI Act to, on making a decision on an application under Part 7 of the PDI Act, give notice of the decision in accordance with the regulations (and, in the case of a refusal, to include in the notice the reasons for the refusal and any appeal rights that exist under the PDI Act). | Assessment<br>Manager | <ul> <li>MDRS</li> <li>TLP</li> <li>SDOP</li> <li>DOPP</li> <li>SPP</li> <li>DOPA</li> <li>AC</li> <li>ASODS</li> <li>SO</li> <li>DCO</li> </ul> |  |  |  |  |  |
|     | 15.2  | The power pursuant to Section 126(3) of the PDI Act to, on the delegate's own initiative or on the application of a person who has the benefit of any relevant development authorisation, extend a period prescribed under Section 126(2) of the PDI Act.  | Assessment<br>Manager | • MDRS • TLP   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16. | Cond  | itions   |                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|     | 16.1  | The power pursuant to Section 127(1) of the PDI Act to make a decision subject to such conditions (if any) as the delegate thinks fit to impose in relation to the development.  | Assessment<br>Manager | <ul> <li>MDRS</li> <li>TLP</li> <li>SDOP</li> <li>DOP</li> <li>DOPA</li> <li>SPP</li> <li>DCO</li> </ul>   |  |  |  |  |  |

| 16.2 | The power pursuant to Section 127(2)(c) of the PDI Act to vary or revoke a condition in accordance with an application under Part 7 of the PDI Act.   | Assessment<br>Manager | <ul><li>MDRS</li><li>TLP</li><li>SDOP</li><li>DOP</li><li>SPP</li></ul>                                  |
|------|---|-----------------------|--|
| 16.3 | The power pursuant to Section 127(4) of the PDI Act, subject to Sections 127(6) and (8) of the PDI Act, if a development authorisation provides for the killing, destruction or removal of a regulated tree or a significant tree, to apply the principle that the development authorisation be subject to a condition that the prescribed number of trees (of a kind determined by the delegate) must be planted and maintained to replace the tree (with the cost of planting to be the responsibility of the applicant or any person who acquires the benefit of the consent and the cost of maintenance to be the responsibility of the owner of the land). | Assessment<br>Manager | <ul> <li>MDRS</li> <li>TLP</li> <li>SDOP</li> <li>DOP</li> <li>SPP</li> <li>DOPA</li> <li>DCO</li> </ul> |
| 16.4 | The power pursuant to Section 127(6) of the PDI Act to, on the application of the applicant, determine that a payment of an amount calculated in accordance with the regulations be made into the relevant fund in lieu of planting 1 or more replacement trees under Section 127(4) of the PDI Act.  | Assessment<br>Manager | <ul> <li>MDRS</li> <li>TLP</li> <li>SDOP</li> <li>DOP</li> <li>SPP</li> <li>DOPA</li> <li>DCO</li> </ul> |
| 16.5 | The power pursuant to Section 127(8)(b) of the PDI Act to:  | Assessment<br>Manager | <ul> <li>MDRS</li> <li>TLP</li> <li>SDOP</li> <li>DOP</li> <li>SPP</li> <li>DOPA</li> <li>DCO</li> </ul> |
|      | 16.5.1 determine that it is appropriate to grant an exemption under Section 127(8)(b) of the PDI Act in a particular case after taking into account any criteria prescribed by the regulations and provided the Minister concurs in the granting of the exemption;  |                       |  |

|     |       | 16.5.2  |   | ne Minister's concurrence to grant a<br>on under Section 127(8)(b) of the PD   |                |  |
|-----|-------|---|---|--|----------------|--|
| 17. | Varia | tion of A   | uthorisati                              | on   |                |  |
|     | 17.1  | to appro<br>develop<br>PDI Act                          | ove an app<br>oment auth<br>t, which se | ant to Section 128(2)(d) of the PDI A<br>olication for a variation to a<br>norisation previously given under the<br>eks to extend the period for which the<br>ation remains operative. | Manager<br>the | <ul> <li>MDRS</li> <li>TLP</li> <li>SDOP</li> <li>DOP</li> <li>SPP</li> <li>DCO</li> <li>Based on current sub-delegations for s 40(3) Development Act</li> </ul> |
| 18. | Requi | irement 1   | to Up-grad                              | de   | N/A            | N/A  |
|     | 18.1  | form the  | e opinion tl                            | ant to Section 134(1) of the PDI Act hat the building is unsafe, structural unhealthy condition.   |                | N/A  |
|     | 18.2  | The power pursuant to Section 134(1) of the PDI Act, if |   | if: N/A  | N/A            |  |
|     |       | 18.2.1  | an applic                               | ation for a building consent relates   | to:            |  |
|     |       |   | 18.2.1.1                                | building work in the nature of an alteration to a building constructed before the date prescribed by regulation for the purposes of Section 134(1) of the PDI Act; or                  | I              |  |
|     |       |   | 18.2.1.2                                | a change of classification of a building; and  |                |  |
|     |       | 18.2.2  |   | ng is, in the opinion of the delegate<br>structurally unsound or in an unhealt<br>,  |                |  |
|     |       | requirer  | ments of th                             | lding work that conforms with the<br>ne Building Rules be carried out to the<br>r necessary to ensure that the building  |                |  |

|      | is safe a<br>standar           | and conforms to proper structural and health ds.  |     |     |
|------|--------------------------------|---|-----|-----|
| 18.3 | when in<br>the PDI<br>matters  | wer pursuant to Section 134(2) of the PDI Act, nposing a requirement under Section 134(1) of Act, to specify (in reasonable detail) the under Section 134(1)(b) of the PDI Act that in the opinion of the delegate, be addressed.   | N/A | N/A |
| 18.4 |                                | wer pursuant to Section 134(3) of the PDI Act to a requirement under Section 134(1) of the PDI  | N/A | N/A |
|      | 18.4.1                         | subject to Section 134(3)(b) of the PDI Act - on<br>the basis that the relevant matters must be<br>addressed as part of the application before the<br>relevant authority will grant building consent;<br>and  |     |     |
|      | 18.4.2                         | in cases prescribed by the regulations - as a condition of the building consent that must be complied with within a prescribed period after the building work to which the application for consent relates is completed   |     |     |
| 18.5 | The pov                        | wer pursuant to Section 134(4) of the PDI Act if:   | N/A | N/A |
|      | 18.5.1                         | an application is made for building consent for<br>building work in the nature of an alteration of a<br>class prescribed by the regulations; and  |     |     |
|      | 18.5.2                         | the delegate is of the opinion that the affected part of the building does not comply with the performance requirements of the Building Code or a Ministerial building standard in relation to access to buildings, and facilities and services within buildings, for people with disabilities, |     |     |
|      | carried<br>affected<br>perform | re that building work or other measures be out to the extent necessary to ensure that the d part of the building will comply with those nance requirements of the Building Code or the rial building standard (as the case may be).   |     |     |

INSTRUMENT C
INSTRUMENT OF DELEGATION UNDER THE PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE
ACT 2016, PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (GENERAL) REGULATIONS 2017
AND PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (FEES, CHARGES AND CONTRIBUTIONS)

**REGULATIONS 2019 OF POWERS OF AN ASSESSMENT PANEL** 

|     | 18.6  | The power pursuant to Section 134(5) of the PDI Act to impose a requirement under Section 134(4) of the PDI Act:  | N/A                   | N/A                |
|-----|---|---|-----------------------|--------------------|
|     |   | 18.6.1 subject to Section 134(5)(b) of the PDI Act - on the basis that the building work or other measures to achieve compliance with the relevant performance requirements must be addressed before the relevant authority will grant building consent; and  |                       |                    |
|     |   | 18.6.2 in cases prescribed by the regulations - as a condition of the building consent that must be complied with within a prescribed period after the building work to which the application for consent relates is completed.   |                       |                    |
| 19. | Canc  | ellation of Development Authorisation   |                       |                    |
|     | 19.1  | The power pursuant to Section 143(1) of the PDI Act to, on the application of a person who has the benefit of the authorisation, cancel a development authorisation previously given by the relevant authority.   | Assessment<br>Manager | • MDRS • TLP • TLB |
|     | 19.2 The power pursuant to Section 143(2) of the PDI Act to make a cancellation under Section 143(1) of the PDI Act subject to such conditions (if any) as the delegate thinks fit to impose. |   | Assessment<br>Manager | • MDRS • TLP • TLB |
| 20. | Profe<br>Matte  | ssional Advice to be Obtained in Relation to Certain  |                       |                    |
|     | 20.1  | The power pursuant to Section 235(1) of the PDI Act, to, in the exercise of a prescribed function, rely on a certificate of a person with prescribed qualifications.  | Assessment<br>Manager | • MDRS             |
|     | 20.2  | The power pursuant to Section 235(2) of the PDI Act to seek and consider the advice of a person with prescribed qualifications, or a person approved by the Minister for that purpose, in relation to a matter arising under the PDI Act that is declared by regulation to be a matter on which such advice should be sought. | Assessment<br>Manager | • MDRS             |

INSTRUMENT OF DELEGATION UNDER THE PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE ACT 2016, PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (GENERAL) REGULATIONS 2017 AND PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (FEES, CHARGES AND CONTRIBUTIONS) REGULATIONS 2019 OF POWERS OF AN ASSESSMENT PANEL

| 21. | Gene  | ral Trans      | sitional Schemes for Panels   |     |     |
|-----|-------|----------------|---|-----|-----|
|     | 21.1  | The porthe PDI | wer pursuant to Clause 12(7) of Schedule 8 of Act, to   | N/A | N/A |
|     |       | 21.1.1         | adopt any findings or determinations of a council development assessment panel under the repealed Act that may be relevant to an application made before the relevant day under the repealed Act; and |     |     |
|     |       | 21.1.2         | adopt or make any decision (including a decision in the nature of a determination), direction or order in relation to an application made before the relevant day under the repealed Act; and         |     |     |
|     |       | 21.1.3         | deal with any matter that is subject to a reserved decision under the repealed Act before the relevant day; and   |     |     |
|     |       | 21.1.4         | deal with any requirement or grant any variation imposed or proposed in connection with an application made before the relevant day under the repealed Act; and                                       |     |     |
|     |       | 21.1.5         | deal with any requirement or grant any variation imposed or proposed in connection with an application made before the relevant day under the repealed Act.   |     |     |
|     |       |                |   |     |     |
| 22. | Conti | nuation        | of Processes  |     |     |
|     | 22.1  | -              | wer pursuant to Clause 18(2) of Schedule 8 of Act, to:  | N/A | N/A |
|     |       | 22.1.1         | adopt any findings or determinations of a relevant authority under the repealed Act that may be relevant to an application to which Clause 18(1) of Schedule 8 of the PDI Act applies; and            |     |     |
|     |       | 22.1.2         | adopt or make any decision (including a decision in the nature of a determination),   |     |     |

Marion Council Assessment Panel - Instrument of Delegation - Instrument C

INSTRUMENT OF DELEGATION UNDER THE PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE ACT 2016, PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (GENERAL) REGULATIONS 2017 AND PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (FEES, CHARGES AND CONTRIBUTIONS) REGULATIONS 2019 OF POWERS OF AN ASSESSMENT PANEL

|        | direction or order in relation to an application<br>to which Clause 18(1) of Schedule 8 of the PDI<br>Act applies; and  |  |
|--------|---|--|
| 22.1.3 | deal with any matter that is subject to a reserved decision under the repealed Act before the designated day; and   |  |
| 22.1.4 | deal with any requirement or grant any variation imposed or proposed in connection with an application to which Clause 18(1) of Schedule 8 of the PDI Act applies; and  |  |
| 22.1.5 | take any other step or make any other determination authorised by the regulations, or that is reasonably necessary to promote or ensure a smooth transition on account of the transfer of functions, powers or duties under Clause 18 of Schedule 8 of the PDI Act. |  |

### PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (GENERAL) REGULATIONS 2017

| 23. | Accredited Professionals   | Delegation | Sub-delegation |
|-----|--|------------|----------------|
|     | 23.1 The power pursuant to Regulation 25(7)(c) of the Planning, Development and Infrastructure (General) Regulations 2017 ( <b>the General Regulations</b> ) to form the opinion and be satisfied, on the basis of advice received from the accreditation authority under the Planning, Development and Infrastructure (Accredited Professionals) Regulations 2019, a relevant professional association, or other relevant registration or accreditation authority, that a person has engineering or other qualifications that qualify the person to act as a technical expert under Regulation 25 of the General Regulations. | N/A        | N/A            |
| 24. | Verification of Application  |            |                |

| 24.1 | Regulat<br>119 of t<br>under th<br>an appl | ions, on the PDI Ac<br>ne Genera<br>ication has | ant to Regulation 31(1) of the General ne receipt of an application under Section t, and in addition to any other requirement I Regulations, to, in order to ensure that is been correctly lodged and can be redance with the PDI Act: |                       |   |
|------|--|---|--|-----------------------|---|
|      | 24.1.1                                     | determin  | e the nature of the development; and   | Assessment<br>Manager | <ul> <li>MDRS</li> <li>TLP</li> <li>SDOP</li> <li>DOP</li> <li>SPP</li> <li>DOPA</li> <li>TLB</li> <li>SDOB</li> <li>DCO</li> </ul> |
|      | 24.1.2                                     | if the app<br>determin                          | olication is for planning consent -<br>e:  |                       |   |
|      |  | 24.1.2.1  | whether the development involves 2 or<br>more elements and, if so, identify each<br>of those elements for the purposes of<br>assessment against the provisions of the<br>Planning and Design Code; and                                 | Assessment<br>Manager | Per 24.1.1  |
|      |  | 24.1.2.2  | the category or categories of<br>development that apply for the purposes<br>of development assessment; and   | Assessment<br>Manager | Per 24.1.1  |
|      | 24.1.3                                     |   | e whether the relevant authority is the ntity to assess the application under the and  | Assessment<br>Manager | Per 24.1.1  |
|      | 24.1.4                                     |   | evant authority is the correct entity to<br>ne application (or any part of the<br>on):   |                       |   |
|      |  | 24.1.4.1  | check that the appropriate documents<br>and information have been lodged with<br>the application; and  | Assessment<br>Manager | Per 24.1.1  |

|     |       |          | 24.1.4.2     | confirm the fees required to be paid at that point under the Planning, Development and Infrastructure (Fees, Charges and Contributions) Regulations 2019; and  | Assessment<br>Manager | <ul> <li>MDRS</li> <li>TLP</li> <li>SDOP</li> <li>DOP</li> <li>SPP</li> <li>DOPA</li> <li>TLB</li> <li>SDOB</li> <li>AC</li> <li>ASODS</li> <li>SO</li> <li>DCO</li> </ul> |
|-----|-------|----------|--------------|--|-----------------------|--|
|     |       |          | 24.1.4.3     | provide an appropriate notice via the SA planning portal; and  | Assessment<br>Manager | Per 24.1.1   |
|     |       | 24.1.5   |              | vant authority is not the correct entity to<br>be application (or any part of the<br>on):  | Assessment<br>Manager | Per 24.1.1   |
|     |       |          | 24.1.5.1     | provide the application (or any relevant part of the application), and any relevant plans, drawings, specifications and other documents and information in its possession, to the entity that the delegate considers to be the correct relevant authority in accordance with any practice direction; and |                       |  |
|     |       |          | 24.1.5.2     | provide an appropriate notice via the SA planning portal.  |                       |  |
| 25. | Appli | cation a | nd Further   | Information  |                       |  |
|     | 25.1  | Regulat  | tions to see | int to Regulation 33(4) of the General<br>ek clarification about any document or<br>as been provided by the applicant.   | Assessment<br>Manager | <ul> <li>MDRS</li> <li>TLP</li> <li>SDOP</li> <li>DOP</li> <li>SPP</li> <li>DOPA</li> <li>TLB</li> <li>SDOB</li> </ul>   |

|     |       |   |                       | <ul><li>AC</li><li>ASODS</li><li>SO</li><li>DCO</li></ul>  |
|-----|-------|---|-----------------------|--|
| 26. | Amen  | ded Applications  |                       |  |
|     | 26.1  | The power pursuant to Regulation 35(3) of the General Regulations if an application is varied following referral under Division 2 or giving of notice under Division 3, to, if the variations are not substantial, consider the application without the need to repeat an action otherwise required under Division 2 or Division 3.   | Assessment<br>Manager | <ul> <li>MDRS</li> <li>TLP</li> <li>SDOP</li> <li>DOP</li> <li>SPP</li> <li>DOPA</li> <li>TLB</li> <li>SDOB</li> <li>DCO</li> </ul>  |
|     | 26.2  | The power pursuant to Regulation 35(4) of the General Regulations if a variation would change the essential nature of a proposed development (as referred to in Section 119(9)(a) of the PDI Act), to agree with the applicant to proceed with the variation on the basis that the application (as so varied) will be treated as a new application under the General Regulations. | Assessment<br>Manager | Per 26.1   |
| 27. | Withd | Irawing/Lapsing Applications  |                       |  |
|     | 27.1  | The power pursuant to Regulation 38(1) of the General Regulations if an application is withdrawn by the applicant under Section 119(14) of the PDI Act, to notify:  | Assessment<br>Manager | <ul> <li>MDRS</li> <li>TLP</li> <li>SDOP</li> <li>DOP</li> <li>SPP</li> <li>DOPA</li> <li>TLB</li> <li>SDOB</li> <li>AC</li> <li>ASODS</li> <li>SO</li> <li>DCO</li> </ul> |
|     |       | 27.1.1 any agency to which the application has been referred under Division 2 of the General Regulations; and   |                       |  |

|     |        | 27.1.2   | any person who has made a representation in relation to the application under Division 3 of the General Regulations,  |                       |   |
|-----|--------|--|---|-----------------------|---|
|     |        | of the w   | rithdrawal.   |                       |   |
|     | 27.2   | Regulat  | ver pursuant to Regulation 38(3) of the General ions before taking action to lapse an application degulation 38(2) of the General Regulations, to:  |                       |   |
|     |        | 27.2.1   | take reasonable steps to notify the applicant of the action under consideration; and  | Assessment<br>Manager | <ul><li>MDRS</li><li>TLP</li><li>SDOP</li><li>DOP</li><li>AC</li><li>ASODS</li><li>SO</li></ul> |
|     |        | 27.2.2   | allow the applicant a reasonable opportunity to make submissions to the delegate (in a manner and form determined by the delegate) about the proposed course of action.   | Assessment<br>Manager | <ul><li>MDRS</li><li>TLP</li><li>SDOP</li></ul>   |
| 28. | Court  | Proceed  | lings   |                       |   |
|     | 28.1   | Regulat<br>by notic<br>applicat                                    | wer pursuant to Regulation 40 of the General ions to, subject to Section 214(14) of the PDI Act, ie in writing to the applicant, decline to deal with the ion until any proceedings under the PDI Act have oncluded.  | Assessment<br>Manager | • MDRS  |
| 29. | Additi | ional Info   | ormation or Amended Plans   |                       |   |
|     | 29.1   | Regulat<br>prescrib<br>Regulat<br>receives<br>drawing<br>referral, | wer pursuant to Regulation 42(1) of the General ions if a delegate has referred an application to a ped body under Division 1 of the General ions and the relevant authority subsequently a additional information, or an amended plan, or specification, which is materially relevant to the or to any report obtained as part of the referral as, to repeat the referral process. | Assessment<br>Manager | <ul><li>MDRS</li><li>TLP</li><li>SDOP</li><li>DOP</li><li>SPP</li><li>DOPA</li></ul>            |
| 30. | Buildi | ng Matte   | ers   | N/A                   | N/A   |

| 30.1 | The power pursuant to Regulation 45(1) of the General N/A N/A Regulations to, if in assessing an application for building consent, the delegate considers that:  |     |     |  |
|------|--|-----|-----|--|
|      | 30.1.1 a proposed performance solution within the meaning of the Building Code requires assessment against a performance requirement of the Building Code which provides for the intervention of a fire authority; or  |     |     |  |
|      | 30.1.2 the proposed development is at variance with a performance requirement of the Building Code which provides for the intervention of a fire authority; or   |     |     |  |
|      | 30.1.3 special problems for fire fighting could arise due to hazardous conditions of a kind described in Section E of the Building Code,   |     |     |  |
|      | refer the application to the relevant fire authority for comment and report unless the fire authority indicates to the delegate that a referral is not required.   |     |     |  |
| 30.2 | The power pursuant to Regulation 45(2) of the General Regulations, if a report is not received from the fire authority on a referral under Regulation 45(1) of the General Regulations within 20 business days, to presume that the fire authority does not desire to make a report. | N/A | N/A |  |
| 30.3 | The power pursuant to Regulation 45(3) of the General Regulations to have regard to any report received from a fire authority under Regulation 45 of the General Regulations.  | N/A | N/A |  |
| 30.4 | The power pursuant to Regulation 45(4) of the General Regulations, if, in respect of an application referred to a fire authority under Regulation 45 of the General Regulations, the fire authority:   | N/A | N/A |  |
|      | 30.4.1 recommends against the granting of building consent; or   |     |     |  |
|      | 30.4.2 concurs in the granting of consent on conditions specified in its report,   |     |     |  |

|     |        |                                  |   | 1                     |   |
|-----|--------|----------------------------------|---|-----------------------|---|
|     |        | but the                          | delegate:   |                       |   |
|     |        | 30.4.3                           | proposes to grant building consent despite a recommendation referred to in Regulation 45(4)(a) of the General Regulations; or   |                       |   |
|     |        | 30.4.4                           | does not propose to impose the conditions referred to in Regulation 45(b) of the General Regulations, or proposes to impose the conditions in varied form, on the grant of consent,   |                       |   |
|     |        | to:                              |   |                       |   |
|     |        | 30.4.5                           | refer the application to the Commission; and  |                       |   |
|     |        | 30.4.6                           | not grant consent unless the Commission concurs in the granting of the consent.   |                       |   |
|     | 30.5   | Regulate report report for the G | wer pursuant to Regulation 45(5) of the General tions to provide to the Commission a copy of any eccived from a fire authority under Regulation 45(1) General Regulations that relates to an application eferred to the Commission under the PDI Act. | N/A                   | N/A   |
| 31. | Prelin | ninary A                         | dvice and Agreement (Section 123)   |                       |   |
|     | 31.1   | The pov                          | wer pursuant to Regulation 46(6) of the General tions, if:  |                       |   |
|     |        | 31.1.1                           | the delegate permits an applicant to vary an application under Section 119(9) of the PDI Act; and   | Assessment<br>Manager | <ul><li>MDRS</li><li>TLP</li><li>SDOP</li><li>DOP</li><li>SPP</li></ul> |
|     |        | 31.1.2                           | the delegate determines that the application no longer accords with the agreement indicated by the prescribed body,   | Assessment<br>Manager | Per 31.1.1  |
|     |        | to refer<br>body:                | the application (unless withdrawn) to the prescribed  |                       |   |
|     |        |                                  |   |                       |   |

|      | 31.1.4   | to obtain a response from the prescribed body for the purposes of Section 122 of the PDI Act.                           | Assessment<br>Manager | Per 31.1.1   |
|------|--|---|-----------------------|--|
| 31.2 | The pov  | wer pursuant to Regulation 46(7) of the General tions if:   | Assessment<br>Manager | <ul> <li>MDRS</li> <li>TLP</li> <li>SDOP</li> <li>DOP</li> <li>SPP</li> <li>AC</li> <li>ASODS</li> <li>SO</li> </ul> |
|      | 31.2.1   | an application is withdrawn by the applicant; and   |                       |  |
|      | 31.2.2   | the applicant sought to rely on an agreement under<br>Section 123 of the PDI Act in connection with the<br>application, |                       |  |
|      | to notify  | relevant prescribed body of the withdrawal.   |                       |  |
| 31.3 | The pov<br>Regulat   | wer pursuant to Regulation 46(8) of the General tions, if:  | Assessment<br>Manager | <ul> <li>MDRS</li> <li>TLP</li> <li>SDOP</li> <li>DOP</li> <li>SPP</li> <li>AC</li> <li>ASODS</li> <li>SO</li> </ul> |
|      | 31.3.1   | an application is lapsed by a relevant authority under Regulation 38 of the General Regulations; and                    |                       |  |
|      | 31.3.2   | the applicant sought to rely on an agreement under<br>Section 123 of the PDI Act in connection with the<br>application, |                       |  |
|      | to notify  | the relevant prescribed body of the lapsing.  |                       |  |
| 31.4 | The power pursuant to Regulation 46(9) of the General Regulations, if: |   | Assessment<br>Manager | <ul><li>MDRS</li><li>TLP</li><li>SDOP</li><li>DOP</li><li>SPP</li></ul>  |

|     |                   |                     |   |                       | • | AC<br>ASODS<br>SO                                |
|-----|-------------------|---------------------|---|-----------------------|---|--|
|     |                   | 31.4.1              | an applicant seeks to rely on an agreement under<br>Section 123 of the PDI Act in connection with the<br>application; and   |                       |   |  |
|     |                   | 31.4.2              | a notice of a decision on the application is issued<br>by the delegate under Regulation 57 of the<br>General Regulations,   |                       |   |  |
|     |                   | 5 busine            | de a copy of the notice to the prescribed body within ess days after the notice is given to the applicant Regulation 57 of the General Regulations.   |                       |   |  |
| 32. | Notific<br>of Lar |                     | Application of Tree-damaging Activity to Owner  |                       |   |  |
|     | 32.1              | Regulat<br>a tree-d | wer pursuant to Regulation 48 of the General cions, if an owner of land to which an application for amaging activity in relation to a regulated tree is not a party to the application, to:                   |                       |   |  |
|     |                   | 32.1.1              | give the owner notice of the application within 5 business days after the application is made; and  | Assessment<br>Manager | • | MDRS TLP SDOP DOP DOPA SPP AC ASODS SO DCO       |
|     |                   | 32.1.2              | give due consideration in the delegate's assessment of the application to any submission made by the owner within 10 business days after the giving of notice under Regulation 48 of the General Regulations. | Assessment<br>Manager | • | MDRS<br>TLP<br>SDOP<br>DOP<br>DOPA<br>SPP<br>DCO |

| 33. | Public | Inspection of Applications   |                       |  |
|-----|--------|--|-----------------------|--|
|     | 33.1   | The power pursuant to Regulation 49(3) of the General Regulations to request a person verify information in such manner as the delegate thinks fit.  | Assessment<br>Manager | <ul> <li>MDRS</li> <li>TLP</li> <li>SDOP</li> <li>DOP</li> <li>SPP</li> <li>DCO</li> <li>DOPA</li> <li>TLB</li> <li>SDOB</li> <li>DOB</li> <li>AC</li> <li>ASODS</li> <li>SO</li> <li>DCO</li> </ul> |
| 34. | Repre  | esentations  | N/A                   | N/A  |
|     | 34.1   | The power pursuant to Regulation 50(5) of the General Regulations to, if the delegate considers that it would assist the delegate in making a decision on the application, allow a person:   | N/A                   | N/A  |
|     |        | 34.1.1 who has made a representation under Regulation 50(1) of the General Regulations in relation to development being assessed under Section 107 of the PDI Act; and   |                       |  |
|     |        | 34.1.2 who has indicated an interest in appearing before the delegate,   |                       |  |
|     |        | an opportunity (at a time determined by the delegate) to appear personally or by representative before the delegate to be heard in support of the representation that has been made under Regulation 50(1) of the General Regulations. |                       |  |
| 35. | Resp   | onse by Applicant  |                       |  |
|     | 35.1   | The power pursuant to Regulation 51(1) of the General Regulations to allow a response to a representation by the applicant to be made within such longer period as the delegate may allow.   | Assessment<br>Manager | <ul><li>MDRS</li><li>TLP</li><li>SDOP</li><li>DOPA</li><li>DOP</li></ul>   |

|     |        |  |                       | • SPP  |
|-----|--------|--|-----------------------|--|
| 36. | Notic  | e of Decision (Section 126(1))   |                       |  |
|     | 36.1   | The power pursuant to Regulation 57(4)(a) of the General Regulations to endorse a set of any approved plans and other relevant documentation with an appropriate form of authentication.   | Assessment<br>Manager | <ul> <li>MDRS</li> <li>TLP</li> <li>SDOP</li> <li>DOP</li> <li>SPP</li> <li>DOPA</li> <li>TLB</li> <li>SDOB</li> <li>AC</li> <li>ASODS</li> <li>SO</li> <li>DCO</li> </ul> |
| 37. | Cons   | ideration of Other Development Authorisations  |                       |  |
|     | 37.1   | The power pursuant to Regulation 60 of the General Regulations, to, in deciding whether to grant a development authorisation, take into account any prior development authorisation that relates to the same proposed development under the PDI Act, and any conditions that apply in relation to that prior development authorisation.  | Assessment<br>Manager | Per 5.1.1.1  |
| 38. | Certif | icate of Independent Technical Expert in Certain Cases   | N/A                   | N/A  |
|     | 38.1   | The power pursuant to Regulation 61(4)(c) of the General Regulations to form the opinion and be satisfied on the basis of advice received from the accreditation authority under the Planning, Development and Infrastructure (Accredited Professionals) Regulations 2019, a relevant professional association, or another relevant registration or accreditation authority, that a person has engineering or other qualifications, qualify the person to act as a technical expert under this regulation. | N/A                   | N/A  |
| 39. | Urger  | nt Work  |                       |  |
|     | 39.1   | The power pursuant to Regulation 63(1) of the General Regulations to,  | Assessment<br>Manager | TLB     Stephen.Zillante     @marion.sa.gov.au   |

|     |        |   |                       | 8375 6696   |
|-----|--------|---|-----------------------|---|
|     |        | 39.1.1 determine a telephone number determined for the purposes of Regulation 63(1)(a) of the General Regulations; and  |                       |   |
|     |        | 39.1.2 determine the email address for the purposes of Regulation 63(1)(b) of the General Regulations.  |                       |   |
|     | 39.2   | The power pursuant to Regulation 63(2) of the General Regulations to, for the purposes of Section 135(2)(c) of the PDI Act, allow a longer period.  | Assessment<br>Manager | <ul><li>MDRS</li><li>TLB</li><li>SDOB</li><li>DOB</li></ul>             |
|     | 39.3   | The power pursuant to Regulation 63(3) of the General Regulations to, for the purposes of Section 135(2)(c) of the PDI Act, allow a longer period.  | Assessment<br>Manager | <ul><li>MDRS</li><li>TLB</li><li>SDOB</li><li>DOB</li></ul>             |
| 40. | Variat | on of Authorisation (Section 128)   |                       |   |
|     | 40.1   | The power pursuant to Regulation 65(1) of the General Regulations to, for the purposes of Section 128(2)(b) of the PDI Act, if a person requests the variation of a development authorisation previously given under the Act (including by seeking the variation of a condition imposed with respect to the development authorisation) to form the opinion and be satisfied that the variation is minor in nature, and approve the variation. | Assessment<br>Manager | <ul><li>MDRS</li><li>TLP</li><li>SDOP</li><li>DOP</li><li>SPP</li></ul> |
| 41. | Advic  | e from Commission   |                       |   |
|     | 41.1   | The power pursuant to Regulation 76(2) of the General Regulations, if a report is not received from the Commission within 20 business days from the day on which the application is lodged under Regulation 29 of the General Regulations or within such longer period as the Commission may require by notice to the relevant authority, to presume that the Commission does not desire to make a report.                                    | Assessment<br>Manager | <ul><li>MDRS</li><li>TLP</li><li>SDOP</li><li>DOP</li><li>SPP</li></ul> |
| 42. | Unde   | ground Mains Area   |                       |   |

|     | 42.1            | The power pursuant to Regulation 78(3) of the General Regulations, if an application relates to a proposed development that involves the division of land within, or partly within, an underground mains area (even if the area is declared as such after the application is lodged with the relevant authority), to require, as a condition on its decision on the application, that any electricity mains be placed underground. | Assessment<br>Manager  | <ul> <li>MDRS</li> <li>TLP</li> <li>SDOP</li> <li>Subject to concurrence of the UMES.</li> </ul> |
|-----|-----------------|--|--|--|
| 43. | Plans<br>Dwelli | for Residential Alterations, Additions and New ings  |  |  |
|     | 43.1            | The power pursuant to Clause 2(d)(ii)(B) of Schedule 8 of the General Regulations to form the belief that the allotment is, or may have been, subject to site contamination as a result of a previous use of the land or a previous activity on the land or in the vicinity of the land.   | Assessment<br>Manager  | <ul><li>MDRS</li><li>TLP</li><li>SDOP</li><li>DOP</li><li>SPP</li></ul>                          |
|     | 43.2            | The power pursuant to Clause 2(d)(ii)(D) of Schedule 8 of the General Regulations to be satisfied a site contamination audit report (within the meaning of the Environment Protection Act 1993) is not required.   | Assessment<br>Manager  | Per 43.1   |
| 44. | Plans           | for Building Work  | Not necessary<br>to delegate<br>any powers or<br>functions<br>under Item 45<br>if standing<br>referral to<br>Council is<br>made. |  |
|     | 44.1            | The power pursuant to Clause 4(3) of Schedule 8 of the General Regulations, in relation to an application for building consent for development consisting of or involving an alteration to a building, if:   | N/A  | N/A  |
|     |                 | 44.1.1 the applicant is applying for a change in the classification of the building to a classification other than Class 10 under the Building Code; or  |  |  |

INSTRUMENT OF DELEGATION UNDER THE PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE ACT 2016, PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (GENERAL) REGULATIONS 2017 AND PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (FEES, CHARGES AND CONTRIBUTIONS) REGULATIONS 2019 OF POWERS OF AN ASSESSMENT PANEL

| 44.1.2  | the building was erected before 1 January 1974 and the applicant is applying for a classification other than Class 10 under the Building Code to be assigned to the building,   |  |
|---|---|--|
| details,<br>other d<br>require<br>reason<br>comple<br>require<br>for a bu<br>many c | rire the application to be accompanied by such particulars, plans, drawings, specifications and locuments (in addition to the other documents of to accompany the application) as the delegate ably requires to show that the entire building will, on the building work, comply with the ements of the PDI Act and the General Regulations wilding of the classification applied for or with so of those requirements as will ensure that the building and conforms to a proper structural standard. |  |

### PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (FEES, CHARGES AND CONTRIBUTIONS) REGULATIONS 2019

| 45. | Calcu | lation or Assessment of Fees  |                       |  |
|-----|-------|---|-----------------------|--|
|     | 45.1  | The power pursuant to Regulation 5(1) of the PDI (Fees, Charges and Contributions) Regulations 2019 (the Fees Regulations) in relation to an application which is duly lodged with the council under a related set of regulations (including via the SA planning portal): | Assessment<br>Manager | <ul> <li>MDRS</li> <li>TLP</li> <li>SDOP</li> <li>DOP</li> <li>SPP</li> <li>DOPA</li> <li>TLB</li> <li>SDOB</li> <li>AC</li> <li>ASODS</li> <li>SO</li> <li>DCO</li> </ul> |
|     |       | 45.1.1 to require the applicant to provide such information as the delegate may reasonably require to calculate any fee payable under the Fees Regulations or a related set of regulations; and   |                       |  |
|     |       | 45.1.2 to make any other determination for the purposes of the Fees Regulations or a related set of   |                       |  |

INSTRUMENT OF DELEGATION UNDER THE PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE ACT 2016, PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (GENERAL) REGULATIONS 2017 AND PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (FEES, CHARGES AND CONTRIBUTIONS) REGULATIONS 2019 OF POWERS OF AN ASSESSMENT PANEL

|           | regulations (even if the assessment panel is not a relevant authority).  |                       |          |
|-----------|--|-----------------------|----------|
| 45.2      | The power pursuant to Regulation 5(2) of the Fees Regulations, if the delegate is acting under Regulation 5(1) of the Fees Regulations, or as the delegate of a relevant authority, believes that any information provided by an applicant is incomplete or inaccurate, to calculate any fee on the basis of estimates made by the delegate. | Assessment<br>Manager | Per 45.1 |
| 45.3      | The power pursuant to Regulation 5(3) of the Fees Regulations to, at any time, and despite an earlier calculation or acceptance of an amount in respect of the fee, reassess a fee payable under the Fees Regulations or a related set of regulations.   | Assessment<br>Manager | Per 45.1 |
| 46. Waive | er or Refund of Fee  |                       |          |
| 46.1      | The power pursuant to Regulation 7 of the Fees Regulations to, as the delegate considers appropriate to do so:   | Assessment<br>Manager | • MDRS   |
|           | 46.1.1 waive the payment of the fee, or the payment of part of the fee; or   |                       |          |
|           | 46.1.2 refund the whole or a part of the fee.  |                       |          |

### **PLANNING AND DESIGN CODE**

| 7. Proc | edural Matter | Delegation            | Sub-delegation  |
|---------|---------------|-----------------------|---|
| 47.1    |               | Assessment<br>Manager | <ul><li>MDRS</li><li>TLP</li><li>SDOP</li><li>DOP</li><li>SPP</li></ul> |

### 48. Procedural Referrals

|     | 48.1 | Code to  | wer pursuant to and in accordance with the PD form the opinion development is minor in nature all not warrant a referral when considering the of the referral.                          | Assessment<br>Manager | <ul><li>MDRS</li><li>TLP</li><li>SDOP</li><li>DOP</li><li>SPP</li></ul> |
|-----|------|--|---|-----------------------|---|
|     | 48.2 | The power pursuant to and in accordance with the PD Code to form the opinion and deem: |   | Assessment<br>Manager | <ul><li>MDRS</li><li>TLP</li><li>SDOP</li><li>DOP</li><li>SPP</li></ul> |
|     |      | 48.2.1   | alteration to an existing access or public road junction;   |                       |   |
|     |      | 48.2.2   | development that changes the nature of vehicular movements or increases the number or frequency of movements through an existing access,  |                       |   |
|     |      | to be m  | inor.   |                       |   |
|     | 48.3 | Code to  | wer pursuant to and in accordance with the PD form the opinion an alteration or extension of an dwelling is minor.  | Assessment<br>Manager | <ul><li>MDRS</li><li>TLP</li><li>SDOP</li><li>DOP</li><li>SPP</li></ul> |
|     | 48.4 | Code to or like for  | wer pursuant to and in accordance with the PD form the opinion development is minor in nature or like maintenance and would not warrant a when considering the purpose of the referral. | Assessment<br>Manager | <ul><li>MDRS</li><li>TLP</li><li>SDOP</li><li>DOP</li><li>SPP</li></ul> |
| 49. |      |  | : Minister Responsible for the Administration Iture Act 2001  |                       |   |
|     | 49.1 | the PD<br>develop  | wer pursuant to and in accordance with Part 9.4 of Code to form the opinion that aquaculture ment which involves an alteration to an existing oved development is minor in nature.      | Assessment<br>Manager | <ul><li>MDRS</li><li>TLP</li><li>SDOP</li><li>DOP</li><li>SPP</li></ul> |

INSTRUMENT OF DELEGATION UNDER THE PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE ACT 2016, PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (GENERAL) REGULATIONS 2017 AND PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (FEES, CHARGES AND CONTRIBUTIONS) REGULATIONS 2019 OF POWERS OF AN ASSESSMENT PANEL

### STATE PLANNING COMMISSION PRACTICE DIRECTION 3 (NOTIFICATION OF PERFORMANCE ASSESSED DEVELOPMENT APPLICATIONS) 2019

| 50. | Resp  | onsibility to Undertake Notification   |                       |  |
|-----|-------|--|-----------------------|--|
|     | 50.1  | The power pursuant to clause 6(4) of the State Planning Commission Practice Direction 3 (Notification of Performance Assessed Development Applications) 2019 (PD3), should the applicant request the relevant authority to place the notice on the land and pay the relevant fee, to (either personally or by engagement of a contractor) give notice of the application to members of the public by notice placed on the relevant land in accordance with Section 107(3)(a)(ii) of the PDI Act. | /                     | <ul> <li>MDRS</li> <li>TLP</li> <li>SDOP</li> <li>MDRS</li> <li>TLP</li> <li>SDOP</li> <li>DOP</li> <li>DOPA</li> <li>SPP</li> <li>DCO</li> <li>AC</li> <li>ASODS</li> <li>SO</li> </ul> |
| 51. | Prepa | ring for Notification  |                       |  |
|     | 51.1  | The power pursuant to clause 8 of PD3, if the applicant has confirmed they accept responsibility to place a notice on the land as per clause 6(3)(a) of PD3, to, at least 4 business days prior to the commencement of the notification period:  | Assessment<br>Manager | <ul> <li>TLP</li> <li>SDOP</li> <li>DOP</li> <li>DOPA</li> <li>SPP</li> <li>DCO</li> <li>AC</li> <li>ASODS</li> </ul>  |
|     |       | 51.1.1 give notice of the anticipated commencement date and of the notification period to the applicant; and   |                       |  |
|     |       | 51.1.2 provide the applicant with a copy of the content of the notice to be placed on the relevant land in PDF format; and   | r ·                   |  |
|     |       | 51.1.3 advise the applicant of the position and number of notice(s) to be erected on the land in accordance with clause 10 of PD3.   |                       |  |

INSTRUMENT OF DELEGATION UNDER THE PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE ACT 2016, PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (GENERAL) REGULATIONS 2017 AND PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (FEES, CHARGES AND CONTRIBUTIONS) REGULATIONS 2019 OF POWERS OF AN ASSESSMENT PANEL

| 52. | Notic | e on Land   |                       |   |  |
|-----|-------|---|-----------------------|---|--|
|     | 52.1  | The power pursuant to clause 10(2) of PD3, in relation to clause 10(2) of PD3, to determine the most appropriate position for the notice on the land in order to provide for maximum visibility from a public road, and in cases where the relevant land has more than 1 frontage to a public road, to determine that more than 1 notice must be erected on each of the public road frontages to ensure that notice of the development is reasonably apparent to members of the public. | Assessment<br>Manager | • | MDRS<br>TLP<br>SDOP<br>DOP<br>DOPA<br>DCO<br>SPP |

## STATE PLANNING COMMISSION PRACTICE DIRECTION (APPOINTMENT OF ADDITIONAL MEMBERS TO ASSESSMENT PANEL) 2019

| 53. | Quali | fications and Experience of Additional members  |               |                       |
|-----|-------|---|---------------|-----------------------|
|     | 53.1  | The power pursuant to clause 4(6) of the State Planning Commission Practice Direction (Appointment of Additional Members to Assessment Panel) 2019 ( <b>PD5</b> ) where the delegate forms the view that additional expert advice is required for an application which requires assessment of a matter listed in Column 1 of PD5, to engage an additional assessment panel member provided that person maintains both the minimum experience detailed in Column 2 of PD5, as well as the minimum qualification listed in Column 3 of PD5. | Not delegated | Not sub-<br>delegated |
|     | 53.2  | The power pursuant to clause 4(7) of PD5 to be satisfied of the minimum experience and qualifications of an additional assessment panel member.   | Not delegated | Not sub-<br>delegated |

### STATE PLANNING COMMISSION PRACTICE DIRECTION (SCHEME TO AVOID CONFLICTING REGIMENS) 2019

| 54. | Sche | me Provisions   |                       |   |
|-----|------|---|-----------------------|---|
|     | 54.1 | The power pursuant to clause 5(1) of the State Planning Commission Practice Direction (Scheme to Avoid Conflicting Regimens) 2019 ( <b>PD6</b> ), to in undertaking a planning assessment or imposing controls, including through the imposition of conditions of planning consent, ensure that such assessment or controls do not conflict | Assessment<br>Manager | <ul><li>MDRS</li><li>TLP</li><li>SDOP</li><li>DOP</li><li>SPP</li></ul> |

Page 103 - 44 -

#### **DRAFT**

**INSTRUMENT C** 

|      | or duplicate matters dealt with or addressed under licencing or regulatory regimens under another Act.  |                       |   |
|------|---|-----------------------|---|
| 54.2 | The power pursuant to clause 5(3) of PD6 to, where the delegate is uncertain whether a matter conflicts with, or duplicates a matter dealt with under a licencing or regulatory regime under another Act, to seek the advice of that authority or agency. | Assessment<br>Manager | <ul><li>MDRS</li><li>TLP</li><li>SDOP</li><li>DOP</li><li>SPP</li></ul> |

INSTRUMENT OF DELEGATION UNDER THE PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE ACT 2016, PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (GENERAL) REGULATIONS 2017 AND PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (FEES, CHARGES AND CONTRIBUTIONS) REGULATIONS 2019 OF POWERS OF AN ASSESSMENT PANEL

#### **SCHEDULE OF CONDITIONS**

# CONDITIONS OR LIMITATIONS APPLICABLE TO DELEGATIONS CONTAINED IN THIS INSTRUMENT

[Instructions for use: any conditions or limitations which apply to delegations under this Act should be inserted here – DELETE this note once conditions/limitations are entered. If no conditions apply insert 'NIL']

| Paragraph(s) in instrument to which conditions/limitations apply | Conditions / Limitations |
|--|--------------------------|
| Nil  | Nil                      |
|  |                          |
|  |                          |
|  |                          |
|  |                          |
|  |                          |
|  |                          |
|  |                          |
|  |                          |
|  |                          |
|  |                          |

INSTRUMENT OF DELEGATION UNDER THE PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE ACT 2016, PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (GENERAL) REGULATIONS 2017 AND PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (FEES, CHARGES AND CONTRIBUTIONS) REGULATIONS 2019 OF POWERS OF AN ASSESSMENT PANEL

#### Version:

| Endorsed Date | Amendments |  |
|---------------|------------|--|
|               |            |  |
|               |            |  |
|               |            |  |
|               |            |  |
|               |            |  |
|               |            |  |
|               |            |  |
|               |            |  |
|               |            |  |
|               |            |  |

6.2: OTHER BUSINESS – PDI Preparation CITY OF MARION COUNCIL ASSESSMENT PANEL AGENDA FOR MEETING TO BE HELD ON WEDNESDAY 3 FEBRUARY 2021



Originating Officer: Alex Wright

**Team Leader - Planning** 

Report: Standing Referral to Council relating to Building Rules

**Assessment** 

Report Type: PDI Readiness

Recommendation:

That the Panel RESOLVES the following;

- 1. The City of Marion Council Assessment Panel (Panel) DETERMINES TO ACT under Section 99(1)(b) of the *Planning, Development and Infrastructure Act 2016* (the Act) in relation to all development applications received by it that involve the performance of building work.
- 2. Pursuant to Section 99(1)(c) of the Act, where the Panel has determined to act under Section 99(1)(b) of the Act, the Panel REFERS THE ASSESSMENT of the development in respect of the Building Rules to the Corporation of the City of Marion.

#### **Background**

The Planning and Development Infrastructure Act 2016 (the Act) introduces changes to the planning and development system.

Pursuant to Section 93 (1)(a) (*Determination of relevant authority, Relevant authority—Panels*) of the Act the Panel is a 'relevant authority' in its own right. An Assessment Panel will be the relevant authority for planning and building in relation to proposed development that is to be undertaken within the area of the council unless another authority is described by the Act or Regulations (section 93 of the Act).

### **Discussion**

The Panel is the relevant authority for Building Rules, unless it has chosen to delegate these responsibilities to the Council or an Accredited Professional (i.e. building certifier).

If a proposed development involves the performance of building work and a relevant authority (i.e. the Panel) has determined to act under Section 99(1)(b) of the Act, the relevant authority may, pursuant to Section 99 (1)(c) or (d) of the Act, either refer the assessment of the development in respect of the Building Rules to the Council, or require the assessment (in respect of the Building Rules) be undertaken by a building certifier.

Whilst comment has been sought from Planning and Land Use Services (PLUS – formally part of DPTI) as to why Panels are the Relevant Authority for building rules, no comment or formal explanation has been provided.

In either case, by referring the Building Rules to a Council or Building Certifier that entity will be the relevant authority in the issuing of Building Rules consent.

HOME

Given Council has a well-resourced and suitably accredited building team, it is suggested that the Panel consider referring all building rules matters to the Council.

In the event the Panel refers assessment of development in respect of Building Rules to the Council, the Council will, pursuant to Section 99 (2)(a), be the relevant authority for;

- Assessing the development against the provisions of the relevant Building Rules
- Granting a consent in respect of the relevant Building Rules
- Granting Development Approval, if appropriate.

Additionally, the Council will be the Relevant Authority for the purposes of granting the final Development Approval after one or more elements of the development have been granted Consent.

#### Conclusion

The Act provides the ability for Panels to refer a proposed development which involves the assessment of the Building Rules to the Council for the area in which the proposed development is to be undertaken. This is the practice anticipated to be adopted by Panels throughout South Australia.

It is recommended that the Panel consider referring all proposed development which involves the assessment of the Building Rules to the Council.

#### Recommendation

That;

- 1. The City of Marion Council Assessment Panel (Panel) determines to act under Section 99(1)(b) of the *Planning, Development and Infrastructure Act 2016* (the Act) in relation to all development applications received by it that involve the performance of building work.
- 2. Pursuant to Section 99(1)(c) of the Act, where the Panel has determined to act under Section 99(1)(b) of the Act, the Panel refers the assessment of the development in respect of the Building Rules to the Corporation of the City of Marion.

6.3: OTHER BUSINESS – PDI Preparation CITY OF MARION COUNCIL ASSESSMENT PANEL AGENDA FOR MEETING TO BE HELD ON WEDNESDAY 3 FEBRUARY 2021



Originating Officer: Alex Wright

**Team Leader - Planning** 

Report: Policy for the Assessment Panel Review of a Decision of

the Assessment Manager

Report Type: PDI Readiness

Recommendation:

That the Panel RESOLVES the following;

 The Panel RESOLVES to adopt the 'Simplified' Policy for Assessment Panel review of decision of Assessment Manager dated 3 February 2021 (the policy) which forms Attachment 1.

OR

2. The Panel RESOLVES to adopt the 'Prescriptive' Policy for Assessment Panel review of decision of Assessment Manager dated 3 February 2021 (the policy) which forms Attachment 2.

Attachment 1: 'Prescriptive' Policy for Assessment Panel review of decision of

Assessment Manager

Attachment 2: 'Simplified' Policy for Assessment Panel review of decision of

Assessment Manager

Attachment 3: Application to Assessment Panel – Decision Review Request Form

# **Background**

The Planning and Development Infrastructure Act 2016 (the Act) introduces changes to the planning and development system.

The Act provides that where the Assessment Manager of a Council is the Relevant Authority in making a decision, an applicant can apply/request the Panel to review the prescribed matter. A 'prescribed matter' is defined in the Act and will be discussed in further detail within this report.

In summary, the Panel can review any request, decision (including to refuse), imposition of conditions and any other decision or act in relation to the authorisation.

The Panel can choose to accept or issue an alternative decision.

<sup>\*</sup> Delete non-applicable resolution.

#### **Discussion**

#### What is 'Review Request'

Pursuant to Section 202(1)(b)(i)(A) of the Act an applicant can apply to the Panel to review a 'prescribed matter' in scenarios where the Assessment Manager is the Relevant Authority in making a decision.

Section 203 (Part 16, Division 1) of the Act outlines the statutory requirements for the review by the Council Assessment Panel of a decision of an Assessment Manager. The prescribed legislative requirements include;

- The application must be made within 1 month of receiving notice of the decision constituting a prescribed matter.
- An application must be made in a prescribed manner (refer to Attachment 5 Application to Assessment Panel for Assessment Manager's Decision Review Form) and accompanied by a fee of \$511.00.
- The Panel may adopt a procedure for a review of a decision.
- The Panel is not bound by the rules of evidence and may inform itself as it thinks fit and draw any conclusions of fact it considers proper.
- The Assessment Manager must provide the Panel all relevant documentation for the application and relevant material requested by the Panel including a report on the matter if requested by the Panel.
- The Panel may on a review affirm the decision, vary the decision or set aside the decision and substitute its own decision.

#### A "Prescribed Matter" is outlined as:

- Any assessment, request, decision, direction or act of the Assessment Manager under the Act that is relevant to any aspect of the determination of the development application; or
- A decision to refuse to grant development authorisation to the application; or
- The imposition of conditions in relation to a grant of development authorisation; or
- Subject to any exclusion prescribed by the (General) Regulations 2017, any other assessment, request, decision, direction or act of the Assessment Manager under the Act in relation to the grant of development authorisation.

#### Review Process and Procedure

Whilst the Act prescribes some of the legislative requirements of the review process, the Panel may establish its own policies and procedures for matters that are not prescribed.

It is recommended the Panel undertake the review within one month of an application being received by the Panel, notwithstanding the Panel may provide additional time for a review.

**HOME** 

To ensure the review occurs within an appropriate timeframe and maintains appropriate governance structure, it is proposed the Panel endorse a Policy outlining the process for the Assessment Panel to Review a Decision of the Assessment Manager.

Provided the legislated requirements are followed, it is at the discretion of the Panel as to how the policy is determined and operated. Norman Waterhouse has created two policy templates for the Panel's consideration - a simplified and a prescriptive policy template.

The templates provide the following key clauses for consideration;

- The Presiding Member be provided the ability to determine if an extension of time should be granted for practical expediency.
- The Assessment Manager, for procedural fairness, provides the Panel all material considered in assessment and processing of the application.
- The Assessment Manager (or relevant delegate) provides the Panel a report outlining the relevant details and reasons for the decision.
- the Panel considers the prescribed matters afresh

The 'simplified' policy provides the opportunity for the Panel to review a Prescribed Matter with the relevant made available to the Assessment Manager (or delegate) at the time of the decision. Whilst the applicant and Assessment Manager (or delegate) may answer questions of the Panel, they will not be entitled to provide submissions or address the Panel.

The 'prescriptive' policy provides further information as to how the applicant may lodge the application and prescribes a detailed process for an applicant to submit additional information for review, should the Panel wish this in reviewing the decision. The applicant and Assessment Manager (or delegate) are entitled to appear before the panel and provide submissions.

The 'simplified' policy has a defined scope whilst the 'prescriptive' policy provides the panel with greater flexibility and scope to undertake a quasi-hearing of the issue prior to making a decision.

A person who is dissatisfied with the outcome of the review may appeal to the Court against a decision of the assessment panel on the review.

Whilst the legislation provides the opportunity for the Panel to review a decision of the Assessment Manager an applicant maintains the opportunity to lodge a full appeal to the ERD Court.

#### Conclusion

It is the prerogative of the Panel to establish which Policy best serves the needs and requirements in undertaking the review process.

The simplified version will provide a robust opportunity for the Panel to consider the decision of the Assessment Manager based purely on the information the Assessment Manager had when making the decision.

The prescriptive version will provide the Panel with an opportunity to conduct a quasi-hearing and receive and hear further information from both the applicant and Assessment Manager. Whilst this may provide the Panel with a greater opportunity to review the decisient on an opportunity to redesign or facilitate a different outcome. The Prescriptive Policy is likely to

require additional time, monetary and physical resources to ensure the process is undertaken in an appropriate manner.

The ability to consider the report and review the decision in confidence (including the provision of the report in confidence prior to the meeting) is at the decision of the Panel. In determining whether the review should be considered and held in confidence, the transparency and confidence in the review and decision making process must be appropriately weighed against the ability of the Panel to consider and discuss all aspects of the review in a frank and open process. It is noted, a member of Council staff must be present during deliberations to provide advice (if sought) and record any formal decision of the Panel.

Council Administration has sought legal advice on this matter. Should the Panel decide to go into confidence when considering a review, this would occur pursuant to Regulation 13(2)(b) of the PDI (General) Regs or potentially Regulation 13(2)(a)(ix)).

#### Recommendation

# That the Panel;

- 1. Note the report prepared by administration;
- 2. RESOLVES to adopt the 'Simplified' *Policy for Assessment Panel review of decision of Assessment Manager* dated 3 February 2021 (the policy) which forms Attachment 1.

OR

3. RESOLVES to adopt the 'Prescriptive' *Policy for Assessment Panel review of decision of Assessment Manager* dated 3 February 2021 (the policy) which forms Attachment 2.

DRAFT

Version 1: Dated 3/02/2021

# MARION COUNCIL ASSESSMENT PANEL

# REVIEW OF DECISION OF ASSESSMENT MANAGER

#### **Simplified**

#### 1. LEGISLATIVE FRAMEWORK

1.1 This Policy applies in addition to the statutory requirements for the review by the Council Assessment Panel/Regional Assessment Panel (**Panel**) of A decision of an Assessment Manager as set out in Part 16, Division 1 of the *Planning*, *Development and Infrastructure Act* 2016 (**Act**).

#### 2. **COMMENCING A REVIEW**

- 2.1 An application for review must relate to a prescribed matter, as defined in Section 201 of the Act, for which an Assessment Manager was the relevant authority.
- 2.2 An application for review must be:
  - 2.2.1 made using the <u>Application to Assessment Panel for Assessment Manager's Decision Review</u> (the Form);
  - 2.2.2 lodged in a manner identified on the Form; and
  - 2.2.3 lodged within one month of the applicant receiving notice of the Prescribed Matter, unless the Presiding Member in his or her discretion grants an extension of time.
- 2.3 In determining whether to grant an extension of time, the Presiding Member may consider:

the reason for the delay;

- 2.3.1 the length of the delay;
- 2.3.2 whether any rights or interests of other parties would be affected by allowing the review to be commenced out of time;
- 2.3.3 the interests of justice;
- 2.3.4 whether the applicant has, or is within time to, appeal the prescribed matter to the ERD Court; and
- 2.3.5 any other matters the Presiding Member considers relevant.

#### 3. MATERIALS FOR REVIEW HEARING

- 3.1 The Assessment Manager shall collate for the Panel:
  - all materials which were before the Assessment Manager (or delegate) at the time of the decision on the Prescribed Matter, including the Imited to:

- 3.1.1.1 application documents, reports, submissions, plans, specifications or other documents submitted by the applicant;
- 3.1.1.2 internal and/or external referral responses; and
- 3.1.1.3 any report from Council staff or an external planning consultant written for the Assessment Manager;
- 3.1.2 any assessment checklist used by the Assessment Manager or delegate when making the decision on the Prescribed Matter;
- 3.1.3 any other information requested by the Presiding Member.
- 3.2 The Assessment Manager (or delegate) must prepare a report to the Panel setting out the details of the relevant development application, the prescribed matter the subject of the review and the reasons for the Assessment Manager (or delegate's) decision on the Prescribed Matter.

#### 4. REVIEW HEARING

- 4.1 The Assessment Manager must advise the applicant of the time and date of the Panel meeting at which the review application will be heard.
- 4.2 On review, the Panel will consider the Prescribed Matter afresh..
- 4.3 Information, materials and submissions which were not before the Assessment Manager at the time of the decision on the Prescribed Matter will not be considered by the Panel.
- 4.4 The Panel will not receive submissions or addresses from any party.
- 4.5 The Presiding Member may permit Panel members to ask questions or seek clarification from the applicant and/or the Assessment Manager, in his or her discretion.
- 4.6 The Assessment Manager must be present at the Panel meeting to respond to any questions or requests for clarification from the Panel.
- 4.7 Where the decision on the Prescribed Matter was made by a delegate of the Assessment Manager, the delegate may appear in place of the Assessment Manager.
- 4.8 The Presiding Member will invite all Panel Members to speak on any matter relevant to the review.
- 4.9 The Panel may resolve to defer its decision if it considers it requires additional time or information to make its decision.

# 5. OUTCOME ON REVIEW HEARING

- 5.1 The Panel may, on a review:
  - 5.1.1 affirm the Assessment Manager's decision on the Prescribed Matter;
  - 5.1.2 vary the Assessment Manager's decision on the Prescribed Matter; or

- 5.1.3 set aside the Assessment Manager's decision on the Prescribed Matter and substitute its own decision.
- 5.2 An applicant should be advised in writing of the Panel's decision by the Assessment Manager.

#### 6. DRAFT RESOLUTIONS

Draft resolution 6.1 below may be adopted by Panels in order to adopt this Policy and delegate to the Presiding Member administrative decisions regarding the manner in which reviews will proceed.

6.1 The Panel resolves to adopt the Policy for Assessment Panel Review of Decision of Assessment Manager dated January 2020 (the Policy).

Draft resolutions 6.2 to 6.5 below are intended to provide guidance to Panels as to how they might word resolutions to give effect to the decisions they make on review. Panels may adopt this wording, or amend it as appropriate.

6.2 Resolution to affirm a decision of the Assessment Manager:

The Panel resolves to affirm the decision of the Assessment Manager [insert description of decision, for example:]

- that the application is not seriously at variance with the Planning and Design Code (disregarding minor variations) and that planning consent be granted to DA No [insert] for [insert nature of development] subject to the [insert number] of conditions imposed by the Assessment Manager
- that DA No [insert] is classified as code assessed (performance assessed) development
- that the application is not seriously at variance with the Planning and Design Code (disregarding minor variations), but that DA No. [insert] does not warrant planning consent for the following reasons:
- 6.3 Resolution to vary a decision of the Assessment Manager:

The Panel resolves to vary the decision of the Assessment Manager in relation to DA No [insert] by deleting condition [insert number] of planning consent and replacing it with the following condition:

[insert varied condition]

6.4 Resolution to set aside a decision of the Assessment Manager:

The Panel resolves to set aside the decision of the Assessment Manager to [insert description of decision being reversed, for example, refuse planning consent to DA No [insert]] and substitute the following decision:

 DA No [insert] is not seriously at variance with the Planning and Design Code (disregarding minor variations) and that planning consent is granted to the application subject to the following conditions:

# 6.5 Resolution to defer review hearing:

The Panel resolves to defer its decision in relation to its review of the decision of the Assessment Manager to [insert description of the decision] in relation to DA No [insert] until:

- the next ordinary meeting of the Panel;
- the next ordinary meeting of the Panel after [insert additional information which has been requested by the Panel] is provided
- until the next ordinary meeting of the Panel after [insert date (i.e. giving an applicant 2 months to provide information)]

(etc).

Version 1: Dated 3/02/2021

Version 1: Dated 3/02/2020

# MARION COUNCIL ASSESSMENT PANEL REVIEW OF DECISION OF ASSESSMENT MANAGER

# **Prescriptive**

#### 1. LEGISLATIVE FRAMEWORK

- 1.1 This Policy applies in addition to the statutory requirements for the review by the Council Assessment Panel (**Panel**) of a decision of an Assessment Manager as set out in Part 16, Division 1 of the *Planning, Development and Infrastructure Act* 2016 (**Act**).
- 1.2 This Policy shall be reviewed every 12 months and following any change to the relevant legislation.

#### 2. COMMENCING A REVIEW

- 2.1 An application for review in relation to a development application or development authorisation may only be commenced by the applicant for the development authorisation.
- 2.2 An application for review must relate to a Prescribed Matter in relation to which the Assessment Manager was the relevant authority.
- 2.3 A "Prescribed Matter" means:
  - 2.3.1 any assessment, request, decision, direction or act of the Assessment Manager under the Act that is relevant to any aspect of the determination of the development application; or
  - 2.3.2 a decision to refuse to grant development authorisation to the application; or
  - 2.3.3 the imposition of conditions in relation to a grant of development authorisation; or
  - 2.3.4 subject to any exclusion prescribed by the *Planning, Development and Infrastructure (General) Regulations 2017*, any other assessment, request, decision, direction or act of the Assessment Manager under the Act in relation to the grant of development authorisation.

# 2.4 An application for review must be:

- 2.4.1 made using the <u>Application to Assessment Panel for Assessment Manager's Decision Review (MS Word Document, 63.4 KB)</u> (the Form);
- 2.4.2 lodged in a manner identified on the Form; and
- 2.4.3 lodged within one month of the applicant receiving notice of the Prescribed Matter, unless the Presiding Member, in his or her discretion, grants an extension of time.

  HOME

- 2.5 In determining whether to grant an extension of time, the Presiding Member may consider:
  - 2.5.1 the reason for the delay;
  - 2.5.2 the length of the delay;
  - 2.5.3 whether any rights or interests of other parties would be affected by allowing the review to be commenced out of time;
  - 2.5.4 the interests of justice;
  - 2.5.5 whether the applicant has, or is within time to, appeal the Prescribed Matter to the ERD Court; and
  - 2.5.6 any other matters the Presiding Member considers relevant.
- 2.6 An application for review should, upon receipt by the Panel, be notified to the Assessment Manger within 2 business days.

#### 3. APPLICANT'S DOCUMENTS

#### Written submission

- 3.1 An applicant may provide a written submission in support of his or her application for review.
- 3.2 Such a submission must be received by the Presiding Member within one month of the lodgement of the application for review, or such longer period as is requested by the applicant and granted by the Presiding Member, in his or her discretion.
- 3.3 A written submission should be marked to the attention of the Presiding Member and lodged in a manner specified in Clause 7.
- 3.4 The Presiding Member should provide a copy of any written submission to the Assessment Manager within 2 business days of its receipt.

#### Additional information

- 3.5 An applicant may request the opportunity to place additional information and/or materials before the Panel, by application to the Presiding Member lodged in a manner specified in Clause 7.
- 3.6 Any such application must be received by the Presiding Member within one month of the lodgement of the application for review, or such longer period as is requested by the applicant and granted by the Presiding Member, in his or her discretion and either attach the additional information and/or materials, or set out the nature of the information and/or materials and by whom it has been or will be prepared.
- 3.7 The Presiding Member will determine, in his or her discretion, whether to permit the additional information and/or materials to be put before the Panel within 5 business days.
- 3.8 In making this decision, the Presiding Member may consider:

HOME

- 3.8.1 the relevance of the information and/or materials to the review application;
- 3.8.2 the amount of time the Panel would need to consider the additional information and/or materials;
- 3.8.3 whether the information and/or materials relate to a matter which has been the subject of a referral to a referral agency;
- 3.8.4 the reasons the additional information and/or materials were not put before the Assessment Manager;
- 3.8.5 the interests of justice;
- 3.8.6 whether the information and/or materials would cause prejudice to any party; and
- 3.8.7 any other matters the Presiding Member considers relevant.
- 3.9 The Presiding Member should advise the applicant of his or her decision within 2 business days. If the decision is to allow the provision of additional information and/or materials, the Presiding Member should also advise the applicant of the time within which the information and/or materials is to be provided.
- 3.10 Additional information provided in accordance with Clause 3.7 should be marked to the attention of the Presiding Member and lodged in a manner specified in Clause 7.
- 3.11 Upon receipt of additional information and/or materials, the Presiding Member should provide a copy to the Assessment Manager within 2 business days.
- 3.12 Within 5 business days of the receipt of additional information, the Presiding Member should determine, in his or her discretion, whether to provide a referral agency which provided a response on the application with the opportunity to review and respond to the additional information and/or materials, in such manner and within such time as is determined by the Presiding Member.
- 3.13 Where a response is received from a referral agency, the Presiding Member should provide a copy to the applicant and Assessment Manager within 2 business days.

# 4. MATERIALS FOR REVIEW HEARING

- 4.1 Within the time prescribed in clause 4.5, the Assessment Manager should collate for the Panel:
  - 4.1.1 all materials which were before the Assessment Manager (or delegate) at the time of the decision on the Prescribed Matter, including but not limited to:
    - 4.1.1.1 application documents, reports, submissions, plans, specifications or other documents submitted by the applicant;
    - 4.1.1.2 internal and/or external referral responses; and

HOME

4.1.1.3 any report from Council staff or an external planning consultant written for the Assessment Manager;

- 4.1.2 any assessment checklist used by the Assessment Manager or delegate when making the decision on the Prescribed Matter;
- 4.1.3 a report prepared by the Assessment Manager (or delegate) setting out the details of the relevant development application; the Prescribed Matter; an assessment of any additional information and/or materials provided by the applicant pursuant to clause 3.7 (including, where appropriate, whether the additional information and/or materials changes the Assessment Manager's original decision on the Prescribed Matter); and the reasons for the Assessment Manager (or delegate's) decision on the Prescribed Matter:
- 4.1.4 any written submission prepared by the applicant pursuant to clause 3.1;
- 4.1.5 such additional information or materials as the Presiding Member has permitted to be placed before the Panel pursuant to clause 3.7; and
- 4.1.6 any further information requested by the Presiding Member.
- 4.2 After the completion of the requirements in Clause 4.1, the Assessment Manager should assign the review application to the next available Panel meeting.
- 4.3 The documents identified in Clause 4.1 will be included as Annexures to the agenda item.
- 4.4 The Assessment Manager should advise the applicant in writing of the time and date of the Panel meeting at which the review application will be heard not less than 5 business days before the meeting.
- 4.5 The Assessment Manager should comply with Clause 4.1 within the following period of time:
  - 4.5.1 one month from the lodgement of the application for review; plus
  - 4.5.2 where the applicant is granted an extension of time to provide a written submission pursuant to clause 3.2, the period of time granted by the Presiding Members; plus
  - 4.5.3 where the applicant requests the opportunity to put additional information and/or materials before the Panel, the time taken by the Presiding Member to determine that request and advise the applicant; plus
  - 4.5.4 where the Presiding Member determines to allow an applicant to put additional information and/or materials before the Panel:
    - the time allowed by the Presiding Member for those materials to be provided; plus
    - the time taken to determine whether to provide those materials to a referral agency; plus
    - the time allowed for the referral agency to respond; plus
  - 4.5.5 any additional period of time granted by the Presiding Mempervinehis or her discretion, upon the request of the Assessment Manager.

#### 5. REVIEW HEARING

- 5.1 On review, the Panel will consider the Prescribed Matter afresh.
- 5.2 The Panel will not hear from any party other than the applicant and the Assessment Manager.
- 5.3 The Panel will hear from the applicant (or his or her representative), where he or she requests to be heard.
- 5.4 An applicant will be allowed five minutes to address the Panel. The Presiding Member may allow additional time at his or her discretion.
- 5.5 Where an applicant is heard by the Panel, the Assessment Manager will be allowed five minutes to respond to any issues raised by the applicant. The Presiding Member may allow additional time at his or her discretion.
- 5.6 Panel members may ask questions and seek clarification from the applicant and/or Assessment Manager at the conclusion of their addresses.
- 5.7 Whether or not the applicant chooses to be heard by the Panel, the Assessment Manager should be present at the Panel meeting to respond to any questions or requests for clarification from the Panel.
- 5.8 Where the Decision was made by a delegate of the Assessment Manager, the delegate may appear in place of the Assessment Manager.
- 5.9 Following any addresses from the applicant and/or Assessment Manager, the Presiding Member will invite all Panel Members to speak on any matter relevant to the review.
- 5.10 The Panel may resolve to defer its decision if it considers it requires additional time, or additional information from the applicant or the Assessment Manager (including legal or other professional advice), to make its decision.
- 5.11 The deferral will be to the next ordinary meeting of the Panel, or such longer period of time as is determined by the Panel to enable the information sought to be obtained and considered.
- 5.12 Where an applicant is to provide further information to a Panel pursuant to Clause 5.10, the information must be provided within the time specified by the Panel and in a manner specified in Clause 7.
- 5.13 Where an Assessment Manager is to provide further information to a Panel pursuant to Clause 5.10, a copy of the information must also be provided to the applicant not less than 5 business days before the meeting at which it will be considered by the Panel.

# 6. OUTCOME ON REVIEW HEARING

- 6.1 The Panel may, on a review:
  - 6.1.1 affirm the Assessment Manager's decision on the Prescribed Matter;
  - 6.1.2 vary the Assessment Manager's decision on the Prescribed Matter; or

- 6.1.3 set aside the Assessment Manager's decision on the Prescribed Matter and substitute its own decision.
- An applicant should be advised in writing of the Panel's decision (including its reasons) by the Assessment Manager (or delegate) within 2 business days of the Panel's decision.

#### 7. LODGING WRITTEN MATERIALS & DOCUMENTS WITH THE PANEL

- 7.1 All documents and written communications with the Panel must be lodged via:
  - 7.1.1 the SA Planning Portal (to the extent the Portal is able to receive such a submission);
  - 7.1.2 email to: planning@marion.sa.gov.au
  - 7.1.3 hand-delivery or post to

Attention: Marion Council Assessment Panel PO Box 21
Oakland Park SA 5046

#### 8. DRAFT RESOLUTIONS

Draft resolution 8.1 below may be adopted by Panels in order to adopt this Policy and delegate to the Presiding Member administrative decisions regarding the manner in which reviews will proceed.

8.1 The Panel resolves to adopt the Policy for Assessment Panel Review of Decision of Assessment Manager dated January 2020 (the Policy).

Draft resolutions 8.2 to 8.5 below are intended to provide guidance to Panels as to how they might word resolutions to give effect to the decisions they make on review. Panels may adopt this wording, or amend it as appropriate.

8.2 Resolution to affirm a decision of the Assessment Manager:

The Panel resolves to affirm the decision of the Assessment Manager [insert description of decision, for example:]

- that the application is not seriously at variance with the Planning and Design Code (disregarding minor variations) and that planning consent be granted to DA No [insert] for [insert nature of development] subject to the [insert number] of conditions imposed by the Assessment Manager
- that DA No [insert] is classified as code assessed (performance assessed) development
- that the application is not seriously at variance with the Planning and Design Code (disregarding minor variations), but that DA No. [insert] does not warrant planning consent for the following reasons:
   HOME

8.3 Resolution to vary a decision of the Assessment Manager:

The Panel resolves to vary the decision of the Assessment Manager in relation to DA No [insert] by deleting condition [insert number] of planning consent and replacing it with the following condition:

[insert varied condition]

8.4 Resolution to set aside a decision of the Assessment Manager:

The Panel resolves to set aside the decision of the Assessment Manager to [insert description of decision being reversed, for example, refuse planning consent to DA No [insert]] and substitute the following decision:

 DA No [insert] is not seriously at variance with the Planning and Design Code (disregarding minor variations) and that planning consent is granted to the application subject to the following conditions:

# 8.5 Resolution to defer review hearing:

The Panel resolves to defer its decision in relation to its review of the decision of the Assessment Manager to [insert description of the decision] in relation to DA No [insert] until:

- the next ordinary meeting of the Panel;
- the next ordinary meeting of the Panel after [insert additional information which has been requested by the Panel] is provided
- until the next ordinary meeting of the Panel after [insert date (i.e. giving an applicant 2 months to provide information)]

(etc).

Version 1: Dated 03/02/2020

# **Application to Assessment Panel**<sup>1</sup>

#### **DECISION REVIEW REQUEST**

Prescribed form pursuant to section 203(1) for review of a decision of an Assessment Manager under section 202(1)(b)(i)A) of the *Planning, Development and Infrastructure Act 2016* (Act)

| Applicant details:   | Name: Click here to enter text.  |
|--|--|
|  | Phone: Click here to enter text.   |
|  | Email: Click here to enter text.   |
|  | Postal address: Click here to enter text.  |
| Development Application Number:  | Click here to enter text.  |
| Subject Land:  | Click here to enter text.  |
|  | [street number, street name, suburb, postcode] [lot number, plan number, certificate of title number, volume and folio]                        |
| Date of decision of the Assessment Manager:                                | Click here to enter text.  |
| Decision (prescribed matter <sup>2</sup> ) for review by Assessment Panel: | Click here to enter text.  |
| Reason for review:   | Click here to enter text.  |
|  | [Briefly state the facts, circumstances and other relevant matters upon which this application is based. Attach additional pages as necessary] |
| Do you wish to be heard by the Assessment Panel?                           | ☐ Yes<br>☐ No  |
| Date:  | Click here to enter text.  |
| Signature:   |  |
|  | ☐ If being lodged electronically please tick to indicate agreement to this declaration.  |

**HOME** 

This form constitutes the form of an application to an assessment panel under section 202(1)(b)(i)(A) of the *Planning, Development and Infrastructure Act 2016*, determined by the Minister for Planning pursuant to regulation 116 of the Planning, Development and Infrastructure (General) Regulations 2017.

First Published: 1 July 2019 Last amended: 31 July 2020

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This application must be made through the relevant facility on the SA planning portal. To the extent that the SA planning portal does not have the necessary facilities to lodge this form, the application may be lodged—

<sup>(</sup>i) by email, using the main email address of the relevant assessment panel; or

<sup>(</sup>ii) by delivering the application to the principal office or address of the relevant assessment panel.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Prescribed matter, in relation to an application for a development authorisation, means—

 <sup>(</sup>a) any assessment, request, decision, direction or act of the Assessment Manager under the Act that is relevant to any aspect of the determination of the application; or

<sup>(</sup>b) a decision to refuse to grant the authorisation; or

<sup>(</sup>c) the imposition of conditions in relation to the authorisation; or

<sup>(</sup>d) subject to any exclusion prescribed by the regulations, any other assessment, request, decision, direction or act of the assessment manager under the Act in relation to the authorisation.

6.4: OTHER BUSINESS – PDI Preparation CITY OF MARION COUNCIL ASSESSMENT PANEL AGENDA FOR MEETING TO BE HELD ON WEDNESDAY 3 February 2020



Originating Officer: Alex Wright

**Team Leader - Planning** 

Report: Procedure for Assigning, Progressing and Resolving Appeals

- CAP to Council

Report Type: PDI Readiness

**Recommendation:** 

That the Council Assessment Panel RESOLVES to:

1. Note the Procedure for Assigning, Progressing and Resolving Appeals.

- 2. Authorise the CEO and/or equivalent position to make decisions as to the conduct of appeals<sup>1</sup>.
- 3. Require any compromise arising from an appeal be presented to the Panel for a decision.
- 4. Enable the Panel to determine the matter by electronic meeting in accordance with its General Operating Procedures.

Attachment 1: Procedure for Assigning, Progressing and Resolving Appeals

#### Introduction

Under the Act, the Panel has been assigned as a relevant authority in its own right. The implication of this change is that, technically, the Panel will be responsible for the running of appeals against their decisions rather than the council. The council will however be responsible for funding the cost of these appeals.

#### Discussion

The advice provided by the LGA is that all relevant authorities (i.e. Panel's and Assessment Managers) should consider how they either consult with the relevant council staff before decisions as to the conduct or resolution of appeals, or assign their decision making powers to staff to proceed with the appeal process (and keep the Panel informed of the process).

It is noted this procedure is only applicable for decisions made under the PDI Act and not the Development Act where the existing delegation and decision-making process remains.

The LGA advice suggests, at a minimum, a recommendation that Panels;

- Authorise their Assessment Manager or a member of council staff (i.e. the CEO or General Manager) to make decisions as to the conduct of appeals so that they can proceed in a timely manner – e.g. engage legal and planning representation, discuss preliminary preposals etc).

- Require updates be provided to the Panel and for the Panel to be consulted before an appeal is resolved by way of a compromise (i.e. a compromise is presented to the Panel for final consideration), unless a decision must be made urgently.

It is suggested the Panel assign their decision making Powers to staff to proceed with the appeal process, with the provision that the ultimate decision to accept or not accept a compromise is that of the Panel (i.e. Council staff be empowered to undertake administrative tasks and the running of an appeal whilst the Panel makes the ultimate decision to accept or not accept a compromise).

It is noted that whilst the Council is responsible for the costs and other liabilities associated with the activities of the Panel. It is an unknown what would occur should the Council be unwilling to provide funds in the event the Panel determines to continue with an appeal where the advice is that no legal or planning (or other) representation is willing (or can be reasonably found) to defend the decision.

A *Procedure for Assigning, Progressing and Resolving Appeals* (Attachment 1) has been prepared and outlines suggested steps the Panel follow in assigning authority to Council staff to progress and conduct the running of an appeal.

Assigning authority to progress an appeal

The following, which forms the formal recommendation to this report, is suggested;

• The Panel authorise the CEO and/or equivalent position to make decisions as to the conduct of appeals.

Conduct of the appeals includes (but is not limited too)

- accepting and considering compromise plans on behalf of the Panel
- engaging legal representation if/when required;
- engaging planning or other related experts if/when required
- representing the Panel during ERD or Supreme Court proceedings (including conferences, hearing etc)
- Require any compromise arising from an appeal be presented to the Panel for a decision.

Whilst Council staff would be authorised to undertake administrative actions associated with the appeal, any compromise submitted by the applicant for formal consideration must be presented to the Panel for a decision.

• Enable the Panel to determine the matter by electronic meeting in accordance with its General Operating Procedures.

Should the need to determine an appeal be required urgently, the Panel can, by way of special meeting, hold a meeting electronically.

The CEO can delegate the running of an appeal to appropriate staff and be informed via way of a memo at key hold points (such as the need to engage legal representation or independent experts, preceding to Directions Hearing or Full Appeal etc).

Process in considering and running an appeal

Should the Panel resolved to authorise the CEO to make decisions as to the conduct of an appeal, it is recommended the following processes by included.

**HOME** 

- Appeal Lodged with ERD Court. The Panel is advised of appeal informally via email and formally via the next Panel Agenda.
- Memo from relevant Planning Officer to CEO advising an appeal has been lodged and notice received by Council. The CEO to advise authorised staff member responsible for conducting the appeal process.
- Authorised Council staff member to attend all Court related matters and internal communications.
- Negotiations between appellant and Planning Staff (acting on behalf of Panel).
- Compromise documentation and accompanying report presented to the Panel for a decision (whether to accept or not accept the compromise).
- Authorised Council staff member to advise ERD Court whether compromise is accepted or not accepted.

In the event the compromise is not supported and Council Administration is unable to find legal and/or planning (or other) representation to defend the decision of the Panel a report will be presented to the Panel comprising one or more of the following;

- Report from authorised Council staff member summarising current position
- Legal memo outlining prospects of success
- Advice from planning and/or required experts outlining position

In the event the Panel supports the proposed procedure and process, amendments to the General Operating Procedures (this may be included within the GOP's or make reference to a separate procedure) will be presented to the Panel for consideration and reflect the process required in considering an appeal.

A draft procedure to assign authority to a member of Council is outlined below. The procedure further outlines a draft process as to how an appeal is to be handled and considered by the Panel.

#### Conclusion

It is recommended the Panel authorise the CEO to make decisions as to the conduct of appeals, noting the CEO can sub-delegate and/or direct Development Services staff to undertake the day to day conduct of the appeal.

This approach will provide consistency with the current Development Act processes whereby the General Manager is delegated to make decisions relating to appeals.

It is recommended that, whilst the Panel authorise the CEO (or authorised staff member) to undertake the appeal process, any compromise be presented to the Panel for a decision.

Additionally, it is recommended the Panel have the ability to determine the matter by electronic meeting in accordance with its General Operating Procedures, should the need arise in urgent situations (noting compromises will generally be considered at regularly scheduled Panel meeting's).

#### Recommendation

# That the Council Assessment Panel RESOLVES to;

- 1. Note the Procedure for Assigning, Progressing and Resolving Appeals.
- 2. Authorise the CEO and/or equivalent position to make decisions as to the conduct of appeals<sup>1</sup>.
- 3. Require any compromise arising from an appeal be presented to the Panel for a decision.
- 4. Enable the Panel to determine the matter by electronic meeting in accordance with its General Operating Procedures.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Conduct of the appeals includes, but is not limited to, formally receiving and considering compromise plans on behalf of the Panel, engaging legal and other experts if/when required, representing the Panel at ERD Court conferences and hearings etc.

# Procedure Page 128 Assigning, Progressing and Resolving Appeals

#### Introduction

This procedure outlines the steps the Marion Council Assessment Panel (the Panel) has resolved to follow in assigning authority from the to Council staff to progress and conduct the running of an appeal.

The Panel has been assigned as a 'Relevant Authority' in its own right pursuant to Section 82 of the Planning, Development and Infrastructure Act 2016.

Whilst the Panel will be the respondent to appeals against their decisions, rather than the Council, pursuant to Section 83(h)(ii) the Council will be responsible for funding the cost of the appeal.

# Assignment of authority

The Panel, on date x, resolved to assign decision-making powers to the General Manager, City Development in relation to the conduct of appeals.

Conduct of appeals includes (but is not limited to):

- receiving (and therefore accepting) and considering compromise plans on behalf of the Panel;
- engaging legal representation if/when required;
- engaging planning or other related experts if/when required;
- representing the Panel during ERD Court proceedings (including conferences, hearing etc).

Any compromise arising from an appeal shall be presented to the Panel for a decision (whether to accept or not accept the compromise). Council administration will be responsible for advising the ERD Court accordingly and undertaking general administration of the process.

In the event of an urgent matter the Panel may determine the matter by electronic meeting in accordance with its general operating procedures.

The General Manager can delegate the running of an appeal to appropriate staff and be informed via way of Memo at key hold points (such as the need to engage legal representation or independent experts, proceeding to Directions Hearing or Full Appeal etc).

# Process in considering and running an appeal

The following process will occur following a decision of the Panel and subsequent appeal to the ERD Court;

- Appeal Lodged with ERD Court. The Panel is advised of appeal informally via email and formally via the next Panel Agenda.
- Memo from relevant Planning Officer to CEO or equivalent position advising that the appeal has been lodged. CEO or equivalent position to advise, via return Memo, the staff member authorised and responsible for conducting the appeal process.
- Authorised Council staff member to attend all Court related matters and internal communications.

  HOME

- Negotiations between appellant and Planning Staff (acting on behalf of Panel).
- Compromise documentation and accompanying report presented to the Panel for a decision (whether to accept or not accept the compromise).
- Authorised Council staff member to advise ERD Court whether compromise is accepted or not accepted.

In the event the compromise is not supported and Council Administration is unable to find legal and/or planning (or other) representation to defend the decision of the Panel a report will be presented to the Panel comprising one or more of the following;

- Report from authorised Council staff member summarising current position;
- Legal memo outlining prospects of success;
- Advice from planning and/or required experts outlining position.

# **Availability**

The Procedure is available to be downloaded, free of charge, from the Marion Council Assessment Panel website

https://www.marion.sa.gov.au/services-we-offer/planning-and-building/council-assessment-panel

The Policy is available for inspection without charge at the Administration Building during standard business hours and a copy may be purchased at a fee set annually by Council.

City of Marion Administration Building 245 Sturt Road, Sturt SA 5047

Telephone: 8375 6600

Email: <a href="mailto:council@marion.sa.gov.au">council@marion.sa.gov.au</a>

Office hours: Monday to Friday, 8:30am to 5:00pm (except public holidays)

# **Review and Evaluation**

This Procedure will be reviewed by the Council Assessment Panel every 24 months and/or updated on an 'as needed' basis.

**City of Marion** 245 Sturt Road, Sturt SA 5047 PO Box 21, Oaklands Park SA 5046 T 08 8375 6600 F 08 8375 6699 www.marion.sa.gov.au Owner: Marion Council Assessment Panel Authorisation Date: 3 February 2021 Review Date: February 2023

